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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

The City of Sault Ste. Marie (City) completed a Transportation Master Plan (TMP) in 2015 to "advance the implementation of the various transportation improvements while considering the current and future conditions of the community". Recommendations presented in the TMP include to undertake the municipal class environmental assessment (MCEA) process:

- to consider a road diet at Northern Avenue (North Street to Pine Street); and,
- to consider extending Northern Avenue to Black Road

Due to the current deteriorated surface condition of Northern Avenue, the City has determined that re-surfacing will be required in the near future. Completion of an MCEA addressing the above recommendations is appropriate so that any findings may be implemented in conjunction with the resurfacing.

The City has also identified an opportunity to address public concerns related to perceived traffic congestion at the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive. The City has received numerous comments and complaints regarding this location from area residents over the past few years. Although this intersection is not located directly on Northern Avenue, it is in such close proximity that any changes may impact Northern Avenue, therefore the City has decided that including this opportunity in the same MCEA process is prudent.

### 1.2 Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Process

Ontario's Environmental Assessment Act (EA Act) was adopted in order to ensure that all reasonable alternative solutions, environmental impacts and community input are considered when public projects are undertaken. In order to streamline the process, the EA Act allows the grouping of similar and common projects into classes. Due to the similarity and frequency of municipal infrastructure projects, the Municipal Engineers Association developed and received approval for the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment. The MCEA is applicable to most municipal projects involving roads, water, wastewater and transit which are commonly recurring, similar in nature, limited in scale, and have a predictable range of impacts. Based on the scope of the opportunity identified, the MCEA is applicable to the Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements project.

The planning process outlined in the MCEA document is illustrated graphically in Appendix 1. In completing the MCEA for this project, the City has decided to follow the requirements of a Schedule C study, the most stringent schedule defined in the MCEA.

A typical Schedule C project requires that the following five Phases be completed:
Phase 1: Identify the problem or opportunity for the project.
Phase 2: Identify alternative solutions to the problem taking into consideration the existing environment and establish the preferred solution using input from review agencies and the public.

Phase 3: Examine alternative methods of implementing the preferred solution which will minimize negative environmental effects and maximize positive effects.

Phase 4: Document the planning process carried out in the previous Phases and make the documentation available for comment by the public and review agencies.

Phase 5: Complete designs and proceed to construction of the project. This phase also includes the long-term evaluation of any special mitigating measures which were required to be implemented.

The Sault Ste. Marie TMP was completed based on the approaches conveyed in the Sustainable Planning Guidelines report (developed by Transport Canada and the Transportation Association of Canada) and was carried out in accordance with the requirements of a Master Plan under the MCEA process. As noted in the MCEA document, Master Plans typically address Phases 1 and 2 of the process for identified projects; however, due to the anticipated public interest in the Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements project, the City of Sault Ste. Marie has decided to review these steps.

### 1.3 Study Area

Northern Avenue is an east-west street, in the City's core area. Extending from Bainbridge Street in the west to approximately 320 metres east of Pine Street, Northern Avenue provides access to residential, commercial and institutional properties for vehicular traffic, cyclists and pedestrians. In the TMP, the street is classified as an "urban collector".

Between Bainbridge Street and North Street, Northern Avenue is a two-lane road through a residential area; from North Street to Pine Street it operates as a four-lane road abutting commercial, institutional and residential properties. East of Pine Street, Northern Avenue is a two lane road providing access to residential and institutional lands. Designated turn lanes are provided along the Northern Avenue corridor at the North Street, Great Northern Road, and Pine Street intersections.

The study area is illustrated in Figure 1 and extends east of Black Road and west of Carmen's Way. A portion of the P-Patch subdivision is also included to encompass the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection.

## Study Organization

To satisfy the planning process outlined for Schedule C projects in the MCEA, the following phased approach to the project is presented in this Environmental Study Report (ESR).

Phase 1: Identification and Description of the Problem
a) Describe existing conditions.
b) Identify the problem or opportunity.
c) Develop the Problem/Opportunity statement.


Figure 1. Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements Study Area
Phase 2: Identify and Evaluate Alternative Solutions
a) Identify alternative solutions.
b) Inventory the existing environmental conditions.
c) Solicit input on alternative solutions.
d) Evaluate the alternative solutions.
e) Select and describe the preferred solution.

Phase 3: Alternative Design Concepts for the Preferred Solution
a) Identify alternative designs.
b) Review the horizontal and vertical road alignment.
c) Review the road cross section.
d) Review intersection configurations.
e) Identify the recommended design.
f) Solicit input on the recommended design.

Phase 4: Environmental Study Report
a) Complete the Environmental Study Report.
b) Place the ESR on public record.

Phase 5: Implementation
a) Complete documents and tender construction.
b) Construct the improvements.
c) Monitor for environmental provisions and commitments.

At the end of Phase 4, following the placement of the ESR on public record, there is a 30-day review period during which members of the public and/or review agencies can review the report and provide comments to the City of Sault Ste. Marie.

The ESR will be available for review at the following locations as well as on the City's website:

| City of Sault Ste. Marie | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Kresin Engineering Corporation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Engineering Department | Clerk's Department | 536 Fourth Line East |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor, Civic Centre | $4^{\text {th }}$ Floor, Civic Centre | Sault Ste. Marie, ON |
| 99 Foster Drive | 99 Foster Drive |  |
| Sault Ste. Marie, ON | Sault Ste. Marie, ON |  |

If concerns raised during this period cannot be resolved through discussions with the City, a "Part II Order" request can be made to have the Minister of Environment and Climate Change (the "Minister") order an individual (full) EA for the project. Parties wishing to request a Part II Order for this project must submit a written request to the Minister in accordance with the requirements outlined in section A.2.8 of the MCEA document. Copies of the request are also to be sent to the Environmental Approvals Branch and the City of Sault Ste. Marie at the following addresses:

## The Honourable Chris Ballard, <br> Minister of the Environment and Climate Change 77 Wellesley Street West 11th Floor, Ferguson Block <br> Toronto ON M7A 2T5

Director,<br>Environmental Approvals Branch<br>Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change<br>1st Floor, 135 St. Clair Ave West<br>Toronto, ON M4V 1P5

## Director,

Engineering Services
City of Sault Ste. Marie
5th Floor, Civic Centre
99 Foster Drive
Sault Ste. Marie, ON P6A 5N1

### 1.4 Public Involvement

Public and agency consultation ensures that those interested in the project process have the opportunity to provide input and comments. Throughout the study, the involvement of local residents, interest groups and government agencies was sought to obtain input into the definition of the problems/opportunity, identification and evaluation of alternative solutions and the development of the solution. A list of those people and organizations contacted is included in Appendix 2.

To determine if the project could have an adverse impact on Aboriginal and Treaty rights, Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) as well as Indigenous communities in the vicinity of the study area were contacted throughout the project to obtain input into the definition of the problems/opportunity, identification and evaluation of alternative solutions and the development of the solution. A list of Indigenous communities and organizations contacted is included in Appendix 2.

Through newspaper advertisements, letters, emails, notifications of upcoming public meetings and two informal Public Information Centres (PICs), the public and agency contacts were given the opportunity to review and discuss the progress of the study as well as provide any suggestions and comments. A project website was also maintained with copies of relevant documents available for review by interested parties.

Input received from interested parties is described in detail in the relevant sections of this report with supporting documentation in the appendices.

### 2.0 PHASE ONE - IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROBLEM OR OPPORTUNITY

### 2.1 Previous Reports

Sault Ste. Marie Cycling Master Plan: In August of 2007, the Sault Ste. Marie Cycling Master Plan was approved. The purpose of the plan was to provide general design considerations that could be applied to allow for roads and destinations within the community to be cycling friendly. The report also identified preferred cycling routes, specific design standards and a number of recommendations to develop safe cycling within the City.

Transportation Master Plan: In January of 2015, the City of Sault Ste. Marie's Transportation Master Plan was completed by HDR Corporation. The TMP process was carried out to address the changing travel patterns in the City and to ensure road infrastructure continues to operate at a good level of service. The plan identified a "balanced approach" alternative strategy as the preferred planning solution. This strategy is expected to benefit all transportation users in the City by investing in capital road improvements as well as active transportation and transit network improvements. Among other things, the TMP includes the consideration of "road diets" that involve reducing the number of lanes and pavement widths of a road to improve roadway efficiency, mode share and safety. The Northern Avenue corridor was specifically identified in the Transportation Master Plan as a location that could possibly benefit from the implementation of the road diet technique. The TMP also identified the extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road as a potential road network improvement.

Operations and Safety Review: Following receipt of complaints from area residents regarding safety and congestion at the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive, the City retained the engineering firm CIMA+ to complete an Operations and Safety Review (found in Appendix 3). The report was finalized in March of 2015 and included findings from their review of intersection geometry, traffic and pedestrian volumes, traffic speeds, and collisions. It was noted that traffic volumes along Pine Street and Pleasant Drive were typical for their road types and that the intersection was operating with acceptable vehicle capacity ratios. The review concluded that replacing the current stop sign on Pleasant Drive with an all-way top or traffic signal light was not warranted. Despite the findings of the report, Council continues to receive pressure from some residents to make improvements.

### 2.2 Problem/Opportunity Identification

This study is being conducted to address the following problems/opportunities which have been identified through the completion of the above noted studies as well as through public input:
A. Improve operational efficiency of Northern Avenue through implementation of a road diet.
B. Extend Northern Avenue easterly from its current limit.
C. Improve operations of the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection.

The three opportunities are being combined into one MCEA due to their spatial proximity to one another as well as the potential for interdependence of the alternative solutions.

Northern Avenue dead ends east of Pine Street, making the function of the Northern Avenue corridor closely related to the operation of Pine Street as well as the access to the adjacent P-Patch subdivision. As a result, the City has identified the opportunity for possible access/egress improvements into the P-Patch subdivision in conjunction with possible improvements to Northern Avenue.

### 2.3 Notice of Study Commencement

A notice of commencement for the Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements was first published on March 19, 2016 in the Sault Ste. Marie Star newspaper and was posted online at the City of Sault Ste. Marie's website. Copies of the notice were also direct mailed to members of the public as well as review agencies.

A copy of the notice of commencement is included in Appendix 2. The distribution list is also included.

### 2.4 Problem/ Opportunity Statement

Vehicular travel patterns throughout Sault Ste. Marie have shifted over the years as a result of development in the north end of the City. Improving the efficiency of the Northern Avenue corridor is one of the recommendations of the recently completed Transportation Master Plan meant to help accommodate this shift.

Potential improvements noted in the Transportation Master Plan include:

- Opportunity A: Lane reassignment or elimination along the Northern Avenue corridor.
- Opportunity B: Extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road.

In conjunction with these possible improvements, the City has also identified:

- Opportunity C: Improvements to the access/egress of the P-Patch subdivision.


### 3.0 PHASE TWO - IDENTIFY AND EVALUATE ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

The first task in Phase Two of the MCEA process is the identification of all reasonable alternatives to the stated problem or opportunity. In consultation with City staff and MCEA guidance documents, the following alternatives were developed.

### 3.1 Maintain Existing Conditions ("Do Nothing")

This alternative is included as part of each opportunity described below. The "Do Nothing" alternative includes making no improvements or changes to address the identified problem/opportunity and it provides a benchmark against which to measure other possibilities. In an MCEA, the Do Nothing alternative would typically be the preferred solution when the costs/impacts of all other alternatives significantly outweigh their benefits.

Defined as Alternatives A1, B1 and C1 at the Public Information Centres held for the project, the Do Nothing alternative is a standard option typically required to be considered in the MCEA process. In this study, the Do Nothing alternative would result in the implementation of no
additional work to improve the efficiency of the Northern Avenue corridor or access into the P Patch subdivision; the deteriorated surface would be re-paved and the status quo restored. Existing vehicle, pedestrian and cycling traffic flow paths would not be changed.

### 3.2 Opportunity A: Lane Reassignment

Section 9.2.3 of the TMP presents a discussion on road diets, including their many advantages and disadvantages. Northern Avenue between North Street and Pine Street is identified as a candidate location for further study which may benefit from a road diet. Two alternatives for implementing a road diet are presented in this MCEA process:

A2 - full length lane reassignment; and,
A3 - lane reassignment in select locations.
Alternatives A2 and A3 are largely non-structural options, meaning that extensive modification of the existing physical facilities is not required to implement these alternatives (i.e. the existing pavement width would be maintained). When compared to the Do Nothing alternative, these options only require changes to signage and line painting, along with some minor localized improvements.

### 3.2.1 Alterative A2: Full Length Lane Reassignment (North Street to Pine Street)

This alternative consists of reducing Northern Avenue from four lanes to three lanes with a continuous centre turn lane. Implementing Alternative A2 is intended to allow for the incorporation of designated bicycle lanes along the north and south sides of the corridor. The existing pedestrian sidewalks and boulevards will be maintained. Sidewalks may be added where possible.

### 3.2.2 Alternative A3: Lane Reassignment in Select Locations

This alternative involves the reduction of Northern Avenue from four lanes to three lanes with a continuous turn lane from North Street to Reid Street. The opportunity would allow that designated bicycle lanes may be included in the road diet locations. Pedestrian sidewalks and boulevards are expected to be maintained, or added to, if possible. Alternative A3 is shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Alternative A3: Lane Reassignment in Select Locations.
The existing Northern Avenue corridor cross section as well as the cross section configuration proposed for a full or partial lane reassignment is illustrated in Figure 3.


Figure 3 Alternative cross sections proposed for Opportunity A.

### 3.3 Opportunity B: Extension of Northern Avenue

Section 9.1.1 of the TMP, "Road Improvements", states that the City identified the extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road as a potential road improvement. The TMP goes on to state that an extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road would "improve network connectivity, support potential development, and reduce demand on Second Line". It should be noted that the TMP study was undertaken prior to the completion of the recent Second Line widening.

For the purposes of the Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements MCEA, the following alternatives for this opportunity (in addition to the Do Nothing alternative) are being considered:

B2 - Extend easterly to connect to Black Road;
B3 - Extend south-easterly to connect to Lake Street.
B4 - Extend south-easterly to connect to Black Road.
These alternatives all include a requirement to construct new road through currently un-developed land.

### 3.3.1 Alternative B2: Extend Easterly to Connect to Black Road

This alternative consists of an extension of Northern Avenue approximately 1,200 meters easterly to connect with Black Road. This alternative provides a new route for east-west traffic while providing new pedestrian and cycling facilities along the extended corridor.

The road alignment for this alternative follows an existing utility (overhead power lines) corridor and traverses a relatively steep slope. The associated new intersection would likely require widening Black Road for turn lanes and possibly the installation of traffic signals.

Alternative B2 is shown on Figure 4.


Figure 4. Alternative B2-Extend Easterly to Black Road.

### 3.3.2 Alterative B3: Extend South-easterly to Connect to Lake Street

Alternative B3 involves a south-easterly extension of the Northern Avenue corridor approximately 520 meters to intersect with upper Lake Street. This alternative may provide better access to properties in the upper Lake Street area while providing additional pedestrian and cycling facilities.

The alignment of alternative B3 would transect the existing wooded area behind residential properties and connect to Lake Street just south of the Pawating Place cooperative housing development.

Alternative B3 is shown on Figure 5.

### 3.3.3 Alternative B4: Extend South-easterly to Connect to Black Road

Alternative B4 expands on alternative B3 and includes the extension of Northern Avenue an additional 730 metres, beyond Lake Street to Black Road. With this option, better access to properties in the upper Lake Street area may be provided, however, increased traffic from Black Road may have other negative impacts. This alternative also extends the east-west Northern Avenue corridor for vehicular traffic; however, the existing Hub Trail in this location already provides facilities for pedestrians and cyclists.

Alternative B4 is shown on Figure 6.


Figure 5. Alternative B3-Extend Southeasterly to Lake Street.


Figure 6. Alternative B4 - Extend Southeasterly to Black Road.

### 3.4 Opportunity C: Improvements to the access/egress of the P-Patch subdivision

In order to address resident concerns about perceived traffic congestion at the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive, improvements to access and egress of the P-Patch residential subdivision are included in this opportunity.

The P-Patch subdivision is generally bounded by Pine Street and McNabb Street on the west and south, and by slope lands and undeveloped woodlots to the east and north. This residential area is home to approximately 4,000 residents (including single family homes, semi-detached homes and medium density residential developments), a catholic church and an elementary school. It is currently accessed by four road connections - Pleasant Drive, Passmore Road, Pentagon Boulevard and Lake Street. Motor vehicle access to the P-Patch is currently available via McNabb Street (south boundary) and Pine Street (west boundary). There is no motor vehicle access to from the north or the east.

### 3.4.1 Alternative C2: New Road to Panoramic Drive

This alternative requires the construction of a new road at the existing east limit of Northern Avenue, south one block ( 100 metres) to Panoramic Drive. The proposed road would be constructed along an existing city-owned right-of-way, which currently accommodates greenspace and a pedestrian path along with buried sewer and water mains.

This additional road connection will provide enhanced access/egress to the P-Patch subdivision and will include facilities for pedestrians and cyclists. The provision of an alternative route such as this is anticipated to reduce vehicular traffic utilizing the Pine/Pleasant intersection and result in a corresponding improvement to the concerns voiced by residents.

Alternative C2 is shown on Figure 6.


Figure 7. Alternative C2 - New Road to Panoramic Drive.

### 3.4.2 Alternative C3: New Road to Princeton Drive

Alternative C3 expands on alternative C2 by providing a new road two blocks ( 200 metres) south, between Northern Avenue and Princeton Drive. Similar to alternative C2, this proposed road will be constructed on an existing city-owned right-of-way.

Extending the new access road an additional 100 metres to Princeton Drive will provide a more direct route for more households in the immediate area.

Alternative C3 is shown in Figure 7.


Figure 8. Alternative C3 - New Road to Princeton Drive.

### 3.4.3 Alternative C4: Install Traffic Lights at Pine Street and Pleasant Drive Intersection

This alternative involves the installation of traffic signal lights at the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive while maintaining the existing road network.

The current intersection configuration has traffic on Pine Street free flowing with a stop sign controlling vehicles on Pleasant Drive. The signalization of this intersection has been studied on various occasions in the past due to recurring calls for improvements from residents; however, the observed traffic volumes did not warrant implementation. As part of the Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements project, this has been revisited.

Alternative C4 is shown on Figure 8.


Figure 9. Alternative C4 - Install Traffic Lights at Pine Street and Pleasant Drive Intersection.

### 3.5 Inventory of Environmental Conditions

The second task in Phase 2 of the Class EA is the inventory of the natural, social and economic environment in the Study Area. For the purpose of this MCEA, the study area has been defined as the area bounded by John Street in the west, Black Road in the east and contains the residential and commercial properties located adjacent to the Northern Avenue right-of-way. The study area also includes the northern portion of the P-Patch subdivision, north of and including Pleasant Drive. The area of influence considered for the socio-economic environment has been defined as the developed urban region of the City of Sault Ste. Marie.

### 3.5.1 Natural Environment

The study area is entirely within the urban region of the City of Sault Ste. Marie and has been affected by human development activity in the past. The area is surrounded by a mix of developed and undeveloped lands including residential neighbourhoods, commercial developments, recreation/parklands and transportation corridors. The study area topography includes flat lowlands and dissected gullies to the east and flat to undulating lands to the west.

## Regional Geology

The Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) Aggregate Resources Inventory of the Sault Ste. Marie Area as well as the Sault Ste. Marie Region Conservation Authority's (SSMRCA) Sault Ste. Marie Region Source Protection Area Assessment Report were reviewed for the purpose of characterizing the physiography and geology of the study area.

The geology in Sault Ste. Marie rests on bedrock of the Cambrian and Precambrian age. The study area is located generally within an area comprised of glaciolacustrine deposits including fragmented to varied silt, clay and fine sand. The geological formations in the Sault Ste. Marie area are mainly the result of the repeated advance and retreat of extensive continental ice sheets during the Wisconsinan Stage of the Pleistocene Epoch.

The western portion of the Study Area is of undulating, rolling topography and composed of a mixture of sandy and silty ground morainal till. The surface drainage conditions in the area are considered to be dry.

## Groundwater Resources

Groundwater flow within the City of Sault Ste. Marie generally runs from the northern Precambrian uplands to the St. Marys River in the south. A small portion along the east limits of the study area is considered to be a potential groundwater discharge area as its lower elevation allows for the water table to leave the aquifer and flow to the surface. The remainder of the Study Area is considered a potential groundwater recharge area that allows for a percentage of total precipitation to infiltrate to the water table.

## Surface Water and Aquatic Habitat

Fort Creek is located just within the western limits of the study area. Originating in the northern portion of the City, the Fort Creek channel runs roughly north to south, crossing Second Line and Conmee Avenue. Further downstream, Fort Creek is conveyed by a concrete aqueduct from Carmen's Way to Queen Street where it then flows along an open channel to the St. Marys River.

Black Creek is located within the east limits of the study area. The creek forms approximately 60 meters east of Black Road and flows easterly where it ultimately connects to the Root River channel approximately 3.4 kilometres upstream of the St. Marys River.

As the Fort Creek and Root River are considered fish habitat, any proposal that may potentially impact the waterway or the area adjacent to the waterway (hazard area/flood plain) must have authorization from relevant agencies and must be carried out in accordance with applicable laws.

## Vegetation and Terrestrial Environment

The majority of the land within and abutting the study area has been previously developed and/or disturbed. Tree species including red maple, yellow birch, white pine and red oak are sparsely located along the corridor with the majority of those being located at the east end of Northern Avenue within the undeveloped Sault College Woodlot.

The study area is situated within the City's core and includes portions of undeveloped land which may create favourable habitat for several wildlife species including (but not necessarily limited to) fox, racoons, squirrels, chipmunks and bird species such as the blackcapped chickadee, white-throated sparrow, American crow and downy woodpecker.

### 3.5.2 Heritage Resources

Following a review of the "Master Plan of Archaeological Resources for the City of Sault Ste. Marie" (Archaeological Services Inc. "ASI", 2011), areas of suspected archaeological potential were identified along the Northern Avenue corridor. As a result, ASI was retained to conduct a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (background study and property inspection) for the Northern Avenue corridor. The Stage 1 Assessment (included in Appendix 4) concluded that although parts of the Northern Avenue corridor exhibit
archaeological potential (lands that have not undergone deep and extensive disturbances) a Stage 2 assessment would only be required if the City planned to disturb lands beyond the existing rights-of-way. It was also concluded that no archaeological potential existed along the utility corridor proposed for a new access/egress road to the P-Patch.

### 3.5.3 Social Environment

## Land Use

A large portion of the Study Area consists of institutional and residential properties. Several commercial developments are located between Great Northern Road and North Street on either side of the Northern Avenue corridor. North and south of the central portion of the Study Area, adjacent to Great Northern Road corridor, consist mainly of industrial and commercial properties. The majority of the properties at the west limits of the Study Area are residential while the eastern limits of the Study Area are zoned as parks and recreation, industrial and rural area zones. The majority of the properties located further south of the Study Area are residential while the area to the north consist of a mixture of residential, rural area and parks and recreational zoned properties.

## Utilities

The Study Area is serviced by both the municipal water distribution system and municipal sanitary/storm sewers.

Electricity is provided via a combination of overhead and underground conductors from the distribution grid owned and operated by the Sault Ste. Marie Public Utilities Commission (PUC).

All of the properties are within the boundaries of existing electrical and telecommunication services. The following authorities have infrastructure within the Study Area:

1. City of Sault Ste. Marie
2. Public Utilities Commission (PUC);
3. Bell Canada;
4. Shaw Cable; and
5. Union Gas.

## Recreation

There are several recreational opportunities neighbouring the Study Area. The Strathclair Sports Complex is located north of the Study Area at the east end of Second Line. The sports complex offers both youth and adult baseball and soccer facilities.

The Hiawatha Highlands Conservation Area is found northeast of the Study Area. The conservation area offers 35 kilometres of nature trails for hiking, cross country skiing and biking as well as lakes and river systems for activities such as fishing and canoeing.

The John Roswell Hub Trail is located east of Pine Street, on the north side of the Northern Avenue corridor and provides a pedestrian/cycling route connecting the northern and eastern sections of the City.

The Soo Pee Wee Arena is located on the Northern Avenue corridor between Great Northern Road and Sackville Road. The arena was built in 1967 and offers the community such activities as youth and adult hockey and figure skating.

Sault College is located on the Northern Avenue corridor between Willow Avenue and Pine Street. In addition to providing post-secondary courses, Sault College also offers youth summer camps as well as fitness and dance classes to the community.

### 3.6 Evaluation of Alternative Solutions

In order to compare the alternative solutions, each was examined to estimate how they would impact the environment (as it is described above) and to determine what mitigating measures may be reasonable to address the impacts. Evaluation criteria were developed in order to aid the comparison and to form a basis for the identification of a preferred solution.

### 3.6.1 Evaluation Criteria

The following is a summary and description of the evaluation criteria. The criteria are divided into three categories: technical, natural and social. Each criterion was ranked for each alternative and given a subjective score of 1 (positive/most desirable), 2 (neutral) or 3 (negative/least desirable). The scores are based on the anticipated impacts of implementing the alternative.

It is noted that the evaluation criteria are applied with reference to the stated problem/opportunity and the previously defined study area.

1) Technical Criteria

## 1.1) Vehicular Traffic Flow

This study has been prompted by an opportunity to improve the Northern Avenue corridor which was identified through the transportation master planning process. Accordingly, the ability for a potential solution to provide a safe and efficient environment for vehicular traffic is crucial.

A ranking of 3 is assigned to alternatives which are anticipated to fail to provide safe and efficient vehicular traffic facilities.

A ranking of 1 for this criterion indicates that the alternative results in a relatively straightforward solution with intuitive vehicle movements with a minimal amount of potential conflict areas.

Alternatives which are anticipated to result in vehicular traffic flow which is likely to be less than ideal are given a ranking of 2.
1.2) Pedestrian and Cycling Traffic Flow

Similar to the criteria for vehicular traffic flow, this criterion provides a measure of the extent to which an alternative can provide safe and efficient facilities for pedestrians and cyclists.

Alternatives which fail to provide safe and efficient flow for pedestrian traffic are assigned a rating of 3 .

A ranking of 1 in this criterion indicates that the alternative provides a safe and efficient method for pedestrians and cyclists to traverse through the Study Area.

An alternative which provides solutions which are for the most part safe and efficient however would result in some aspects being less than ideal are assigned a rank of 2 for this criterion.

## 1.3) Implementation of the Alternatives

This criterion provides the opportunity to assign ratings to alternatives which reflect the anticipated difficulties in physically implementing the proposed works. These factors may include topography factors, property constraints, interference with existing structures and similar challenges.

The most difficult or inconvenient alternatives to construct are rated 3.
The easiest and least inconvenient alternatives to construct are rated 1.
Alternatives ranked 2 are anticipated to have comparatively moderate difficulty or inconvenience associated with their implementation.
2) Environmental Criteria
2.1) Natural Environment

Rankings for this criterion reflect the anticipated impacts to the natural environment associated with implementation of the alternatives. Impacts may include changes to vegetation, habitat, water resources, etc.

Alternatives assigned a rank of 3 are anticipated to have significant negative impacts to the natural environment.

A ranking of 1 is applied to those alternatives which are anticipated to have little or no impact on the natural environment.

Those alternatives which are predicted to have moderate impacts are assigned a rank of 2.
3) Social Environment Criteria
3.1) Impacts on Land Users, Residents and Owners

Impacts to the local social environment are rated using this criterion. These include changes to the use of an area, impacts to nearby property owners, and other similar impacts.

Alternatives which would significantly negatively alter land uses and social interests are given the rank of 3.

Should little or no negative impacts be anticipated, a rank of 1 is assigned.

Those alternatives which may result in comparatively moderate negative social impacts are assigned a rank of 2.

## 4) Economic Criteria <br> 4.1) Cost of Implementation

Cost of implementation refers to the actual dollar amounts to be expended to implement an alternative. Such costs include construction, land acquisition as well as engineering and associated administrative costs.

This criterion is ranked based on anticipated relative costs. Rankings are low cost (1), medium cost (2), and high cost (3).

### 3.6.2 Evaluation Summary

The alternatives for each of the three opportunities were assessed and scored against eachother considering the criteria outlined above in order to determine which was preferred. A preferred alternative was determined for each opportunity.

A copy of the resulting evaluation matrix is presented in Appendix 5.

## Opportunity A

## Alternative A1: No Lane Reassignment ("Do Nothing")

This option was found to be least preferred as it did not address the recommendation to improve the Northern Avenue corridor. Although this alternative would be considered the easiest to implement, it did not efficiently address vehicular flow along the corridor or provide any safety enhancements to pedestrian or cycling facilities. The effects of the "Do Nothing" approach on the natural environment were found to be negligible and impacts to land owners and users of the corridor would not be altered. Costs associated with Alternative A1, which for the purpose of this report are considered a baseline cost for comparison, were given a low-cost ranking as they include the continued costs related to the maintenance and operation of Northern Avenue as it is now.

## Alternative A2: Full Length Lane Reassignment (North Street to Pine Street)

Alternative A2 was found to be the preferred alternative as it provides an opportunity to improve overall efficiency with respect to mode share and safety. Alternative A2 is felt to adequately address the recommendation in the TMP to improve the Northern Avenue corridor as the reduction to three lanes is expected to efficiently accommodate traffic volumes while providing an opportunity to enhance pedestrian and recreational cycling facilities within or adjacent to the road way.

Based on the average daily traffic (ADT) volumes observed along Northern Avenue, the overall traffic flow is not expected to be negatively impacted as a result of the reduction in traffic lanes. With the exception of the northbound and southbound approaches at Great Northern road, most movements, approaches and intersections are expected to operate at an acceptable level of service (LOS) and volume-to-capacity ratios. Apart from slight delays
related to transit stops during peak hours, traffic flow similar to the existing conditions is expected. It is also anticipated that efficient access to the properties adjacent to the corridor will be maintained though the implementation of this alternative.

Implementation of Alternative A2 is anticipated to result in moderate inconveniences to land owners and users of the corridor during construction. As Alternative A2 consists of redevelopment within the existing road corridor, minimal impacts on the natural environment are anticipated. Common mitigation procedures would be put in place to address typical impacts associated with construction.

Although lane widths are expected to vary along the corridor to allow for exclusive bicycle lanes on the north and south sides of the corridor, the proposed vehicle lane widths are expected to be the same or wider than the existing conditions. Existing intersection configurations are also expected to be maintained to ensure efficient operations. A reduction in vehicle travel lanes has been proven to help to maintain speed limit compliance, potentially improving the safety of the roadway.

Pedestrians would be required to cross fewer vehicle travel lanes which may also help to increase pedestrian safety along the corridor. It is also expected that the addition of bicycle lanes along the corridor will help to improve the efficiency of the roadway with respect to mode share, resulting in a positive social impact. Designated bicycle lanes allow for a greater buffer between not only bicycles and vehicles but also between bicycles and pedestrians along the sidewalk, making the corridor more inviting to all users. The addition of bicycle lanes also creates an east-west cycling route while helping to close gaps within the existing Hub Trail network. Costs are expected to include those associated with line painting for designated bicycle facilities and to reassign vehicle travel lanes.

It should be noted that the City's Transit Services recently completed a route optimization plan which identified the Sault College area as a candidate for a transit transfer point. Preliminary discussions have identified that the location for a transfer station should accommodate five buses as well as a 10 -foot by 24 -foot heated shelter and platform. Ideally the transfer station would also be located in the vicinity of the Hub Trail. The City should ensure that impacts related to the operation of the Northern Avenue corridor have been identified prior to finalizing the location of the proposed transit station.

## Alternative A3: Lane Reassignment Select Locations

Alternative A3 is expected to be able to accommodate the traffic volumes observed along the Northern Avenue corridor, however, due to the length of road required to transition between three lanes and four lanes, only the section between North Street and Reid Street was found to be practical for a lane reassignment. The distance between existing intersections east of Reid Street is insufficient to safely accommodate the transition.

Similarly to Alternative A2, this alternative provides an opportunity to improve cycling facilities with the designation of bicycle lanes in select locations on the north and south sides of the Northern Avenue corridor. This alternative is less preferred than Alternative

A2 with respect to pedestrian and cycling traffic flow as fractured cycling facilities may cause confusion among corridor users, resulting in discouragement and lack of use.

Alternative A3 received a worse ranking than Alternative A2 with respect to its impact on land users, residents and owners. As vehicles traveling along the corridor may increase speeds to avoid bottlenecking at transition areas, thus safety concerns for those using the roadway may increase.

Similarly to Alternative A2, implementation of Alternative A3 consists of the redevelopment of the existing road and as a result, impacts to the natural environment are anticipated to be minimal. It is also anticipated that the relative cost of implementation of Alternative A3 would be similar to that of A2.

## Opportunity Summary

Alternative A1 is not carried forward as it does not address the recommendation to improve the Northern Avenue corridor. This alternative does not efficiently address vehicular flow along the corridor or provide any safety enhancements to pedestrian or cycling facilities.

Alternative A2 is recommended for further consideration as it is expected to provide an opportunity to improve the overall efficiency of the Northern Avenue corridor with respect to mode share and safety. Implementation of this alternative is anticipated to have minimal impacts on the natural environment and an increase in pedestrian and cyclist safety.

Alternative A3 is not considered further as its implementation may lead to inconsistent lane configurations resulting in negative impacts on the efficiency and flow of traffic along the roadway. Discontinuing bicycle lanes may also cause confusion among corridor users, resulting in discouragement and lack of use.

## Opportunity B

## Alternative B1: No Extension of Northern Avenue ("Do Nothing")

Alternative B1 was determined to be the preferred alternative for opportunity B. The do nothing alternative ranked most favourably on the majority of the criteria evaluated; essentially meaning that the cost of constructing an extension of Northern Avenue will not provide a significant benefit over the status quo, and in-fact may have overall negative impacts.

Since no changes are required for this option, impacts related to the implementation are negligible. Traffic flow along Northern Avenue is not altered and there are no negative impacts on the environment, land users or nearby residents.

Although this alternative is considered the easiest to implement, it does not provide the opportunity to upgrade underground or aerial infrastructure or pedestrian and cycling facilities. However, these facilities may be constructed even if the road isn't, if warranted.

## Alternative B2: Extend Easterly to Black Road

Alternative B2 may help to reduce traffic on Second Line as this extension will provide an additional east-west route for vehicular traffic. However, a reduction in traffic travelling along Second Line is not necessarily beneficial, while the increased traffic on Northern Avenue is arguably detrimental. Second Line has recently been expanded to accommodate increased traffic volumes and is currently operating without capacity concerns.

As the proposed route for an easterly extension to Black Road is along an existing cleared utility corridor, impacts to the environment are expected to be minimal. This alternative provides significant opportunities to install new sewer and water infrastructure; however, due to the steep terrain and limited property availability, the cost and difficulty of implementation makes this alternative less favourable.

## Alternative B3: Extend Southeasterly to Lake Street

With respect to road efficiency, the implementation of Alternative A3 may result in some positive impacts as it provides the opportunity to connect upper Lake Street directly to Northern Avenue. This would also provide enhanced pedestrian and cycling facilities as well as potential for new water/sewer infrastructure along approximately 520 meters of new road.

Construction of this alternative will require mitigating measures to avoid detrimental impacts to the natural environment. Clearing of trees and destruction of habitat will result from implementation of this alternative. The steep topography in the area may be accommodated more easily with this Alternative as opposed to Alternative B2.

While an extension of Northern Avenue may provide better access to properties in the upper Lake Street area, negative impacts with respect to vehicular traffic flow and land use may be experienced. Connecting Lake Street to Northern Avenue is anticipated to result in increased traffic along both Northern Avenue as well as along Lake Street, countering the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment on Northern Avenue while negatively impacting adjacent properties. The alternative will also require the relocation of a portion of the Hub Trail.

Although expected to be less than Alternatives B2 and B4, moderate costs are still anticipated with the implementation of this alternative - mainly due to the steep slopes and property requirements.

## Alternative B4: Extend Southeasterly to Black Road

Impacts, both positive and negative, resulting from the implementation of this alternative are expected to be similar to those of Alternatives B2 and B3.

Alternative B4 offers an additional east-west route for vehicular traffic between Black Road and Great Northern Road and provides the opportunity for the construction of additional pedestrian and cycling facilities along with approximately 1,250 meters of new road. This
alternative may also allow for the elimination of the Upper Lake Pump Station while enhancing the City's water distribution network.

It is anticipated that an extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road would likely increase the traffic volume along Northern Avenue, similar to alternative A2. A possible increase in traffic along Northern Avenue, the alteration of green space to allow for the construction of a new road and the relocation of a portion of the Hub Trail is expected to negatively impact adjacent properties as well as those using the Hub Trail.

Similarly to Alternative B2 and B3, the cost and difficulty associated with the implementation of this alternative resulted in a less favourable ranking.

## Opportunity Summary

Alternative B1 is carried forward for further consideration as impacts related to the implementation of this alternative are negligible. Traffic flow along Northern Avenue is not expected to be altered and no negative impacts on the environment, land users or nearby residents are anticipated.

Alternative B2 is not considered further as this alternative may allow for an increase in traffic along the Northern Avenue corridor, countering the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment. Higher costs are also anticipated with this alternative as the road would be constructed over steep terrain with limited property availability.

Alternative B3 is not carried forward as connecting Lake Street to Northern Avenue may result in increased traffic along both Northern Avenue as well as along Lake Street, countering the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment on Northern Avenue. The loss of trees and wooded area associated with the implementation of this alternative as well as higher anticipated construction costs make this alternative unfavourable.

Similarly to Alternative B3, Alternative B4 is not carried forward as a connection between Northern Avenue and Black Road may result in an increase in traffic along Northern Avenue, countering the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment along Northern Avenue. Environmental impacts related to the construction of this alternative as well as the higher costs associated with the construction of a new road/utilities through challenging topography makes this a less favourable alternative.

## Opportunity C

## Alternative C1: No New Access into P-Patch ("Do Nothing")

Implementation of Alternative C 1 does not provide any access/egress improvements to the P-Patch subdivision and maintains the status quo at the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection.

With this alternative, the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection would continue to function in its current capacity, which has drawn vocal criticism from area residents and users of all types.

This alternative received favourable rankings with respect to its lack of difficulty to implement as well as associated costs; however, the operation of the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection has received complaints from users over the past few years which outweigh the positives of this alternative.

## Alternative C2: New Road to Panoramic Drive

Alternative C2 will provide a new access/egress point into the P-Patch subdivision while improving the existing pedestrian and cycling facilities. It is expected that a new road may help to alleviate traffic congestion at the Pine Street and Pleasant Drive intersection as traffic in the vicinity of these streets would likely be diverted to the new road.

Minimal impacts to the natural environment are anticipated with this alternative and moderate costs associated with the construction of the new road along the existing City owned right-of-way are expected.

The construction of a new road may negatively impact neighbouring properties as the new road is expected to increase traffic in the northwest portion of the P-Patch.

## Alternative C3: New Road to Princeton Drive

Similarly to Alternative C2, C3 will provide a new access/egress point into the P-Patch subdivision. It will include improvements to the existing pedestrian and cycling facilities while helping to improve traffic movement throughout the northern portion of the P-Patch.

It is expected that the construction of a new road along the City's existing right-of-way will help to divert north and west bound traffic in the vicinity of Princeton Drive away from the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection, potentially aiding in the reduction of perceived traffic congestion while minimizing wait times at the intersection.

Moderate costs are expected with this alternative as construction of the new road would take place along the existing City owned right-of-way, eliminating the need to purchase or clear any undeveloped land.

Although implementation of the alternative is expected to produce minimal impacts to the natural environment, the construction of a new road may negatively impact neighbouring properties as the new road is expected to increase traffic in the northwest portion of the P Patch.

## Alternative C4: Install Traffic Lights at Pine Street and Pleasant Drive

Alternative C4, Install Traffic Lights at Pine Street and Pleasant Drive, may help to shorten wait times for vehicles exiting the P-Patch subdivision at certain times of the day (and under certain conditions) - and increase delays at other times.

Existing pedestrian and cycling flow paths would not be expected to change; however, traffic flow along Pine Street will be impacted resulting in increased travel times.

Costs expected with this Alternative include the installation of the signals themselves, as well as modifications to curb radii and sidewalks.

## Opportunity Summary

Alternative C1 results in the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection continuing to function in its current capacity, not providing any additional access/egress points into the P-Patch subdivision. As the function of the intersection has drawn vocal criticism from area residents, this alternative is not carried forward.

Although Alternative C2 and C3 result in similar impacts, Alternative C3 is carried forward. As Alternative C3 is expected to divert a greater amount of traffic away from the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection, this alternative is found to be more favourable.

Alternative C4 is not carried forward as its implementation is not expected to improve the overall function of the intersection. As traffic flow along Pine Street is expected to increase and shorter wait times for those vehicles exiting the P-Patch subdivision are not expected to be consistent (i.e. during non-peak hours) this alternative is considered less favourable.

### 3.7 Recommended Solution

Following the evaluation procedure, the following combination of alternatives was identified as the recommended solution:

- Alternative A2 - Full length lane reassignment
- Alternative B1 - No extension of Northern Avenue
- Alternative C3 - New road to Princeton Drive


### 3.8 Solicit Input on Recommended Solution

A Public Information Centre was held on June 22, 2016 in the Russ Ramsay Room of the Sault Ste. Marie Civic Centre. Representatives from both Kresin Engineering Corporation (KEC) and the City were available to discuss the project.

The focus of this first PIC was to present the opportunity statement and the identified possible improvements to be considered as well as seek public input on the recommended solution. Twenty-seven residents attended the PIC in order to discuss the project. Copies of the presentation boards, attendance records and comments received at the PIC are attached in Appendix 6.

Input received during this PIC, as well as comments forwarded to KEC afterwards did not identify any additional alternatives to be considered. Many comments were supportive of the recommended solution; however, opposition to Alternative C3, primarily from adjacent residents, was noted. Also, concerns about the operation of the Great Northern Road/Northern Avenue intersection were received, specifically regarding the lack of an eastbound right turn facility.

No comments were received from any Indigenous community/organization.

### 3.9 Traffic Review

CIMA+ completed a Traffic Report in January of 2017 (included in Appendix 7). The report further evaluated the recommended solution identified for the Northern Avenue corridor from a traffic engineering perspective. The report provides a quantitative and qualitative review of the benefits and dis-benefits of lane reassignment along Northern Avenue as well as a capacity analysis of the
intersection of Northern Avenue and Pine Street following the construction of a new access/egress road to the P-Patch.

Based on their evaluation, CIMA+ concluded that the recommended road diet may help to increase road safety for all users and provide an improved cycling environment. Although transit vehicles may lead to the occasional delay in traffic along the corridor, no significant operational impacts are expected as a result of a lane reassignment along Northern Avenue.

Following a review of traffic operations at the Northern Avenue and Pine Street intersection, it was determined that a new access/egress road into the P-Patch would have a neutral impact on traffic operations at this intersection. Although a new road is expected to redirect traffic to the Northern Avenue and Pine Street intersection, the intersection operates well below capacity under the existing as well as future predicted conditions.

### 4.0 PHASE THREE - ALTERNATIVE DESIGN CONCEPTS FOR THE PREFERRED SOLUTION

At the completion of Phase Two of the MCEA, the preferred solution selected consists of implementing a road diet along approximately 2,200 meters of road between North Street and Pine Street as well as the construction of approximately 200 meters of new road from the existing east termination of Northern Avenue, south to intersect with Princeton Drive. The reasonable alternatives available to achieve this solution are few due to the specific nature of the proposed improvements.

The following outlines some design considerations for the implementation of this solution.

### 4.1 Road Diet

The proposed road diet is largely a non-structural modification consisting essentially of re-painting lane lines and adding lines/symbols for bicycle lanes. Some modifications to existing curbs and sidewalks will be required in localized areas, which will be done in consultation with design guidelines and established best practices. Input from the City traffic department, cycling advocates and design professionals will be obtained. Intersection configurations for automobiles following the road diet will closely match the existing conditions as there are currently dedicated turning lanes in many locations.

The suggested lane widths presented in the CIMA+ traffic report are recommended for implementation with this solution.

The existing property constraints at the southwest quadrant of the Great Northern Road/Northern Avenue intersection (Catalina Motel property) has resulted in a lack of space for a dedicated right turn lane. As a result, traffic back-ups are currently experienced in this location when as few as two eastbound vehicles will block access to the channelized right turn lane. This situation will not be improved with the proposed road diet. Property acquisition at this location is recommended to allow the construction of dedicated right turn facilities.

Although not specifically related to the road diet, the intersection of Willow Road and Northern Avenue was identified as requiring upgrades to accommodate bicycle traffic. Currently at this
location there are traffic signal heads facing the three legs of the intersection; however, bicycle traffic from the Hub Trail cannot see the status of the signals. Additional signal heads are recommended for this intersection.

In conjunction with the proposed road diet, the existing sidewalks along Northern Avenue will remain, with possible slight modifications where necessary. It is noted that there is currently no sidewalk on the south side of Northern Avenue, west of Reid Street; it is recommended that new sidewalk be installed at this location.

### 4.2 New P-Patch Access Road

The construction limits of a two-lane road within the P-Patch subdivision are to be within the existing City owned right-of-way, therefore deviation of the horizontal alignment will not be possible. The vertical alignment is expected to follow the existing topography while accommodating adjacent properties and existing intersections at Northern Avenue, Panoramic Drive and Princeton Drive.

The recommended cross-section for the new access road is a two lane urban cross-section with curbs and one sidewalk. The urban cross-section is recommended since it provides a more compact road which will minimize impacts to adjacent properties; a cross-section with ditches would require a greater width of disturbance.

The proposed new intersection at the east end of Northern Avenue will be a challenge as vehicular traffic is predicted to have a tendency to "free-flow" since it is only a two leg intersection. However, with the Hub Trail forming a third leg, bicycle/vehicle conflicts are possible. A stop sign control is recommended for the south leg of this intersection.

It is also recommended that the new access road have stop sign controls at both Princeton Drive and Panoramic Drive. This is expected to help with speed limit compliance and will make the route less appealing to cut through traffic seeking a "short-cut" through the P-Patch.

### 4.3 Solicit Input on Recommended Design

A second PIC was conducted on September 26, 2017 to present the recommended design to the Public. The opportunity was provided and the public was encouraged to discuss and offer comments on the presented information.

Thirty-one residents were in attendance at the second PIC and included good representation of residents in the immediate area who were concerned with the potential for negative effects resulting from the implementation of the recommended design. Specific issues raised predominantly involved a potential for an increase in traffic within the P-Patch as well as the possibility of increased traffic congestion on Northern Avenue following the implementation of lane reassignment. The public generally supported the addition of designated bicycle lanes along Northern Avenue.

No comments were received from any Indigenous community/organization.
Copies of the presentation boards, attendance records and comments received at the PIC are attached in Appendix 8.

### 4.3.1 Consultation with Interested Parties

Following the second PIC, further consultation with interested parties was carried out on a one-onone basis. These consultations included discussion of the following main concerns:

1. The construction of the proposed new P-Patch access road will not result in overall benefit.
2. More robust facilities for bicycles are required, including left turn boxes at signalized intersections.
3. Signalized pedestrian crossovers are needed at various locations along Northern Avenue.
4. The proposed road diet will result in unacceptable delays in accessing private properties and vehicle movements in general.

The concerns raised through consultation with interested parties can, for the most part, be successfully addressed through the detailed design and construction phases, as well as monitoring following implementation. The use of established best practices, standards and guidelines in the design and construction of bicycle and pedestrian facilities will address the majority of these concerns. Monitoring performance following implementation can also identify operational modifications which may further improve performance.

A summary of comments and responses are included in Appendix 9.

### 4.4 Preferred Design, Schedule and Cost

The preferred design consists of lane reassignments on Northern Avenue as detailed above. The lane reassignments are essentially a non-structural change involving line painting. It is our understanding that the current road surface is in a deteriorated state and requires re-paving; implementation of the road diet in conjunction with re-paving is recommended and the cost for line painting and minor modifications is $\$ 250,000$ in addition to the paving cost.

The construction of new sidewalk west of Reid Street can be completed at the same time, or phased as budget allows. The generation of accurate estimates to construct a sidewalk at this location requires a more detailed review. Property acquisition and/or relocation of utility poles are likely to be needed.

The construction of the new road into the P-Patch can be completed independently from the lane reassignment project, as budget allows. The estimated cost to construct this road is approximately $\$ 340,000$ including contingencies and engineering.

### 5.0 PHASE FOUR - ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY REPORT

In accordance with the completion of this study as a Schedule C Project under the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process, a Notice of Completion of this Environmental Study Report is to be issued and published by the City of Sault Ste. Marie.

The ESR is to be made available for review by interested parties for a period of 30 days following the Notice of Completion. During this review period, concerns from the public are to be resolved
by the City if possible. Failing resolution of issues, the concerned parties can request, during the review period, that the Minister of the Environment and Climate Change issue an order to comply with Part II of the EA Act.

It is preferable to resolve issues with the City rather than requesting a Part II order, therefore negotiations or mediation with the City is encouraged.

A request for a Part II order must be made in writing within 30 days of the Notice of Completion to the Minister of the Environment and Climate Change, with a copy to the City of Sault Ste. Marie at the addresses below:

| The Honourable Chris Ballard | Director, Environmental | Director of Engineering |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minister of the Environment | Approvals Branch | Services |
| and Climate Change | Ministry of the Environment | City of Sault Ste. Marie |
| 77 Wellesley Street West | and Climate Change | 5th Floor, Civic Centre |
| 11th Floor, Ferguson Block | 1st Floor, 135 St. Clair Ave West | 99 Foster Drive |
| Toronto ON M7A 2T5 | Toronto, ON M4V 1P5 | Sault Ste. Marie, ON P6A 5N1 |



| Descon |  | MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATION MUNICIPAL CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT | A. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPaly |  |  |  |  |
| Provect | 156404 |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{1589 \text { casseap oosess }}{\text { NTS }}$ | PLANNING AND DESIGN PROCESS | Rev | 0 |

NOTICE OF STUDY COMMENCEMENT \& PROJECT DISTRIBUTION LIST

## NOTICE OF STUDY COMMENCEMENT NORTHERN AVENUE CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS

The City of Sault Ste. Marie (City) is initiating a study to investigate alternatives to improve the efficiency of the Northern Avenue Corridor. It has been identified as part of the City's 2015 Transportation Master Plan that Northern Avenue is a candidate for potential lane reassignment and/or elimination between North Street and Pine Street and that an extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road may help to improve road network connectivity as well as reduce the traffic demands on Second Line. In conjunction with these potential improvements, the City has also identified the opportunity to integrate improvements to the access/egress of the P-Patch subdivision.

The study is being undertaken as a Schedule $C$ project in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment ("Class EA"). The study will include public and external agency consultations. The study will evaluate alternative designs based on their potential impacts on the natural, social and economic environments. Preceding any decisions recommending or accepting a preferred alternative, interested parties will have the opportunity to review the study findings and provide input and comments into the evaluation.

Public consultation is vital to the success of this study. The City would like to ensure that anyone interested in this study has the opportunity to get involved and provide input. The City plans to hold Public Information Centres (PICs) during the course of the Class EA in order to solicit input. Notification of the PICs will be provided at the appropriate time by means of a notice similar to this one.

Please contact one of the following project team members if you would like to be included on the project mailing list, have any questions or wish to obtain more information on the project:

## City of Sault Ste. Marie

Attention: Don Elliott, P. Eng.
Director of Engineering Services
99 Foster Drive, Civic Centre
Sault Ste. Marie, ON
Tel: (705) 759-5329
Email: d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca

Kresin Engineering Corporation
Attention: Michael Kresin, P. Eng.
Consulting Engineer
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, ON
Tel: (705) 949-4900
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca

Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

This notice first published on March 19, 2016.


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | Algoma District School Board | David Ervin | Supervisor of facility Renewal and Capital Planning | 190 Northern Avenue | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 1b | Algoma District School Board | David Steele | Manager | 190 Northern Avenue | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 1c | Algoma District School Board | Amy See | Facilities Use/Office Supervisor | 190 Northern Avenue | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 2 | Brookfield Renewable Power Inc. | Mr. Jim Deluzio | General Manager | 243 Industrial Park Crescent | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
|  | Sault Hydro Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Mr. Christian Provenzano | Mayor | Box 580,99 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 4 | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Ms. Judy Hupponen | Ward Councillor - Ward 3 | Box 580, 99 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 5 | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Mr. Matthew Shoemaker | Ward Councillor - Ward 3 | Box 580,99 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 6 | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Mr. Rick Niro | Ward Councillor - Ward 4 | Box 580,99 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 7 | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Mr. Lou Turco | Ward Councillor - Ward 4 | Box 580,99 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 8 | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Mr. Tom Vair | Deputy CAO, Community Development and Enterprise Services | Box 580,99 Foster Drive, Level 2 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 9 | City of Sault Ste. Marie | Ms. Virginia Mcleod | Manager, Recreation and Culture | Box 580,99 Foster Drive, Level 2 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 10 | City of Sault Ste. Marie, Planning Department | Mr. Don Mcconnell | Planning Director | Box 580, 99 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 10a | City of Sault Ste. Marie, Planning Department | Mr. Steve Turco | Planner | Box 580,99 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 11 | City of Sault Ste. Marie, Public Works and Transportation | Mr. Larry Girardi | Commissioner | 128 Sackville Road | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 12 | Department of fisheries and Oceans | Ms. Sara Eddy | Fish Habitat Biologist | 867 Lakeshore Road | Burlington | on |
| 13 | Algoma Power Inc. | Mr. Dan Richards | Supervisor District Engineer | 2 Sackville Road, Suite A | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 14 | Great Lakes Power Transmission | Mr. Berrie Mobach | Consultant | 2 Sackville Road, Suite B | Sault Ste, Marie | on |
| 15a | Huron Superior District Catholic School Board | Ms. Rose Burton Spohn | Director of Education | 90 Ontario Avenue | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 15b | Huron Superior District Catholic School Board | Mr. Larry Pezzutto | Principal, St. Paul School | 78 dablon Street | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 150 | Huron Superior District Catholic School Board | Mr. Sam Colizza | Manager of Plant Services | 90 Ontario Avenue | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 16 | Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada | Ms. Lina Letiecq | Regional Environmental Manager | 25 St. Clair Avenue East, 8th Floor | Toronto | on |
| 17 | Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation | Ms. Ashley Johnson | Senior Advisor, Consultation Unit | 160 Bloor St. E., 9th Floor | Toronto | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Ministry of Citizenship, Immigration and International Trade | Mr. Tony D'Agostino | Regional Advisor | Suite 200, 70 Foster Drive | Sault ste. Marie | on |
| 19 | Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport | Ms. Laura Hatcher | Heritage Planner | 401 Bay Street, Suite 1700 | Toronto ON | on |
| 20 | Ministry of Municipal Affairs, Municipal Services Office - North | Mr. Dave Welwood | Planner | 159 Cedar Street, Suite 401 | Sudbury | on |
| 21 | Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry | Ms. Mariorie Hall | District Planner | 70 Foster Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 22 | Ministry of Northern Development and Mines | Ms. Priva Tandon | Director | 5th Floor, Room 5630, 99 Wellesley Street West | Toronto | on |
| 23 | Ministry of Economic Development and Growth | Ms. Rachel Simeon | Director, Strategic Programs Development and Deliver Office Division | Hearst Block, 6th Floor, 900 Bay Street | Toronto | on |
| 24 | Ministry of the Attorney General | Mr. Antonin Pribetic | Counsel | 8th Floor, 720 Bay Street | Toronto | on |
| 25 | Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change | Mr. Ron Dorscht | Supervisor | 70 Foster Drive, Suite 110 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 26 | Ministry of Transportation | Mr. Andrew Healy | Senior Environmental Planner | 447 Mckeown Avenue, 1st Floor Mailroom | North Bay | on |
| 27 | Downtown Association | Mr. Josh Ingram | Manager | 496 Queen Street East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 28 | HDR Corporation | Ms. Elizabeth Szymanski, C.E.T. | Consultant | 255 Adelaide Street West | Toronto | on |
| 29 | PuC Services inc. | Mr. Dominic Parrella | President and CEO/Secretary | 500 Second Line, P. P. Box 9000 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 30 | PuC Services inc. | Mr. Rob Harten | Manager of Engineering | 500 Second Line, P. P. Box 9000 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 31 | PuC Services inc. | Mr. Darren Seabrook | Electrical Distribution Engineer | 500 Second Line, P. P. Box 9000 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 32 | PuC Services inc. | Mr. Andrew Hallett | Water Distribution Engineer | 500 Second Line, P.O. Box 9000 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 33 | Saut Cycling Club | Mr. Eric Eddy | President | c/0 235 McNabb Street | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 34 | Sault Ste. Marie Fire Services | Mr. Peter Johnson | Fire Chief | 72 Tancred Street | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 35 | Saut ste. Marie Public Library | Ms. Roxanne Toth-Rissanen | CEO/Director of Public Libraries (Acting) | 50 East Street | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 36 | Sault Ste. Marie Police Services | Mr. Robert Keetch | Chief of Police | 580 Second Line East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 37 | Saut Ste. Marie Region Conservation Authority | Ms. Rhonda Bateman | General Manager | 1100 Fifth Line East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 38 | Sault Ste. Marie Association of Ratepayers | David Poluck | Volunteer Organizer, Communications | 302 Boundary Road | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 39 | Sault Traillazers Snowmobile Club | Mr. John Breckenridge | President | 98 Old Garden River Road | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 40 | Sault Trails Advocacy Committee | Ms. Donna Hilisinger | Chairperson, Sault Ste. Marie Economic Development Corporation | 99 Foster Drive - Level 3 | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 41 | Transport Canada - Ontario Region | To Whom It May Concern | Environmental Assessment Program | 4900 Yonge Street | Toronto | on |
| 42 | Batchewana First Nation | Chief Dean Sayers | Chief | 236 Frontenac Street | Sault Ste. Marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | Garden River First Nation | Chief Paul Syrette | Chief | 7 Shingwauk Street, RR 4 | Garden River | on |
| 44 | Historic Sault Ste. Marie District Métis Community Council | Ms. Kim Powley | President | 26 Queen Street East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
|  | cc: Métis Nation of Ontario | Ms. Kim Powley |  | 500 old St. Patrick Street, Unit 3 | Ottawa | on |
| 45 | Métis Nation of Ontario | Jesse Fieldwebster | Consultant Coordinator | 355 Cranston Crescent, Po Box 4 | Midand | on |
| 46 | Public Contact | Robert Rattle |  |  | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 47 | Public Contact | Al Wright |  | 9 Pinemore Blvd | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 48 | Public Contact | Terry Politz |  | 6 Langdon Cres | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 49 | Public Contact | Autorama Sales Inc |  | 482 Black rd | sault ste marie | on |
| 50 | Public Contact | Miller Michael James |  | 9 tadcaster pl | sault ste marie | on |
| 51 | Public Contact | Baldassarro Patrizia |  | 2020 MILLENIUM CRT | sault ste marie | on |
| 52 | Public Contact | Dumas Pierre, Dumas Kathry Susanne |  | 969 HWY 552 E | goulais river | on |
| 53 | Public Contact | Sault Ste Marie City |  | PO BOX 580 STN MAIN | sault ste marie | on |
| 54 | Public Contact | Litalien Michelle Janet |  | 611 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 55 | Public Contact | Bressan Isaiah Luigi, Stubbs Brandon James |  | 5 PALOMINO DR | Sault ste marie | on |
| 56 | Public Contact | Palmer Lindsay |  | 593 NORTHERN AVE E | sault ste marie | on |
| 57 | Public Contact | Disano Nino John, Disano Steven Vihtori |  | 177 MALABAR DR | sault ste marie | on |
| 58 | Public Contact | Masci Angela |  | 601 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 59 | Public Contact | Burtch Brian Mathew |  | 597 Northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 60 | Public Contact | Plastino Nicholas, Plastino Eugenio |  | 643 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 61 | Public Contact | Dugas Pamela Jane |  | 358 PINE SHORE DR | SAULT Ste marie | on |
| 62 | Public Contact | Barsanti David Nathaniel |  | 621 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 63 | Public Contact | Chorney Patricia Arlene |  | 617 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 64 | Public Contact | Pierman Kenneth Patrick |  | 641 Northern ave E | SAult ste marie | on |
| 65 | Public Contact | Torquato Zachary Aurelio G |  | 631 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 66 | Public Contact | Barros-Mitchell Grace |  | 145 Panoramic dr | sault ste marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | Public Contact | Elliott Richard, Elliott Terri |  | 655 NORTHERN AVE E | SAULT STE MARIE | on |
| 68 | Public Contact | Trudeau Dwayne, Trudeau Trudy-Lynn |  | 651 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 69 | Public Contact | Serravalle George Frank, Serravalle Arlene A |  | 488 northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 70 | Public Contact | Latvanen Gary William, Latvanen Elaine |  | 474 Northern ave e | SAult ste marie | ON |
| 71 | Public Contact | Nelson Edgar, Nelson Agnes Ruth |  | 462 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 72 | Public Contact | 2472311 Ontario Inc Re: 03317 Northern Ave E |  | 1323 WAUBANOKA WAY | SAult ste marie | on |
| 73 | Public Contact | Nicholson Lorne Delmer, Nicholson Gloria Verna |  | 367 NORTHERN AVE E | SAULT Ste MARIE | on |
| 74 | Public Contact | Poluck David Edward, Poluck Kathy Jean |  | 357 northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 75 | Public Contact | Muncaster Irene Eleanor, Parent Marilyn Anne |  | 397 NORTHERN AVE E | SAULT STE MARE | on |
| 76 | Public Contact | Bortolussi Adam Christopher |  | C/O 470 ALbert St | SAult ste marie | ON |
| 77 | Public Contact | Posteraro Antonio, Posteraro Anthony Mathew |  | 36 KITCHENER RD | SAULT Ste MARIE | on |
| 78 | Public Contact | Posteraro Gennaro, Posteraro Anthony |  | 170 Strand ave | Sault ste marie | on |
| 79 | Public Contact | Columbus Club Of Sault Ste Marie Housing Corporation - Att: J S Nadeau |  | 277 NORTHERN AVE E | SAULT Ste MARIE | on |
| 80 | Public Contact | 1588836 Ontario Inc |  | 231 northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 81 | Public Contact | Soo Arena Association |  | 285 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 82 | Public Contact | 1309971 Ontario Inc |  | 207 NORTHERN AVE E | SAult ste marie | ON |
| 83 | Public Contact | Pawating Co-Operative Homes Inc |  | 58 PaWAting PL | SAult ste marie | on |
| 84 | Public Contact | Evans Merle Douglas, Evans Linda Marie - <br> Re: 00068 Northern Ave E |  | 25 POINT RD | AWERES TWP | on |
| 85 | Public Contact | Bodley Terry Robert, Bodley Lori Jean |  | 58 northern ave e | SAULT Ste marie | ON |
| 86 | Public Contact | Bressan \& Stubbs Properties Inc |  | 5 PALOMINO DR | SAULT STE MARIE | on |
| 87 | Public Contact | Viotto Rosario Santo, Viotto Andrea Louise |  | 85 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 88 | Public Contact | Johnson Robert Michael, Johnson Patricia Anne |  | 247 PRENTICE AVE | SAULT STE MARIE | on |
| 89 | Public Contact | 1890632 Ontario Inc |  | 1212 OLD GARDEN RI RD | SAULT STE MARIE | on |
| 90 | Public Contact | Perron Sherri-Lee |  | 658 NORTH ST | SAult ste marie | on |
| 91 | Public Contact | Euale David Andrew |  | 22 northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | Public Contact | Doherty Michael Kenneth, Doherty Laurie Ann Felecita |  | 10 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 93 | Public Contact | Ccmma Holdings Inc |  | 167 Sackilue rd | SAult ste marie | on |
| 94 | Public Contact | Mccaig Vivian Mae |  | 18 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 95 | Public Contact | Brookfield Power, Great Lakes Power, Transmission \& Distribution |  | 2 SACKVILLE RD | sault ste marie | on |
| 96 | Public Contact | Ross Michael Connard, Ross Sarah Jean Marie |  | 5 Northern ave E | sault ste marie | on |
| 97 | Public Contact | Ontario Realty Corporation, C/O Orc Property Tax Dept |  | 77 WELLESLEY ST W, 11TH floor ferguson block | toronto | on |
| 98 | Public Contact | Oakkin Trucking And Leasing Limited |  | 483 BLACK RD | SAULT Ste marie | on |
| 99 | Public Contact | Attention Sam Graham: Roy Graham Trucking Ltd | Office Manager | 91 Clelene Crt | sault ste marie | on |
| 100 | Public Contact | Brooks Derrick |  | 603 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 101 | Public Contact | Stevenson Sharon Arlene |  | 599 northern ave e | SAULT Ste marie | on |
| 102 | Public Contact | Turco Travis Michael, Turco Alyson Carol |  | 71 SOFTWOOD DR | SAult ste marie | on |
| 103 | Public Contact | Rutledge Leanne Mary |  | 627 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 104 | Public Contact | Febbraro Luciano, Febbraro Manuela |  | 175 BITONTICRES | sault ste marie | on |
| 105 | Public Contact | Smith Jocelyn Marie |  | 615 Northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 106 | Public Contact | Koutny Denise Zdenka |  | 613 Northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 107 | Public Contact | Capancioni Robert Peter |  | 84 golf range Cres | SAult ste marie | on |
| 108 | Public Contact | lanni-Palarchio Nadia Lidia |  | 633 northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 109 | Public Contact | Moran Mernie John, Moran Patricia Anne |  | 135 Panoramic dr | SAult ste marie | on |
| 110 | Public Contact | Mcfarling Donald, Mcfarling Elaine |  | 657 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 111 | Public Contact | Kearns Kathleen |  | 653 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 112 | Public Contact | Parlow Michael Vincent, Parlow Sarah Michelle |  | 58 TUCKET ST | Sault ste marie | on |
| 113 | Public Contact | Burtch Brian Mathew |  | 607 northern ave | sault ste marie | on |
| 114 | Public Contact | Ferrandez Cecilia |  | 136 Panoramic dr | Sault ste marie | on |
| 115 | Public Contact | Scali Louis Kyle |  | 116 AvERY RD | SAult ste marie | on |
| 116 | Public Contact | Cruise Jeffrey Alexander, Cruise Miranda Lee |  | 113 PANORAmic Dr | sault ste marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117 | Public Contact | Hall Jordan Thomas Donald |  | 54 PRINCETON DR | SAULT STE MARIE | on |
| 118 | Public Contact | Fryia Ted Michael, fryia Katherine Ann |  | 66 PRINCETON DR | Sault ste marie | on |
| 119 | Public Contact | Kaupp William J |  | 1016 PINE ST | Sault ste marie | ON |
| 120 | Public Contact | Algoma District School Board Re: 00550 Northern Ave E |  | 644 albert St | Sault ste marie | on |
| 121 | Public Contact | Lisinchuk Dawson Michael |  | 456 northern ave e | sault ste marie | ON |
| 122 | Public Contact | Howson Kathry Anne |  | 480 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 123 | Public Contact | $\underset{\text { A }}{\text { Horochowski Karol S, Horochowski Elizabeth }}$ |  | 468 NORTHERN AVE E | Sault ste marie | on |
| 134 | Public Contact | Dubreuil Richard Eddy |  | 450 northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 125 | Public Contact | Lalonde Paul Gerard, Lalonde Jean |  | 494 northern ave e | sault ste marie | ON |
| 126 | Public Contact | Hnatchuk Lorraine Trustee, Hnatchuk John Thomas Estate |  | 500 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 127 | Public Contact | Colombi John, Colombi Loredana |  | 146 PANORAMIC DR | SAult ste marie | on |
| 128 | Public Contact | Sault College Of Applied Arts And Technology Re: 00891 Second Line E |  | PO BOX 60 Stn main | Sault ste marie | on |
| 129 | Public Contact | Discount Car And Truck Rentals |  | 225 northern ave e unit b | SAult ste marie | on |
| 130 | Public Contact | Kars Elmer George |  | 259 great northern rd | SAult ste marie | on |
| 131 | Public Contact | Skyarby'S Inc |  | 1279 WeLuington ste | SAult ste marie | on |
| 132 | Public Contact | Dilabio Robert |  | 375 northern ave e | sault ste marie | ON |
| 133 | Public Contact | Kehoe M Jean, Kehoe John S Estate |  | 401 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 134 | Public Contact | Nicholson Chris, Nicholson Ann |  | 381 NORTHERN AVE E | Sault ste marie | on |
| 135 | Public Contact | Merrifield Kathleen E |  | 413 Northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 136 | Public Contact | Yukich Wayne |  | 446 Northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 137 | Public Contact | Lpf Realty Retail Inc Re: 00248 Northern Ave E |  | SUITE 700, 2275 UPPWE MIDDLE RD E | OAKVILLE ON | on |
| 138 | Public Contact | 1022357 Ontario Inc Re: 00279 Northern Ave E |  | 261 OLD GARDEN RIVER RD | SAult ste marie | on |
| 139 | Public Contact | Steeltown Motor Sales Inc |  | 275 northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 140 | Public Contact | Sleepy's Incorporated |  | 251 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 141 | Public Contact | Vance Allison Beth |  | 203 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142 | Public Contact | 510127 Ontario Limited Re: 00293 Northern Ave E |  | 219 TRUNK RD | Sault ste marie | on |
| 143 | Public Contact | Children'S Aid Society Of Algoma |  | 405 queen ste | sault tte marie | on |
| 144 | Public Contact | 1743566 Ontario Inc |  | 23 LODEMA DR | goulais river on pos 1eo | on |
| 145 | Public Contact | Penny'S Pets Inc |  | 179 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 146 | Public Contact | Gryphon Holdings Ltd |  | 72 northern ave E | Sault te marie | on |
| 147 | Public Contact | Stephens Anita |  | 62 Northern ave e | Sault tie marie | on |
| 148 | Public Contact | Roess Peter David |  | 1444 PEOPLES RD | sault ste marie | on |
| 149 | Public Contact | Fleming Stephen Ross, Fleming Brandy Lee |  | 52 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 150 | Public Contact | No Longer At This Address |  | 79 dacey rd | Sault te marie | on |
| 151 | Public Contact | Garrow Neil, Garrow Graham |  | 89 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 152 | Public Contact | Sault Scuba Centre Ltd |  | 102 Northern ave e | SAult ste marie | on |
| 153 | Public Contact | Gilbert \& Nick (Sautt), Holdings inc |  | 148 northern ave e | sault tte marie | on |
| 154 | Public Contact | U.A.J.A.P. P. FI, Local Union 800 re: 00165 Northern Ave E |  | 1640 Bancroft dr | sudbury on | on |
| 155 | Public Contact | Bumbaco Deborah Joyce |  | 14 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 156 | Public Contact | Couturier Wilbert Joseph |  | 3 northern ave | sault ste marie | on |
| 157 | Public Contact | Rivera-Montufar Jose Ignacio, RiveraMontufar Elizabeth L |  | 4 NORTHERN AVE W | Sault ste marie | on |
| 158 | Public Contact | Armstrong Karen Lee, Armstrong Clarence James |  | 23 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 159 | Public Contact | Coccimigio Adolfo |  | 15 northern ave e | sault ste marie | on |
| 160 | Public Contact | Trudeau David John, Redmond Allison Joyce |  | 28 Northern ave e | sault ste marie | ON |
| 161 | Public Contact | China Steel Industries Inc Re: 00003 Sackville Rd |  | 164 Industrial park cres | Sault ste marie | on |
| 162 | Public Contact | 1188004 Ontario Inc Re: 00115 Northern Ave E |  | 1235 PEOPLES RD | Sault ste marie | on |
| 163 | Public Contact | Community Living Algoma |  | 99 northern ave e | sault ste marie | ON |
| 164 | Public Contact | Conway Marilyn Alice |  | 45 Northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 165 | Public Contact | Pajovi Inc, Bruni Frank, C/O Mark Smith, O/A Area Express Del Service |  | 239 northern ave e | Sault ste marie | on |
| 166 | Public Contact | Sault Ste Marie City Re: 00065 Old Garden Rvr Rd |  | 99 Foster dr | Sault ste marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167 | Public Contact | Anglican Church Of Canada, Diocese Of Algoma Re: 00360 Northern Ave E |  | PO BOX 1168 STN MAIN | SAULT Ste marie | on |
| 168 | Public Contact | Cara Community Corporation |  | 31 old garden river rd | Sault ste marie | on |
| 169 | Trevor Rising | The Sault College Of Applied Arts And Technology | Director, Physical Resources | 443 NORTHERN AVE, PO BOX 60 | SAULT Ste marie | on |
| 170a | Public Contact | Attention Daniel Woods, P. Eng: Extendicare (Canada) Inc Re: 00650 Northern Ave | Director of Engineering | 3000 STEELES AVE E | markham on | on |
| 1706 | Public Contact | Attention Carly Brown: EXTENDICARE (CANADA) INC Re: 00650 NORTHERN AVE |  |  |  |  |
| 170c | Public Contact | Attention Susan Enouy: EXTENDICARE (CANADA) INC Re: 00650 NORTHERN AVE |  |  |  |  |
| 171 | Public Contact | No Resident - -inn Hill |  | 185 Black Road | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 172 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 482 Black Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 173 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 483 Black Road | Saut ste. Marie | ON |
| 174 | Public Contact | No Resident - No Such Address |  | 498 Black Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 175 | Public Contact | No Resident - No Known Address |  | 536 Black Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 176 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 258 Great Northern Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 177 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 259 Great Northern Road | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 178 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 303 Great Northern Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 179 | Public Contact | No Resident - No Such Address |  | 78 Kent Avenue | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 180 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 79 Kitchener Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 181 | Public Contact | No Resident - Pawating Property |  | 1119 Lake Street | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 182 | Public Contact | No Resident - Pump Station |  | 1120 Lake Street | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 183 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 658 North Street | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 184 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 5 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 185 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 10 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 186 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 14 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 187 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 15 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 188 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 18 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 189 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 22 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 23 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | ON |
| 191 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 28 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 192 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 45 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 193 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 52 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 194 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 58 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 195 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 62 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste Marie | on |
| 196 | Public Contact | Vacant Lot |  | 65 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 197 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 68 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 198 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 72 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 199 | Public Contact | No Resident - Appartment Bld |  | 73 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 200 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 85 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 201 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 89 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste Marie | on |
| 202 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 98 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 203 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 99 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 204 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 102 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 205 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 110 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 206 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 115 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 207 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 115 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 208 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 134 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 209 | Public Contact | Mr. Steve Roberts |  | 140 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 210 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 145 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 211 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 147 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 212 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 148 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 213 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 165 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 214 | Public Contact | No Resident - Penny's Pets |  | 179 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |



|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 239 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 284 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 240 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 285 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 241 | Public Contact | No Resident - Metro Plaza |  | 288 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 242 | Public Contact | No Resident - Metro Plaza |  | 292 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 243 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 293 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 244 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 294 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 245 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 306 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 246 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 317 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 247 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 317 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 248 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 317 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 249 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 352 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 250 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 357 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste Marie | on |
| 251 | Public Contact | No Resident - Church Parking Lot |  | 360 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 252 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 361 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 253 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 367 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 254 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 370 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 255 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 372 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 256 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 374 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 257 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 375 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 258 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 376 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 259 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 380 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 260 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 381 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 261 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 382 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 262 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 384 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 263 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 386 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 388 Northern Avenue East | Sault ste. Marie | on |
| 265 | Public Contact | No Resident - Vacant Home |  | 389 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 266 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 390 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 267 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 392 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 268 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 397 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 269 | Public Contact | No Resident - Park |  | 400 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 270 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 401 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 271 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 407 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 272 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 413 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 273 | Public Contact | No Resident - Sault College Parking Lot |  | 428 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 274 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 440 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 275 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 442 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 276 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 443 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 277 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 446 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 278 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 450 Northern Avenue East | Sault ste. Marie | on |
| 279 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 456 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 280 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 462 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 281 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 468 Northern Avenue East | Sault ste. Marie | on |
| 282 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 474 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 283 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 480 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 284 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 488 Northern Avenue East | Sault ste. Marie | on |
| 285 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 494 Northern Avenue East | Sault ste. Marie | on |
| 286 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 500 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 287 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 524 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 288 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 550 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 289 | Public Contact | No Resident - Sault college Wood Lot |  | 562 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 290 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 593 Northerr Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 291 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 595 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 292 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 597 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 293 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 599 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 294 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 601 Northerr Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 295 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 603 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 296 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 605 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 297 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 607 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 298 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 611 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 299 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 613 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 300 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 615 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 301 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 617 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 302 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 621 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 303 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 623 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 304 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 625 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 305 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 627 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 306 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 631 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 307 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 633 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 308 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 635 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 309 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 637 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 310 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 641 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 311 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 643 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 312 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 645 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 313 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 647 Northern Avenue East | Sault Ste. Marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 314 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 650 Northern Avenue East | Saut ste. Marie | ON |
| 315 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 651 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 316 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 653 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 317 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 655 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 318 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 657 Northern Avenue East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 319 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 3 Northern Avenue West | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 320 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 4 Northern Avenue West | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 321 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 31 Old Garden River Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 322 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 65 Old Garden River Road | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 323 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 134 Panoramic Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 324 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 135 Panoramic Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 325 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 136 Panoramic Drive | Saut ste Marie | on |
| 326 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 145 Panoramic Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 327 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 146 Panoramic Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 328 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 2 Pawating Place | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 329 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 3 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 330 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 4 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 331 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 5 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 332 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 6 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 333 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 7 Pawating Place | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 334 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 8 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 335 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 9 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 336 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 10 Pawating Place | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 337 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 11 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 338 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 12 Pawating Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 339 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 13 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 340 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 14 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 341 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 15 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 342 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 16 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 343 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 17 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 344 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 18 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 345 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 19 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 346 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 20 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 347 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 21 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 348 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 22 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 349 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 23 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 350 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 24 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 351 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 25 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 352 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 26 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 353 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 28 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 354 | Public Contact | No Resident (Moved./Unknown) |  | 30 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 355 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 32 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 356 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 34 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 357 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 36 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 358 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 38 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 359 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 40 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 360 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 42 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 361 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 44 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 362 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 46 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 363 | Public Contact | No - Resident (Moved/Unknown) |  | 48 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 364 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 50 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 365 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 52 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 366 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 54 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 367 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 56 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 368 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 58 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 369 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 62 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 370 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 66 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 371 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 70 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 372 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 74 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 373 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 76 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 374 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 78 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 375 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 80 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 376 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 82 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 377 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 84 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 378 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 86 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 379 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 88 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 380 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 90 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 381 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 92 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 382 | Public Contact | No Resident (Moved/Unknown) |  | 94 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 383 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 96 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 384 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 98 Pawating Place | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 385 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 1016 Pine Street | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 386 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 54 Princeton Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 387 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 56 Princeton Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 388 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 66 Princeton Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 389 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 2 Sackville Road | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 390 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 2 Sackville Road | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 391 | Public Contact | No Resident - China Steel Inc. Garage? |  | 3 Sackville Road | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 392 | Public Contact | No Resident - Sault College Wood Lot |  | 891 Second Line East | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 393 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 9 Tadcaster Place | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 394 | Public Contact | Bill Merrifield |  | 97 Bainbridge Street | Sault Ste. Marie | on |
| 395 | Public Contact | John Krmpotic |  | 96 Bainbridge Street | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 396 | Public Contact | John Amendola |  | 100 Bainbridge Street | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 397 | Public Contact | Jim Fitzpatrick |  | 104 Bainbridge Street | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 398 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 129 Panoramic Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 399 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 130 Panoramic Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 400 | Public Contact | Ralph and Erika Vecchio |  | 149 Panoramic Drive | Saut ste Marie | on |
| 401 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 48 Princtoon Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 402 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 50 Princeton Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 403 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 51 Princeton Drive | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 404 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 54 Princeton Drive | Saut ste. Marie | on |
| 405 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 55 Princeton Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 406 | Public Contact | Current Resident |  | 57 Princeton Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 407 | Public Contact | Mark Cady/Karen Zaffini |  | 61 Princtoon Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 408 | Public Contact | Mr. Marc Thibodeau |  | 69 Princeton Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | on |
| 409 | Public Contact | Chris Kelly |  | 177 Panoramic Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 410 | Public Contact | Chuck Miller |  | 46 Moluch Street | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 411 | Public Contact | Andre Riopel |  | 200 Case Road | Saut ste. Marie | ON |
| 412 | Public Contact | Denton Middaugh |  | 177 Princeton Drive | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |
| 413 | Public Contact | Mark Crofts |  |  | Saut Ste. Marie | ON |


|  | Ministry/Agency | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 414 | Sault Naturalists | Ron Prickett |  |  | Sault ste. Marie | ON |
| 415 | Public Contact | Laura Marsh |  | 205 Panoramic Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 416 | Public Contact | lan Kingenberg |  | 165 Panoramic Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 417 | Public Contact | Janice Knapp |  | 54 Jean Avenue | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 418 | Public Contact | Peter and Anne Mclarty |  | 755 Fifth Line | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 419 | Public Contact | Pete Bulas |  | 1-30 Albert Street East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 420 | Public Contact | Dan Gowans |  | 75 Pageant Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 421 | Public Contact | Jim McShane |  | 173 Panoramic Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 422 | Public Contact | Rich and Sue Greenwood |  | 184 Promenade Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 423 | Public Contact | Jim Steele |  | 44 Woodhurst | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 424 | Public Contact | Betty Vankerkhof |  | 72 Prince Charles Cres | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 425 | Public Contact | Robert Routledge |  | 74 Tilley Road | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 426 | Public Contact | Carole Blaquiere |  | 244 Young Road | Goulais River | ON |
| 427 | Public Contact | Ken Miller |  | 1913 Queen Street East | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 428 | Public Contact | Karen Mikoliew |  | 46 Moluch Street | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 429 | Public Contact | Mike Keenan |  | 189 Panoramic Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 430 | Public Contact | Alexander Flammia |  | 711 Bay Street, Unit \#203 | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 431 | Public Contact | Joe Sniezek |  | 60 Prince Charles Crescent | Sault ste. Marie | ON |
| 432 | Shaw Communications | Justin Williamson |  |  |  |  |
| 433 | Bell Canada | Alain Morin |  |  |  |  |
| 434 | Public Contact | Ann-Marie Fenlon |  | 178 Princtoon Drive | Sault ste. Marie | ON |
| 435 | Public Contact | Mr. Peter Henry |  |  |  |  |
| 436 | Public Contact | Mr. Lorenzo DiCerbo |  |  |  |  |
| 437 | Public Contact | Mr. Terry Roberts |  | 1207 Old Garden River Road | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 438 | Public Contact | Ms. Darlene Govette |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Contact Name | Contact Title | Street Address | City | Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 439 | Public Contact | Mr. David Helwig |  |  |  |  |
| 440 | Public Contact | Mr. Sean Meades |  | 11 Euclid Road | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 441 | Public Contact | Mr. Ray Fox |  | 11 Euclid Road | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
| 442 | Public Contact | Mr. Lucas Febbraro |  |  |  |  |
| 443 | Public Contact | Mr. Paul McDonald |  |  |  |  |
| 444 | Public Contact | Mr. Robert Carricato |  | 12 Plummer Court | Sault ste. Marie | ON |
| 445 | Public Contact | Ms. Pat Sutherland |  | Princeton Drive | Sault Ste. Marie | ON |
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## Executive Summary

CIMA was retained by the City of Sault Ste. Marie to conduct an Operations and Safety Review of the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive. The City has been received complaints related to traffic operations and safety at this intersection, including:

+ Difficulty (delay) making the westbound left-turn from Pleasant Drive onto Pine Street from the stop control; and
+ Non-compliance of westbound right-turn vehicles with the school crossing guards' Stop sign while students are crossing Pine Street on the north side.

The scope of this study included the review and analysis of items such as intersection geometry, traffic and pedestrian volumes, traffic speeds, collision history, intersection capacity and level of service, and all-way stop warrant and traffic signal justification reviews.

The main findings from our review are:

+ Traffic volumes on both Pine Street and Pleasant Drive are within typical ranges for their respective road classifications; pedestrian volumes are significantly concentrated within 15-minute periods in both AM and PM school peaks and are very low during other times of the day;
$+85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed on Pine Street is at least $15 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in excess of the posted speed, including the regular $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ associated with the school zone;
+ The intersection operates with acceptable volume to capacity ratios and levels of service for all approaches;
+ All-way stop control and traffic signals are not justified at the intersection; and
+ No evidence was found that operational issues may cause conflicts between westbound rightturning vehicles and pedestrians on the north crosswalk.

In short there were no obvious problems found at this intersection. Based on our findings, the following recommendations are provided:

+ The existing traffic control (minor-road stop control) should be maintained;
+ Consideration should be given to observe traffic operations on-site to further investigate potential conflicts and delays between pedestrians and westbound (i.e. from Pleasant Drive) right-turning vehicles. If non-compliance is found to be significant, occasional police patrols could mitigate the issue;
Although the speeding on Pine Street is typical for such a road; if the City wishes to address this issue they may consider the following:
+ In the short-term, the City may consider installing electronic speed feedback signs on Pine Street approaching Pleasant Drive to reduce operating speeds along this road; and
+ If speeds remain a concern the City may consider installing traffic calming measures on Pine Street, including raised median islands and/or intersection curb extensions.
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## 1. Background

CIMA was retained by the City of Sault Ste. Marie to conduct an Operations and Safety Review of the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive. The City has been receiving a series of complaints related to traffic operations and safety at this intersection, including:

+ Difficulty making the westbound left-turn from Pleasant Drive onto Pine Street from the stop control; and
+ Non-compliance of westbound right-turn vehicles with the school crossing guards' Stop sign while students are crossing Pine Street on the north side.

This report includes the findings from our review of intersection geometry, traffic and pedestrian volumes, traffic speeds, collisions and operations. The report also provides recommendations to address any issues encountered.

## 2. Study Area

The study area for this assignment is illustrated in Figure 1 and includes the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive and its 3 approaches (northbound, southbound and westbound).

Pine Street is an arterial road with a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. It extends in the north-south direction, connecting to the Trans-Canada Highway at the north end, and to Wellington Street and Queen Street at the south end. The land use is predominantly residential and an access to St. Paul Catholic School is present across from Pleasant Drive.

School zone signs are present on Pine Street indicating a reduction of the speed limit to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ when flashing beacons are active. The flashing school zone schedule was provided by the City and includes the periods between 8:30 and 9:00 am, 11:50 am and 12:20 pm, and 3:20 and 3:50 pm.

The predominance of residential land use and the low speed limits are not typical of arterial roads, where commercial or institutional developments, for example, are more common. This may lead to conflicting interpretations of the function of the road by different road users (drivers and pedestrians, especially).
Pleasant Drive is a minor collector residential road with a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.


Figure 1: Study Area

## 3. Existing Conditions

### 3.1 Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control

The intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive is a 3-leg configuration with minor road stop control on Pleasant Drive, although the access to St. Paul Catholic School effectively functions as a fourth leg. The access is a 'loop' with the entrance located opposite to Pleasant Drive and with the exit located approximately 75 metres southerly, and is used predominantly by school buses.

The two streets intersect each other at a 90-degree angle. Dedicated left-turn lanes are present on the southbound and westbound approaches. There are no apparent visibility restrictions to drivers leaving Pleasant Drive onto Pine Street. Figure 2 illustrates the intersection geometry, and Table 1 indicates approximate lane widths.

Sidewalks are present on both sides of Pine Street and on the north side of Pleasant Drive, and crosswalks are located on the north and east legs of the intersection. Two school crossing guards are assigned to the intersection during school entry and dismissal times: one at the crosswalk on the north leg; a second one was added to assist with safety concerns. The second crossing guard may also assist students to cross Pleasant Drive if required.


Figure 2: Intersection Geometry

Table 1: Lane Widths

| Direction | Movement | Lane Width (m) | Total Cross Section (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | 5.00 |  |
| SB | L | 3.00 | 12.00 |
| WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | 3.75 |  |

### 3.2 Traffic Volumes

Figure 3 illustrates the 24 -hour volumes on the approaches to the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive. Based on the count conducted on Tuesday, October 7, 2014, Pine Street carries a volume of approximately 13,700 vehicles per day on the north leg (7,395 southbound; 6,369 northbound), and approximately 11,600 vehicles per day on the south leg ( 5,666 northbound; 6,017 southbound). These volumes are in the range outlined in the Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (TAC - Transportation Association of Canada, 1999) for arterial roads. Pleasant Drive carries a volume of approximately 4,000 vehicles per day ( 2,173 westbound; 1,901 eastbound), a volume typical of residential collector roads according the TAC Guide.
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Figure 3: 24-hour Volumes
Figure 4 shows the turning movement volumes at the intersection, based on a count conducted on Tuesday, October 28, 2014. As expected, the most significant volumes are the through movements in the northbound and southbound direction (382 and 755 vehicles per hour ${ }^{1}$, respectively).

[^0]


Figure 4: Peak Hour Turning Movement Diagram (4:30-5:30 pm) ${ }^{2}$

### 3.3 Pedestrian Volumes

Figure 5 illustrates the 8 -hour volumes at the intersection. The north leg presents the highest pedestrian volume, since the crosswalk on the north leg is the continuation of the Pleasant Drive sidewalk, used by pedestrians to access the school from the residential neighbourhood. Eighty-eight pedestrians crossed Pine Street on the north crosswalk, likely from/to the school. The east approach had 10 pedestrians crossing Pleasant Drive during the 8 hours counted.

[^1]

Figure 5: 8-Hour Turning Movement Diagram (8-9 am + 12-7 pm)

### 3.4 Traffic Speeds

A speed study was conducted by the City on the three approaches to the subject intersection, between October $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$, 2014. Table 2 summarizes the results for Pine Street on approach to Pleasant Drive, including $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds and compliance rates for the overall study sample and for the periods when the school zone flashing beacons are active. ${ }^{3}$

[^2]

Table 2: Speeds on Pine Street on Approach to Pleasant Drive

| Direction |  | Speed Limit 50 km/h <br> (Non-flashing periods) | Speed Limit $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (Flashing School Zone) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8:30-9:00 | 11:50-12:20 | 15:20-15:50 |
| NB | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed | $64 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $58 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $63 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $59 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | Compliance | 14\% | 18\% | 7\% | 21\% |
| SB | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed | $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $59 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $59 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | Compliance | 18\% | 15\% | 11\% | 10\% |

* Posted speed: $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$; when flashing school zone: $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

For all cases, the compliance rates are low, ranging between $11 \%$ and $21 \%$, while $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds are at least $14 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in excess of the speed limit. This is not unexpected given the road characteristics. As discussed in Section 2, different users may have conflicting interpretations of the function of the road, and drivers are likely to interpret Pine Street to be a higher speed road.

The speeds on Pleasant Drive on approach to Pine Street are not a concern, with a compliance rate of $89 \%$ and an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of $49 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

### 3.5 Collision Analysis

Collision records for the study area were provided by the City for a period of 3 years (2011 to 2014). During this period, 9 collisions were reported at the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive.

Out of the 9 collisions, 3 can be classified as Angle collisions, all involving a northbound and a westbound vehicle (highlighted with the red rectangle in Figure 6). Each of these 3 collisions occurred under different conditions: one occurred with clear weather and during the day; one occurred on 'packed snow' during dark conditions; and the third occurred under rain and during daylight. None of these three collisions involved injuries.

Another 2 injury Turning Movement collisions (highlighted with the green rectangle in Figure 6). were reported at the intersection, one involving a northbound vehicle going ahead and a southbound vehicle turning left, and the other involving a southbound vehicle going ahead and a northbound vehicle turning left. Both occurred during daylight periods and under clear weather condition, however pavement condition was dry for one collision and wet for the other.

The remaining 4 collisions include a Rear End; a reversing vehicle hitting a stopped vehicle; a Sideswipe; and a Turning Movement collision with two southbound vehicles, one of which making an improper turn.

The collision review shows that 5 out of 9 collisions involved a northbound or a southbound vehicle going straight through the intersection against a vehicle entering or exiting Pleasant Drive. Given the low speed compliance observed on Pine Street (refer to Section 3.4), and the potential for different interpretations of the function of the road by different road users, it is possible that the higher speeds of northbound and southbound traffic (expected to be predominantly through traffic) may be violating the expectations of drivers accessing Pine Street from Pleasant Drive (expected to be predominantly local traffic).
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Figure 6: Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive Collision Diagram

### 3.6 Traffic Operations

An operational analysis was conducted using procedures described in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (HCM). For intersections, the analysis focuses on performance measures such as intersection capacity and Level of Service (LOS).

Intersection capacity performance is measured as a volume to capacity ratio (v/c). A v/c ratio of 1.0 indicates that an intersection or lane group is operating at capacity. Typically, v/c ratios of up to 0.85 are considered acceptable.

Level of Service is a qualitative measure of operational performance based on control delay. The LOS criteria for unsignalized intersections are shown in Table 3. LOS A is represented by a control delay of less than 10 seconds per vehicle (referred to as free flow operating conditions). LOS F is represented by a control delay greater than 50 seconds per vehicle for unsignalized intersections. Typically, a LOS D or better is considered acceptable.

Table 3: Highway Capacity Manual LOS Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections ${ }^{4}$

| Level of Service (LOS) | Control Delay (s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<=10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Synchro/SimTraffic 8 software was used to determine whether poor operational performance during shorter periods would justify changing the control type at the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive. The analysis was conducted for the AM Peak and PM School Peak Periods, and the results are summarized in Table 4.

[^3]Table 4: Intersection Operation - Existing Conditions (Minor Road Stop Control)

| Direction | Movement | v/c | Delay (s) | LOS | $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | T/R | Free Flow |  |  |  |
|  | L | 0.08 [0.15] | 9.2 [9.1] | A [A] | 15 [19] |
|  | T/R | Free Flow |  |  |  |
| WB | L | 0.24 [0.21] | 20.8 [29.2] | C [D] | 25 [19] |
|  | R | 0.58 [0.20] | 23.4 [13.4] | C [B] | 33 [26] |
| Overall |  | - | 6.3 [2.9] | A [A] | - |
| AM Peak [PM School Peak] |  |  |  |  |  |

The Synchro/SimTraffic results indicate that current operations (i.e. with minor road stop control) are acceptable for both peak periods reviewed. The through movements on Pine Street operate with free flow conditions, while Pleasant Drive operates well under capacity and with LOS D or better. The movement with the longest average delay is the westbound left-turn: approximately 29 seconds per vehicle. This movement was one of the original complaints that initiated this study. The analysis results show that there are no operational concerns related to the westbound left turn or any other movements.

### 3.6.1 Westbound Right-Turn Operations

One of the complaints that originated this study referred to westbound right-turn vehicles not complying with the school crossing guards' Stop sign while students are crossing Pine Street on the north side. Although the results of the analysis in the previous section show no operational concerns, it is possible that delays for this movement are increased with the presence of the crossing guard, making drivers impatient and resulting in non-compliance.

A Synchro model was adapted to simulate the presence of the crossing guard at the intersection in order to evaluate potential operational impacts that the guard might have on the westbound right-turn movement. The results of the Synchro model indicated acceptable average delays to the westbound right-turn: 16 seconds (LOS C) for the AM Peak and 28.5 seconds (LOS D) for the PM School Peak. These results can be considered conservative, as they assume that pedestrian crosses Pine Street one at a time. Realistically, however, school children are also expected to cross in groups, which would reduce the number of crossings and provide more gaps to right-turning vehicles. There is little evidence that traffic and pedestrian volumes alone may be contributing to non-compliance with the crossing guard Stop sign.

It is important to note that due to the limitations of the Synchro software, the analysis described in this section is an approximation and definitive conclusions should not be drawn solely based on it. Field studies, including gap availability and/or delay for the westbound right-turn would need to be conducted to confirm these findings.

## 4. All-Way Stop Warrant and Traffic Signal Justification Review

### 4.1 All-Way Stop Warrant

In late 2014 the City conducted an All-Way Stop warrant review for the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive, following the guidance provided by OTM Book 5 - Regulatory Signs. Their analysis indicated that All-Way Stop control is not warranted at this intersection.
CIMA reviewed the warrant calculations conducted by the City (Appendix B) and we confirm that the calculations were undertaken correctly and in a manner that follows the guidance in OTM Book 5.

### 4.2 Traffic Signal Justification

In late 2014 the City conducted a traffic signal justification review for the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive, following the requirements from OTM Book 12 - Traffic Signals. The City used the November 2007 edition of OTM Book 12. Although a newer version (March 2012) is available, none of the warrant criteria have changed from the 2007 version. Their analysis indicated that signal control is not warranted at this intersection.
CIMA reviewed the warrant calculations conducted by the City (Appendix B) and we confirm that the calculations were undertaken correctly and in a manner that follows the guidance in OTM Book 12.

### 4.2.1 Pedestrian Crossover

Another alternative for the intersection is the installation of a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO). PXOs provide pedestrians with protected crossing opportunities by requiring motorists to yield to pedestrians within the crosswalk. The new Ontario Traffic Manual Book 15 - Pedestrian Crossing Treatments is in the process of obtaining final approval and is expected to be published later in 2015. OTM Book 15 will provide warrant criteria for the installation of PXOs. The City may consider conducting a PXO warrant analysis following the methodology in OTM Book 15 once it has been published.

## 5. Summary of Findings and Recommendations

This section provides a summary of findings from the existing conditions review, and discusses potential improvements that could be implemented at the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive. A summary of our recommendations can be found in Section 5.3.

### 5.1 Summary of Findings

Based on our review, the following findings were drawn:

+ The predominance of residential land use and the low speed limits are not typical of arterial roads, which may lead to conflicting interpretations of the function of the road by different road users;
+ There are no apparent visibility restrictions to drivers leaving Pleasant Drive onto Pine Street;
+ Traffic volumes on Pine Street are within typical ranges for arterial roads;
+ Traffic volumes on Pleasant Drive are within typical ranges for residential collector roads;
+ Pedestrian volumes are significantly concentrated within 15-minute periods in both AM and PM school peaks and are very low during other times of the day;
+ Pine Street presents 85 th percentile speeds at least $15 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in excess of the posted speed, including the regular $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ associated with the school zone;
+ Five out of nine collisions reported at the intersection involved a northbound or a southbound vehicle going straight through the intersection against a vehicle entering or exiting Pleasant Drive. Given the low speed compliance observed on Pine Street, and the potential for different interpretations of the function of the road by different road users, it is possible that the higher speeds of northbound and southbound traffic (expected to be predominantly through traffic) may be violating the expectations of drivers accessing Pine Street from Pleasant Drive (expected to be predominantly local traffic);
+ The intersection operates with acceptable $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios and Levels of Service for all approaches;
+ All-way stop control and traffic signal are not justified at the intersection; and
+ No evidence was found that operational issues may cause conflicts between westbound rightturning vehicles and pedestrians on the north crosswalk.


### 5.2 Potential Improvements

### 5.2.1 Roundabout

As part of the review of options the possibility of installing a roundabout at the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive was considered. CIMA prepared a functional sketch for a roundabout that would cater to trucks (WB19 and possibly WB20 with some refinement); such a solution would require a significant amount of land on the west side of Pine Street for construction. While Pine Street appears to cater to very few trucks, it is designated as an arterial and we assume any intersection would have to be designed to accommodate trucks. Such a roundabout would reduce speeds along Pine Street through the intersection to around $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and would also reduce the delay for traffic exiting Pleasant Drive. It would also provide a safer pedestrian environment as a result of the lower speeds as well as pedestrians only having to cross 1 lane at a time instead of the current 3 lanes. Figure 7 illustrates how a roundabout that caters to large truck traffic would be accommodated at the intersection.


Figure 7: Modern Roundabout
It is expected that there would be significant encroachment onto the school property, reconfiguration of the driveway and the removal of several trees would be required. Pedestrian walking distances to reach the crosswalks would increase, since crosswalks at roundabouts are located on the approaches to the roundabout, farther from the adjacent street than a regular intersection.

There are two smaller roundabout options that could be considered at this location that would have less impact on the adjacent property. These include a roundabout similar to the one in Figure 7 only that it would not accommodate large trucks (only buses and mid-size trucks). An example in Oakville, Ontario is shown in Figure 8 below - this has a diameter of 35 metres rather than the 40 metres shown above.


Figure 8: Small-radius Modern Roundabout
A range of roundabout sizes can be considered anywhere between the 40 metre diameter shown in Figure 7 to as little as a mini-roundabout with a 20 metre diameter. An example of a 20 metre miniroundabout in Burlington, Ontario is shown in Figure 9 below. This does cater to larger vehicles as the central island is mountable; however, the speed reduction is less and drivers have more scope to ignore the yield sign. Mini-roundabouts tend to work well in an area where speeds are already low to begin with, typically in a residential area and not on an arterial road such as Pine Street.


Figure 9: Mini Roundabout
A roundabout option could be worked out for the Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive intersection once a design vehicle is confirmed (e.g. size of truck to be accommodated); however, in terms of a roundabout addressing the stated public concerns and revealed issues around the intersection, it would be an excessive response (particularly in terms of cost and land impact) in relation to the size of the identified problems.

### 5.2.2 Traffic Calming

One of the purposes of traffic calming is to reduce vehicular speed on local and collector residential streets. According to the Canadian Guide to Neighbourhood Traffic Calming (TAC, 1998), many traffic calming measures are implemented to increase motorists' awareness of the street's function and thereby reduce vehicular speeds. Traffic calming measures could be implemented on Pine Street, on approach to Pleasant Drive, in order to accomplish this speed reduction.

Traffic calming measures are classified in three different groups: horizontal deflection, vertical deflection, and obstructions. Vertical deflections and obstruction are more appropriate for local roads, with lower volumes and where mobility is not a primary concern. Pine Street, being an a minor arterial with predominantly residential land use, has both mobility and accessibility as primary concerns, therefore horizontal deflection measures are preferable.

There are several different types of horizontal deflection traffic calming measures, and each of them may be better suited for different situations. CIMA reviewed a series of traffic calming measures, and we found that the application of one of the following traffic calming measures (or a combination of them) on Pine Street may be beneficial.

### 5.2.2.1 Curb Extensions

Curb extensions (Figure 10 and Figure 11) are horizontal intrusions of the curb into the roadway, resulting in a narrower section of roadway. Their benefits include speed reduction and improvement of the appearance of a street, when landscaped. Some of the disbenefits include potential for conflict between bicyclists and motor vehicles, incompatibility with bicycle lanes, and increased snow removal cost and snow plow damage to grass, trees and curb extensions. It is important to note that the installation of curb extension on Pine Street may not be feasible due to the presence of several residential driveways on both sides of the road.


Figure 10: Curb Extension


Figure 11: Curb Extension

### 5.2.2.2 Intersection Curb Extensions

This traffic calming measure is similar to a curb extension, however located at intersections (Figure 12). One additional benefit compared to curb extensions is potential reduction of vehicle-pedestrian conflicts due to the reduction of the pedestrian crossing distance at the intersection and improved visibility.


Figure 12: Neckdown

### 5.2.2.3 Raised Median Islands

Raised median islands (Figure 13 and Figure 14) are elevated medians built on the centreline of a two-way roadway to reduce travel lane widths. They are expected to reduce vehicle speeds and vehicle-pedestrian conflicts (although, due to the presence of the southbound left turn lane at the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive, a raised median island would need to be installed further upstream to induce drivers to reduce speeds before reaching the intersection). Some
disbenefits of raised median islands include increased snow removal costs and potential to restrict access to driveways from one direction.


Figure 13: Raised Median Island


Figure 14: Raised Median Island

### 5.2.3 Electronic Speed Feedback Signs

Finally, electronic speed feedback signs (Figure 15) could be used to warn drivers that are exceeding speed limit. These types of signs are typically more effective when they have been recently installed, and their efficacy declines with time, as drivers become used to their presence. The City could utilize these signs as a short-term, temporary measure until a more permanent measure is installed.


Figure 15: Electronic Speed Feedback Sign

### 5.3 Summary of Recommendations

Considering the findings from our review of the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive, we provide the following recommendations:

+ Although found to be acceptable under existing conditions, delays to westbound left-turn vehicles (i.e. from Pleasant Drive onto Pine Street from the stop control) could be further reduced with the installation of a roundabout. However, this would be an expensive and intrusive solution to a minor problem. We recommend maintaining the existing minor-road stop control;
+ No empirical evidence suggests that non-compliance of westbound right-turn vehicles with the school crossing guards' Stop sign while students are crossing Pine Street on the north side is a significant issue. The City should consider conducting field studies to observe conflicts and delays between pedestrians and right-turning vehicles. If non-compliance is found to be significant, occasional police patrols could mitigate the issue;
+ To reduce operating speeds on Pine Street, the City may consider installing electronic speed feedback signs on Pine Street approaching Pleasant Drive from both sides (north and south of the intersection). Speeds should be monitored over time to assess the effectiveness of the electronic signs.
+ If speeds are not reduced with the presence of the electronic speed feedback signs, the City may consider installing traffic calming measures on Pine Street. Two different options can be further evaluated:

1. Raised median islands upstream of Pleasant Drive; or
2. Intersection curb extensions at the corners of the intersection and a raised median island on the south leg. This option could also address, at some level, the concern about the non-compliance with the crossing guard stop sign.
Figure 16 and Figure 17 provide concept drawings illustrating the proposed configurations.


Figure 16: Traffic Calming Option 1 - Raised Median Islands


Figure 17: Traffic Calming Option 2 - Intersection Curb Extensions + Raised Median Island

Appendix A: Synchro Reports

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 175 | 2 | 498 | 17 | 71 | 255 | 7 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 160 | - | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 66 | 92 | 80 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 265 | 2 | 622 | 18 | 77 | 277 | 8 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1248 | 1124 | 304 | 1115 | 1119 | 655 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 663 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 457 | 457 | - | 658 | 658 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 791 | 667 | - | 457 | 461 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 150 | 205 | 736 | 185 | 207 | 466 | 1254 | - | - | 926 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 583 | 568 | - | 453 | 461 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 383 | 457 | - | 583 | 565 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 58 | 181 | 722 | 170 | 182 | 457 | 1253 | - | - | 925 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 75 | 275 | - | 298 | 301 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 571 | 511 | - | 444 | 452 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 160 | 448 | - | 534 | 508 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 22.9 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM LOS | A | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1253 | - | - | - | 298 | 456 | 925 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | - | 0.237 | 0.584 | 0.083 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | - | - | 0 | 20.8 | 23.4 | 9.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A | C | C | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 3.6 | 0.3 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 88 | 4 | 709 | 24 | 88 | 272 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 160 |  | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 88 | 4 | 709 | 24 | 88 | 272 | 4 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1225 | 1191 | 274 | 1179 | 1181 | 721 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 733 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 450 | 450 | - | 729 | 729 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 775 | 741 | - | 450 | 452 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 156 | 187 | 765 | 167 | 190 | 427 | 1287 | - | - | 872 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 589 | 572 | - | 414 | 428 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 391 | 423 | - | 589 | 570 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 113 | 168 | 765 | 154 | 170 | 427 | 1287 | - | - | 872 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 182 | 256 | - | 280 | 288 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 587 | 514 | - | 413 | 427 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 307 | 422 | - | 530 | 512 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 16 | 0 | 2.3 |
| HCM LOS | A | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1287 | - | - | - | - | 418 | 872 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.003 | - | - | - | - | 0.22 | 0.101 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A | A | C | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.3 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 91 | 7 | 354 | 64 | 125 | 517 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 160 | - | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 108 | 8 | 421 | 76 | 149 | 615 | 4 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1539 | 1523 | 668 | 1485 | 1487 | 511 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 962 | 962 | - | 523 | 523 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 577 | 561 | - | 962 | 964 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 94 | 118 | 458 | 103 | 124 | 563 | 923 | - | - | 1024 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 308 | 334 | - | 537 | 530 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 502 | 510 | - | 308 | 334 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 63 | 92 | 438 | 87 | 97 | 539 | 920 | - | - | 1021 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 151 | 179 | - | 189 | 198 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 293 | 274 | - | 511 | 504 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 396 | 485 | - | 262 | 274 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 17.7 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| HCM LOS | A | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 920 | - | - | - | 189 | 539 | 1021 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 8 | 1059 | 76 | 144 | 560 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - |  | 160 | - |  | 200 | - |  | 200 |  |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |  | - | 0 |  | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 8 | 1059 | 76 | 144 | 560 | 4 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 2017 | 2001 | 562 | 1963 | 1965 | 1097 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 1135 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 850 | 850 | - | 1113 | 1113 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 1167 | 1151 | - | 850 | 852 | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 |  | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - |  | 2.218 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 43 | 60 | 526 | 47 | 63 | 259 | 1008 | - | - | 616 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 355 | 377 | - | 253 | 284 |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 236 | 272 | - | 355 | 376 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 20 | 46 | 526 | 38 | 48 | 259 | 1008 | - | - | 616 |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | ~-10 | 97 |  | 135 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | 352 | 289 |  | 251 | 282 |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 136 | 270 | - | 272 | 288 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 0 | 28.5 | 0.1 | 2.6 |
| HCM LOS | A | D |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1008 | - | - | - | - | 259 | 616 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | - | - | - | -0.417 | 0.234 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 28.5 | 12.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A | A | D | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1.9 | 0.9 | - |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ *: All major volume in platoon

# Appendix B: All-Way Stop Warrant and Traffic Signal Justification Reports 

CITY OPERATIONS - PUBLIC WORKS
All-way Stop Warrants conforming to otM Book 5 , Mar: 2000
For the Intersection of Pine Street @ Pleasant Drive, Based on the Study Done Oct 28, 2014

| Warrant | Justification | Required Value |  | Conditions satisfied? <br> Section Warrant |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arterial/Major Road | 1. Vehicle Volume on all approaches, for each of the heaviest hours | > | 500 | Yes | No |
|  | 2. Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume crossing the major road, for each of the same hours | > | 200 | No |  |
| Conditions must be satisfied for: <br> All hours | 3. Percentage of Vehicle Volume split on the major road, for each of the same hours | < | 70 | No |  |
| Local Road (4-leg intersection ) | 1. Vehicle Volume on all approaches, for each of the heaviest hours | > | 350 | Yes | No |
| Conditions must be satisfied for: <br> All hours | 2. Percentage of Vehicle Volume split on the major road, for each of the same hours | < | 65 | No |  |
| Collision Experience | 1. Total reported collisions of types susceptible to correction by an allway stop control per 12-month period averaged over 36 months | >= | 4 | --- | No |

## Justification Details

## Warrant 1 - Arterial/Major Road

1 - Vehicle Volume on all approaches

|  | $09: 00$ | $13: 00$ | $14: 00$ | $15: 00$ | $16: 00$ | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $19: 00$ | Total | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle Volume all approaches | 1,091 | 1,030 | 1,100 | 983 | 1,195 | 1,297 | 1,197 | 1,075 | 8,968 | 1,121 |
| Vehicle Volume all approaches $>500$ | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |  |

Conditions are satisfied

2 - Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume crossing the major road

|  | $09: 00$ | $13: 00$ | $14: 00$ | $15: 00$ | $16: 00$ | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $19: 00$ | Total | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle Volume | 241 | 126 | 142 | 120 | 125 | 108 | 127 | 135 | 1,124 | 140 |
| Pedestrian Volume | 22 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 47 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 88 | 11 |
| Veh./Ped. crossing major road | 263 | 129 | 145 | 120 | 172 | 114 | 129 | 140 | 1,212 | 152 |
| Veh./Ped. crossing major road $>200$ | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |  |  |

Conditions are not satisfied

3 - Percentage of Vehicle Volume split on the major road

|  | $09: 00$ | $13: 00$ | $14: 00$ | $15: 00$ | $16: 00$ | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $19: 00$ | Total | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle Volume major approach | 850 | 904 | 958 | 863 | 1,070 | 1,189 | 1,070 | 940 | 7,844 | 980 |
| $\%$ of Volume split on major app. | 78 | 88 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 92 | 89 | 87 |  | 87 |
| $\%$ of Volume split on major app. $<70$ | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |  |  |

Conditions are not satisfied

An ALL-WAY STOP condition WOULD NOT BE recommended under the Arterial/Major Road warrant


Conditions are satisfied
2 - Percentage of Vehicle Volume split on the major road

|  | $09: 00$ | $13: 00$ | $14: 00$ | $15: 00$ | $16: 00$ | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $19: 00$ | Total | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle Volume major approach | 850 | 904 | 958 | 863 | 1,070 | 1,189 | 1,070 | 940 | 7,844 | 980 |
| \% of Volume split on major app. | 78 | 88 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 92 | 89 | 87 |  | 87 |
| \% of Volume split on major app. $<65$ | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |  |  |

Conditions are not satisfied

An ALL-WAY STOP condition WOULD NOT BE recommended under the Local/Minor Road warrant

## Warrant 3 - Collision Experience

Number of reportable collisions (last 36 months) susceptible to correction by an all-way stop control

| Annual collision average | 0.67 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Annual collision average $>=4$ | No |

An ALL-WAY STOP condition WOULD NOT BE recommended under the Collision warrant

Traffic Signal Warrant Conforming to otm Book 12, Nor. 2007
For the Intersection of Pine Street @ Pleasant Drive, Based on the Study Done Oct 28, 2014

| Intersection Type <br> Approach Lanes on Major <br> Approach Lanes on Minor <br> Flow Condition | * T - West <br> One <br> One <br> Channelized Right T <br> Restricted | $\square$ North Approach  <br> $\square$ South Approach $\square$ |  | Approach <br> st Approach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification | Description | Minimum Requirement | Complia <br> Sectional \% | ce Entire \% |
| 1. Minimum Vehicular Volume | A. Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for each of the heaviest 8 hours | 720 | 100 | 53 |
|  | B. Vehicle Volume, along the minor road for each of the same 8 hours | 255 | 53 |  |
| 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | A. Vehicle Volume, along the major road for each of the heaviest 8 hours | 720 | 100 | 69 |
|  | B. Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume crossing the major road, for each of the same 8 hours | 75 | 69 |  |
| 3. Volume/Delay Combination | Justifications 1 and 2 both fulfilled to the extent of $80 \%$ or more | --- | --- | 0 |
| 4. Minimum Four-Hour Vehicle Volume | All plotted points representing hourly volume for minor approach vs. major approach for four highest hours fall above the applicable curve | --- | --- | 100 |
| 5. Collision Experience | A. Total reported collisions of types susceptible to correction by a traffic signal per 12-month period averaged over 36 months | 5 | 13 | 13 |
|  | B. Adequate trial of less restrictive remedies, where satisfactory observance and enforcement have failed to reduce the number of collisions | --- | 100 |  |
| 6. Pedestrian Volume and Delay | A. Plotted point representing 8-hour pedestrian volume vs. 8-hour vehicular volume falls in justified zone | --- | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
|  | B. Plotted point representing 8-hour volume of pedestrians experiencing delays of 10 s or more vs. 8-hour pedestrian volume falls in justified zone | --- | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| Conclusion: Traffic Signal is not justified |  |  |  |  |

## Justification Details

## Justification 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume



## Justification 2 - Delay to Cross Traffic

A - Major Road, Both Approaches

|  | Min. Req. | Min. Req. | $15: 00$ | $19: 00$ | $13: 00$ | $17: 00$ | $14: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $16: 00$ | $09: 00$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume | 720 | 576 | 863 | 940 | 904 | 1,189 | 958 | 1,070 | 1,070 | 850 | 7,844 |
| Compliance $\%$ | 100 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 800 |

B - Traffic Crossing Major Road

|  | Min. Req. | Min. Req. | $15: 00$ | $19: 00$ | $13: 00$ | $17: 00$ | $14: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $16: 00$ | $09: 00$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume | 75 | 60 | 45 | 57 | 38 | 34 | 50 | 38 | 81 | 88 | 431 |
| Compliance \% | 100 | 80 | 60 | 76 | 51 | 45 | 67 | 51 | 100 | 100 | 550 |

Part B Fulfilled (\%) 69

Justification 2 Fulfilled (\%)
Justification 3 - Volume/Delay Combination

| Justification 1 Fulfilled $80 \%$ or More Was Fulfilled (\%) | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Justification 2 Fulfilled $80 \%$ or More Was Fulfilled (\%) | 0 |

Justification 3 Fulfilled (\%) 0

## Justification 4 - Minimum Four-Hour Vehicle Volume
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) was contracted by Kresin Engineering Corporation on behalf of the City of Sault Ste. Marie to conduct a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Background Study and Property Inspection) for the Northern Avenue Corridor Municipal Class Environmental Assessment. The project involves the possible reduction of lanes on Northern Avenue and addition of bike lanes, possible extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road, possible access/egress to the P-Patch subdivision through an existing utility corridor, and possible signalization of the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive in the City of Sault Ste. Marie. At present, no alterations are planned outside of the existing ROW between North Street and Pine Avenue.
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1. Parts of the Northern Avenue Corridor study area exhibit archaeological potential. These lands require Stage 2 archaeological assessment by test-pit survey at five metre intervals, prior to any proposed impacts to the property;
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## $1.0 \quad$ PROJECT CONTEXT

Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI) was contracted by Kresin Engineering Corporation on behalf of the City of Sault Ste. Marie to conduct a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Background Study and Property Inspection) for the Northern Avenue Corridor Municipal Class Environmental Assessment. The project involves the possible reduction of lanes on Northern Avenue and addition of bike lanes, possible extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road, possible access/egress to the P-Patch subdivision through an existing utility corridor, and possible signalization of the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive in the City of Sault Ste. Marie. At present, no alterations are planned outside of the existing ROW between North Street and Pine Avenue (Figures 1 and 2).

The 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (S \& G), administered by the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS), Section 1, discusses the objectives of a Stage 1 archaeological assessment as follows:

- To provide information about the geography, history, previous archaeological fieldwork and current land condition of the study area;
- To evaluate in detail the archaeological potential of the study area which can be used, if necessary, to support recommendations for Stage 2 archaeological assessment for all or parts of the property; and,
- To recommend appropriate strategies for Stage 2 archaeological assessment, if necessary.

This report describes the Stage 1 archaeological assessment that was conducted for this project and is organized as follows: Section 1.0 summarizes the background study that was conducted to provide the archaeological and historical context for the project study area; Section 2.0 addressees the field methods used for the property inspection that was undertaken to document the study area;, Section 3.0 analyses the characteristics of the project study area and evaluates its archaeological potential; Section 4.0 provides recommendations for the next assessment steps; and the remaining sections contain other report information that is required by the S \& G, e.g., advice on compliance with legislation, references cited and mapping.

### 1.1 Development Context

All work has been undertaken as required by the Environmental Assessment Act, RSO (1990) and regulations made under the Act, and are therefore subject to all associated legislation. This project is being conducted under the Municipal Class EA process.

All activities carried out during this assessment were completed in accordance with the Municipal Engineers' Association document Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (2000, as amended in 2007 and 2011), the Ontario Heritage Act (2005), and the 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (S \& G), administered by the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS).

Authorization to carry out the activities necessary for the completion of the Stage 1 archaeological assessment was granted to ASI by Kresin Engineering Corporation on February 11, 2016.

### 1.2 Historical Context

The purpose of this section, according to the S \& G, Section 7.5.7, Standard 1, is to describe the past and present land use and the settlement history and any other relevant historical information gathered through the Stage 1 background research. First, a summary is presented of the current understanding of the Indigenous land use of the study area. This is followed by a review of the historical Euro-Canadian settlement history.

### 1.2.1 Indigenous Land Use and Settlement

Northern Ontario was colonized by human populations much later than the south. The Laurentide glacier would have retreated above the study area by approximately 10,500-10,000 BP (Karrow and Warner 1990: Fig. 2.9, 2.11). Populations at this time would have been highly mobile, inhabiting a borealparkland similar to the modern sub-arctic. By approximately $10,000 \mathrm{BP}$, the environment had progressively warmed and populations now occupied less extensive territories (Ellis and Deller 1990:6263).

The ice margin had retreated northward from Georgian Bay by 10,000 BP and the pro-glacial Lake Algonquin drained through the North Bay outlet (Karrow and Warner 1990: Fig. 2.9). From approximately $10,000-5,500 \mathrm{BP}$, the Great Lakes basins experienced low-water levels, and many sites that would have been located on those former shorelines are now submerged. From approximately 10,000-8,000 BP, northern Ontario was occupied by populations whose subsistence was focussed within the boreal forest environment (Wright 2001:101, 105, 106). Groups may have had seasonal prolonged residency at fords to take advantage of migrating animal herds, made vulnerable by the crossing, but otherwise likely subsisted at large in the forest environment (Wright 2001:112, 113).

By approximately $8,000 \mathrm{BP}$, subsistence shifted to an increased reliance on aquatic resources, likely anadromous fish. This is suggested by evidence from isotopic analysis of bone samples from the Wapekeka Burial site (dated to approximately 7,000 BP) (Wright 2001:125). Comparative evidence from the O.S.A Lake site near Georgian Bay suggests that contact existed between populations in north-central Ontario and those in southern Ontario (Wright 2001:123). Such communication networks certainly extended into northern Ontario as well.

By approximately 3,500 BP, copper implements become common in the areas surrounding Lake Superior, and there is evidence of the exchange of copper into southern Ontario (Wright 2001:261, 262).

By approximately 2,200 BP, populations focussed their habitation at rivers and lakes, while subsistence involved a variety of resources drawn from a wide territory. At this time, the earliest evidence exists for occupation located near prime fishing grounds. Soon after, burial mounds appear in the archaeological record, and the exotic nature of the grave offerings found associated with these burial mounds expands on the prior evidence for extensive exchange networks (Wright 2001:288, 291-293). Burial practise should be seen as deliberate and reflective of the cosmology of these people (Parker Pearson 1999:141).

All these new cultural features suggest new concepts of social organization, investment of labour and territorialism (Brown 1995:13; MacDonald et al. 1994:7-8). The prevalence of mound burial around the Upper Great Lakes reflects likely cultural connections with populations from Ohio and Illinois. There are differences in some burial mound practices in the Shield versus elsewhere in the Great Lakes basin in terms of stone cairn construction versus earthen mound construction. The apparent similarities in ceremonialism, however, as well as the material evidence for extensive cultural contacts across regions
may be part of a world-view which spanned the entire Great Lakes basin and likely beyond. Macro-band social organization and subsistence focussed on the seasonal exploitation of resources such as fish and wild rice (where available), though evidence from the Wabinosh River site west of Lake Nipigon may indicate year-round occupation (Wright 1999: 749, 756, 765-776).

By approximately 1,000 BP until approximately 300 BP , archaeological evidence suggests lifeways similar to the historically described Indigenous groups. Populations in northern Ontario were Anishnaabeg speaking peoples who would have had contact with Anishnaabeg speaking peoples to the south, west and east. Such extensive networks are consistent with evidence dating to since approximately $3,000 \mathrm{BP}$ and documented in historical accounts of the seventeenth century.

Historical documentation provides some information on the populations which lived in northern Ontario during the seventeenth century. The extensive mobility of these populations relects a different sense of territoriality than the settled agricultural or even itinerant horticultural groups living to the south and data is often insufficient to accurately map the ranges of individual groups. The study area is located within the City of Sault Ste. Marie. The sault ("rapids") on the St. Mary's River is noted to have been occupied by the Saulteaux Ojibwe as well as the Odawa in the latter part of the seventeenth century (Feest and Feest 1978; Rogers 1978). The location is called Bawating in Anishnaabeg (ASI 2011).

The Saulteaux are understood to have been primarily settled at Bawating (Rogers 1978:Fig. 1), which would have been an important portage for any traffic between Lake Superior and Lake Huron as well as an important fishing ground for many groups in the upper Great Lakes. The Saulteaux practised some horticulture, however, these crops only complimented their diet as the climate did not always permit crops to ripen. Between the planting and harvest times, populations travelled throughout the Lake Huron northshore to take advantage of seasonal resources. During the summer and winter the Saulteaux gathered birch bark for canoe and lodge construction; during the autumn harvested blueberries and sturgeon for winter stores. Garden crops were harvested in late summer and in early winter people hunted beaver and moose along the Lake Huron north shore. The Saulteaux are known to have practised Midewiwin. Following the dispersal of the Huron at about 1650, the Ojibwe Nations began to be attacked by the Five Nations Iroquois. By approximately 1670 the Saulteaux had experienced significant population losses and united with other groups (Rogers 1978:760-763).

The Odawa were an Algonquian Nation who occupied Bruce County, Grey County and Manitoulin Island, and consisted of several groups. The Odawa subsisted primarily from fishing but also practiced horticulture and were extensively involved in trade. They were known to co-reside with Iroquoian populations (Thwaites 1896-1901, 21: 125). By the mid-seventeenth century, the Indigenous Nations occupying southern Ontario had largely been dispersed by the Five Nations Iroquois who sought to monopolize the beaver hunt. The Odawa moved throughout what are now the States of Michigan and Wisconsin until one of the Odawa groups, the Kiskakon, came to settle at Bawating in 1670/1671. In 1676 the Kiskakon moved subsequently to the Saint Ignace Mission at Mackinac (Feest and Feest 1978:772773).

Information on Ojibwe lifeways along the north shore of Lake Huron during the eighteenth century into the early nineteenth century is limited. Some horticulture was still practised and hunting was focused on deer and fur-bearing quarry such as raccoon, beaver and marten. At Bawating, the whitefish fishery was of particular importance, as well as the collection of maple sugar during the spring. As the nineteenth century progressed, agriculture became more important to Ojibwe economy, however, traditional produce such as wild rice, maple sugar and fishing remained important. Despite the maintenance of many
traditional lifeways, throughout the nineteenth century pressure from Euro-Canadian culture affected many aspects of First Nations culture (Rogers 1978:762-765).

The Métis have been present in the Sault Ste. Marie area as early as the 1600's, particularly since the establishment of the first mission (Prefontaine 2003; Leffler 2006). The Métis typically settled in close proximity to rivers, "occupying strips of land perpendicular to and along the river" (Lytwyn 1998:1). This was the settlement pattern at Sault Ste. Marie in 1846 when Vidal surveyed the area, documenting each household and including a list of the head of each household. These included prominent Métis including Joseph Boissoneau, Joseph Boissoneau Jr., and Charles Oakes Ermatinger, a fur trader who had built the Old Stone House. At the time of the survey, amongst the 500 population of Sault Ste. Marie, Vidal specifically noted that there were Métis living near the mission (Osborne and Swainson1986:22). Prior to 1846, the Métis community was documented to be comprised of one household in 1761 owned by Jean Baptiste Cadotte and 80 buildings in 1826 (Prefontaine 2003). In 1845, the Métis community was described as having a population of 250 people and 50 houses (Lytwyn 1998:1).

The Métis played an integral part in the fur trade taking place in the area during the seventeenth century at the mission which also operated as a trading post. They would continue to thrive later during the eighteenth and nineteenth century with the establishment of the Northwest Company, XY Company and the Hudson's Bay Company. Amongst other jobs held by the Métis, perhaps the most important was that of the "Coureur des Bois" - people who were responsible for transporting the furs to the French traders (Prefontaine 2003; Leffler 2006). In addition to the fur trade, the Métis were heavily involved in hunting and fishing, evident by their involvement in the finishing industry that developed during the nineteenth century. Processing maple sugar and cultivating/harvesting crops were also important to the Métis way of life (Lytwyn 1998).

In 1850, the Robinson-Huron Treaty was signed by the Ojibwe ceding the vast majority of land in northern Ontario for resource extraction and settlement. While settlement was restricted to the established reserves, "the full and free privilege to hunt over the territory [then] ceded by them and to fish in the waters thereof as they have heretofore been in the habit of doing" was retained in the Treaty (Surtees 1971; 1986). During the negotiations of the Robinson Treaty, the Métis lost much of their rights, particularly regarding their land, despite having strong support from Chief Shingwaukonse from Garden River. However, regardless of the Crown's treatment of the Métis, the Ojibwe continued to regard the Métis as having the same rights as them (Lytwyn 1998; Prefontaine 2003). It was also generally assumed that in spite of the Robinson Treaty, the Métis would continue to have the right to hunt and fish. This was evident in the nineteenth century census data which showed the occupation of many Métis as hunters, fishermen, trappers and traders. Although mostly removed from the core due to the inability to own land, the Métis continued to live on the outskirts of Sault Ste. Marie (Lytwyn 1998). The Robinson Treaty remains a contentious document.

### 1.2.2 Historic Euro-Canadian Land Use: Township Survey and Settlement

The study area is historically located in the Former Townships of Ste. Marie and Tarentorus, District of Algoma in part of Sec 31-33 and part of Lots 1-6, Concession 4.

The S \& G stipulates that areas of early Euro-Canadian settlement (pioneer homesteads, isolated cabins, farmstead complexes), early wharf or dock complexes, pioneer churches and early cemeteries, are considered to have archaeological potential. Early historical transportation routes (trails, passes, roads, railways, portage routes), properties listed on a municipal register or designated under the Ontario

Heritage Act or a federal, provincial, or municipal historic landmark or site are also considered to have archaeological potential.

For the Euro-Canadian period, the majority of early nineteenth century farmsteads (i.e., those which are arguably the most potentially significant resources and whose locations are rarely recorded on nineteenth century maps) are likely to be located in proximity to water. The development of the network of concession roads and railroads through the course of the nineteenth century frequently influenced the siting of farmsteads and businesses. Accordingly, undisturbed lands within 100 m of an early settlement road are also considered to have potential for the presence of Euro-Canadian archaeological sites.

The first Europeans to arrive in the area were transient merchants and traders from France and England, who followed Indigenous pathways and set up trading posts at strategic locations along the well-travelled river routes. All of these occupations occurred at sites that afforded both natural landfalls for Great Lakes traffic and convenient access, by means of the various waterways and overland trails, into the hinterlands. Early transportation routes followed existing Indigenous trails, both along the lakeshore and adjacent to various creeks and rivers (ASI 2006).

## Algoma District

The 1850 Robinson Treaty opened up the surrounding land for European settler occupation, moving the Aboriginal population onto reserves. Subsequent government jurisdiction and infrastructure expanded in the district, allowing for an increase in settler population (SCCM). The initial survey of the Algoma District commenced in the 1850s after negotiations had been concluded with the Indigenous peoples of the area to surrender the land north of Lakes Huron and Superior (Gentilcore and Head 1983:106). The area was surveyed using the United States section survey method, resulting in townships that were 36 miles square, which were further divided into sections of one square mile each. At the time of its initial survey, the Algoma District was relatively isolated since rail lines and roads had yet to be established. In 1869 the District was temporarily divided into the West (First) and East (Second) Algoma Districts. These were reorganized in 1871 into, once again, the Algoma District with the creation of the District of Thunder Bay (Ministry of Government and Consumer Services [MGCS] 2011). The Canadian Pacific Railway reached the Algoma District in 1883, which opened the area to settlement and the establishment of the logging industry (Andreae 1997). The eastern part of the Algoma District was reorganized into the Sudbury District in 1907 and by 1912 the modern boundaries of the Sudbury District had been determined (MGCS 2011).

## Tarentorus and Ste. Marie Townships

Originally a Jesuit mission, the settlement of Ste. Marie became a fur trade post in the eighteenth century under French control and in turn came under control of the North West Company in 1788 (SCCM). The settlement continued as an unviable economic fur trade post, eventually falling under control of the Hudson's Bay Company (SCCM).

The Township of St. Mary was first surveyed and subdivided in 1859 by Provincial Land Surveyor A. P. Salter (ASI 2011). The township consisted of five sections, each approximately 856 acres. The township also consisted of approximately 3,330 acres of land designated as Park Lots. Tarentorus Township, as surveyed in 1859 by the Crown Lands Department, had an area of 13,988 acres. The name of the Township is rooted in Mohawk, meaning "tree splitter" (ASI 2011; Rayburn 1997:337). Salter divided these lands into sections and quarters whereby each quarter had an area of 160 acres. According to Salter, "with the exception of the northerly and north-easterly sections of Tarentorus, the whole township is fit
for settlement" and that "a serious drawback to the settlement will ... be found in the scarcity of timber, a very considerable portion of it having been overrun by fire, and in some sections the surface soil has been completely burned off, being of a peaty nature. This is to be regretted, as the soil is generally of good character, and affords a good opening to intending settlers" ([author unknown] 1864:425; ASI 2011).

By the 1870s, Ste. Marie was settled by several people and a few roads had been constructed. Records show that The Great Northern Road was constructed in phases throughout the decade and that by 1879 it had reached as far north as $5^{\text {th }}$ Line. The town was made more accessible when two rail lines, the Canadian Pacific and the Grand Trunk, were both extended from Sudbury to the Sault in order to accommodate trade with the United States in the 1870s and 1880s. This overland link provided much needed accessibility that allowed for an expansion of industry, natural resources extraction, and tourism (SSMPL). In 1887, concessions 1, 2 and 3 of Park Lots as well as sections 3, 4, 6, 9 and 10 were annexed to the Village of Ste. Marie to form the new Town of Sault Ste. Marie. In 1902, the remainder of the Township, concession 4 of Park Lots, joined the new municipality of the Township of Tarentorus (ASI 2011).

### 1.2.3 Historic Map Review

To best use historic mapping to reconstruct/predict the location of former features within the modern landscape, maps are reviewed using geographic information systems (GIS). Using reference points which are likely to have remained constant through time, such as unimproved road intersections or Concession Lot vertices, these maps are georeferenced in order to project the most accurate location of former map features. There are numerous potential sources of error inherent in this process. These include idealism in the original map production, map scale, image resolution and reproduction accuracy. The significance of such potential error is often mitigated, however, through critical analysis of the sources in comparison with other map sources as well as the property inspection results.

It should be noted, also, that not all features of interest were mapped systematically in the Ontario series of historical atlases, given that they were financed by subscription, and subscribers were given preference with regard to the level of detail provided on the maps. Moreover, not every feature of interest would have been within the scope of the atlases.

There is little detailed nineteenth century mapping for the Township of St. Mary or Tarentorus. Figure 2 illustrates the subject property on the 1859 Township of St. Mary Plan after the area had been surveyed for occupation. There are no features indicating habitation on the plan, although it does indicate the study area is within the town limits. Figure 3 illustrates the subject property on the mapping attached to the sale of lots within Putorah Tract which was published in 1892, the present eastern-most portion of the City of Sault Ste. Marie. While no structures were illustrated on this map, several historic transportation routes are illustrated within the subject property, including present-day North Street, Wilson Street, Pine Street, Great Northern Road, and Willow Avenue. Figure 4 illustrates the subject property on the 1902 Tarentorus Township mapping, which was published as part of the separation process from the Municipality of Sault Ste. Marie. This map illustrates eight structures within the study area along presentday Northern Avenue.

### 1.2.4 Summary of Historical Context

The background research demonstrated that the study area has been occupied by Indigenous peoples for millennia. The study area is situated within the traditional territory of the Saulteaux Ojibwe and was also settled by the Métis. The study area is located within the historic settlement of Ste. Mary which was a focus of early Euro-Canadian settlement and activity. The historic mapping indicates that the study area is located in proximity to historic features, including the St. Mary’s River and early transportation routes and structures.

### 1.3 Archaeological Context

This section provides background research pertaining to previous archaeological fieldwork conducted within 50 m of the study area, its environmental characteristics (including quaternary geology and topography, etc.), and current land use and field conditions. Three sources of information were consulted to provide information about previous archaeological research in the study area; the site record forms for registered sites housed at the MTCS; published and unpublished documentary sources; and the files of ASI.

### 1.3.1 Previous Archaeological Research

In Ontario, information concerning archaeological sites is stored in the Ontario Archaeological Sites Database (OASD) maintained by the MTCS. This database contains archaeological sites registered within the Borden system. Under the Borden system, Canada has been divided into grid blocks based on latitude and longitude. A Borden block is approximately 13 km east to west, and approximately 18.5 km north to south. Each Borden block is referenced by a four-letter designator, and sites within a block are numbered sequentially as they are found. The study area under review is located in Borden blocks CdIb.

According to the OASD, one previously registered archaeological site is located within one kilometre of the study area (MTCS 2016). Details of this site is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Registered archaeological sites within 1 km of the study area

| Borden \# Site Name | Cultural Affiliation | Site Type Researcher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CdIb-6 | Soo College Late Archaic (1600 BC) findspot Conway 1981 |  |

ASI (2011) completed the Master Plan of Archaeological Resources for the City of Sault Ste. Marie. The objective of this management plan was the preparation of a planning study which identifies, analyses, and establishes priorities concerning archaeological sites located within the boundaries of the City. The goals of the research included the compilation of inventories of registered and unregistered archaeological sites and of lands that no longer have archaeological integrity due to previous development activity; the preparation of an overview of the settlement history of the City, as it may be expected to pertain to archaeological resources; and, the development of an archaeological site potential model based on known site locations, past and present land uses, and environmental and cultural-historical data. According to the archaeological potential model prepared for the management plan, the study area retains potential in some areas, however many areas do not retain potential because of disturbances caused by construction of the Northern Avenue ROW and intensive urban development.

According to the background research, thee previous archaeological assessments (ASI 2010a, 2011, and 2013) have been completed within 50 m of the study area. These reports are summarized below.

ASI (2010) conducted a Stage 1 archaeological assessment for the proposed Pine Street Extension in the City of Sault Ste. Marie on Lot 32 in the former Township of Tarentorus, Algoma District. The Stage 1 background assessment determined that one site had been registered within one kilometer of the subject property and the property inspection confirmed that the study corridor had been previously disturbed or contained lands that can be characterized as being low and wet, and therefore does not retain archaeological potential.

ASI (2011) completed The Master Plan of Archaeological Resources for the City of Sault Ste. Marie which was developed over a period of three years by ASI culminating in the Archaeological Potential Model for the City of Sault Ste. Marie, Technical Report and Planning for the Conservation of Archaeological Resources in the City of Sault Ste. Marie (ASI 2011). Preparation of the Master Plan included the compilation of inventories of registered and unregistered archaeological sites within the City and the preparation of an overview of the area's settlement history as it may be expected to pertain to archaeological resources; the development of an archaeological site potential model based on known site locations, past and present land uses, and environmental and cultural-historical data as well as a review of the current federal, provincial, and municipal planning and management guidelines for archaeological resources, culminating in the identification of a new recommended management strategy for known and potential archaeological resources within Sault Ste. Marie. The results of the Potential Model for the City of Sault Ste. Marie (ASI 2011) identified approximately $51 \%$ of the overall area of the municipality as lands having the potential for the recovery of archaeological resources, which was mapped on detailed GIS mapping, while the recommendations of the Technical and Planning manuals include the process of implementation of the Master Plan, improved municipal heritage protocols and as well as ongoing public and Aboriginal consultation.

ASI (2013) conducted a Stage 1\&2 archaeological resource assessment of the proposed six parcels of land scheduled for development within the campus lands of Sault College, 443 Northern Avenue, Part of Park Lot 4, Concession 4, St. Mary's Township and Part of Section 32, Tarentorus Township, County of Algoma under the project direction of Blake Williams (P383). The Stage 1 background assessment determined that one site had been registered within proximity to the subject property, and that the study area exhibited potential for the presence of pre-contact Aboriginal and Euro-Canadian archaeological resources due to the proximity of a historic transportation route, the proximity of a registered pre-contact site and the location of the subject property being above the Post-Algonquin recessional beach. The Stage 2 field assessment identified disturbed and highly altered lands, in addition to low lying wet areas, all of which required no further assessment. The balance of the subject property was subject to test pitting at five metre intervals. Approximately half of these lands were disturbed and no archaeological resources were encountered. No further archaeological assessment of the property was recommended.

### 1.3.2 Geography

In addition to the known archaeological sites and historic features, the state of the natural environment is an important indicator of archaeological potential. Accordingly, a description of the study area geography, physiography and soils is provided below.

The S \& G, Section 1.3.1, stipulates that primary water sources (lakes, rivers, streams, creeks, etc.), secondary water sources (intermittent streams and creeks, springs, marshes, swamps, etc.), ancient water sources (glacial lake shorelines indicated by the presence of raised sand or gravel beach ridges, relic river or stream channels indicated by clear dip or swale in the topography, shorelines of drained lakes or marshes, cobble beaches, etc.), as well as accessible or inaccessible shorelines (high bluffs, swamp or
marsh fields by the edge of a lake, sandbars stretching into marsh, etc.) are characteristics that indicate archaeological potential.

Water has been identified as the major determinant of site selection and the presence of potable water is the single most important resource necessary for any extended human occupation or settlement. Since water sources have remained relatively stable in Ontario since 5,000 BP (Karrow and Warner 1990: Figure 2.16), proximity to water can be regarded as a useful index for the evaluation of archaeological site potential. Indeed, distance from water has been one of the most commonly used variables for predictive modeling of site location.

The S \& G, Section 1.3.1, lists other geographic characteristics that can indicate archaeological potential including: elevated topography (eskers, drumlins, large knolls, plateaux), pockets of well-drained sandy soil, especially near areas of heavy soil or rocky ground, distinctive land formations that might have been special or spiritual places, such as waterfalls, rock outcrops, caverns, mounds, and promontories and their bases. Physical indicators of use may be present, such as burials, structures, offerings, rock paintings or carvings. Resource areas, including; food or medicinal plants (migratory routes, spawning areas) are also considered characteristics that indicate archaeological potential.

The study area consists of glaciolacustrine and lacustrine deep water deposits, consisting of silt clay and sand, as well as till derived from the Jacobsville Formation (ASI 2011) (Figure 6).

The study area is situated within the Post-Algonquin Terraces physiographic region near the Sheguiandah recessional beach (Figure 7). This series of recessional beaches are the oldest beaches within Sault Ste. Marie. The Post-Algonquin Terrace was formed through the formation and eventual withdrawal of Glacial Lake Algonquin, around about 10, 400BP. The sand and gravel shores of the lake gradually became the higher and better drained lands as the St. Mary's River formed and began to drain the area into the Lake Superior and Lake Huron basins. Approximately one thousand years later, the draining of glacial Lake Agassiz flooded the St. Mary’s passage, draining into Glacial Lake Minong and Houghton (present-day Lake Huron). Multiple water courses flow through the terrace, draining this high sitting terrace down to the present-day St. Mary's River, which is approximately 120 km long and connects Lake Superior to Lake Huron. The St. Mary’s River was designated as a Canadian Heritage River in 2000 (Canadian Heritage River Systems 2011).

### 1.3.3 Current Land Use and Field Conditions

A Stage 1 property inspection conducted on May 3 and 42016 noted the study area is within the downtown core of the City of Sault Ste. Marie and is surrounded by commercial and residential land development. The Northern Avenue ROW is a multi-lane road and carries utilities and public infrastructure within the city. The eastern portion of the study area is located within forested greenspace and wetland.

### 1.3.4 Summary of Archaeological Context

The review of archaeological work conducted in the area demonstrated that one previously registered archaeological site is located within one kilometre of the study area. Historic mapping indicates that the study area is located in proximity to historic transportation routes and within the historic settlement of Ste Marie. The study area is also located near a significant Post-Algonquin recessional beach. These criteria
are indicative that the study area exhibits potential for Indigenous and Euro-Canadian archaeological resources, depending on soil conditions and the degree to which soils have been subject to deep disturbance.

### 2.0 FIELD METHODS

A Stage 1 property inspection must adhere to the S \& G, Section 1.2, Standards 1-6, which are discussed below. The entire property and its periphery must be inspected. The inspection may be either systematic or random. Coverage must be sufficient to identify the presence or absence of any features of archaeological potential. The inspection must be conducted when weather conditions permit good visibility of land features. Natural landforms and watercourses are to be confirmed if previously identified. Additional features such as elevated topography, relic water channels, glacial shorelines, welldrained soils within heavy soils and slightly elevated areas within low and wet areas should be identified and documented, if present. Features affecting assessment strategies should be identified and documented such as woodlots, bogs or other permanently wet areas, areas of steeper grade than indicated on topographic mapping, areas of overgrown vegetation, areas of heavy soil, and recent land disturbance such as grading, fill deposits and vegetation clearing. The inspection should also identify and document structures and built features that will affect assessment strategies, such as heritage structures or landscapes, cairns, monuments or plaques, and cemeteries.

The Stage 1 archaeological assessment property inspection was conducted by Peter Carruthers (P163) on May 3-4 2016, in order to gain first-hand knowledge of the geography, topography, and current conditions and to evaluate and map archaeological potential of the study area. It was a visual inspection only and did not include excavation or collection of archaeological resources.

Weather conditions for the inspection were sunny to overcast with temperatures between approximately 7 and 10 C. Previously identified features of archaeological potential were examined; additional features of archaeological potential not visible on mapping were identified and documented as well as any features that will affect assessment strategies. Field observations are compiled onto maps of the study area in Section 7.0 (Figure 8 and 9) and associated photographic plates are presented in Section 8.0 (Plates 1-18).

### 3.0 ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

The historical and archaeological contexts were analyzed to help determine the archaeological potential of the study area. A summary of the archaeological potential is presented in Section 3.1 of this report.

### 3.1 Analysis of Archaeological Potential

The S \& G, Section 1.3.1, lists criteria that are indicative of archaeological potential. The study area meets the following criteria indicative of archaeological potential:

- Water source: primary, secondary, or past water source (St. Marys River)
- Proximity to previously registered archaeological site (Soo College Site (CdIb-6)
- Proximity to early historical transportation routes (St. Marys River; Northern Avenue)
- Proximity to early settlements (Bawating; Sault Ste. Marie)

These criteria are indicative of potential for the identification of Indigenous and Euro-Canadian archaeological resources within the study area, depending on the soil conditions and the degree to which soils have been subject to disturbance. One previously registered archaeological site is located within one kilometer of the study area.

As the proposed impacts of the Northern Avenue Corridor project are not designed to depart the existing Northern Avenue ROW until east of Pine Street, there is little to no concern that archaeological resources or lands with archaeological potential will be impacted by the project in that area. The two alternative alignments for the Northern Avenue extension have not been previously developed and may retain archaeological potential, as indicated by the property inspection and the Sault Ste. Marie master plan of archaeological resources.

### 3.2 Analysis of Property Inspection Results

The property inspection determined that the Northern Avenue ROW from North Street to Great Northern Road, the proposed access/egress to the P-Patch, as well as the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive, have been subject to deep and extensive land disturbance, and these lands are considered to not retain archaeological potential (Plates 1, 2, 4-6; Figures 8 and 9: areas marked in yellow).

However, a section of residential housing frontage on the south side of the Northern Avenue ROW between Great Northern Road and Willow Avenue is considered to exhibit archaeological potential based on the presence of mature trees, which indicate that the frontages have not been subject to deep and extensive disturbance. If work impacts lands outside of the existing ROW these properties will require Stage 2 archaeological assessment by test-pit survey at five metre intervals, prior to any proposed disturbance to the properties (Plate 3; Figure 9: areas marked in green).

Both alternative alignments of the Northern Avenue ROW extension to Black Road contain areas that are considered to exhibit archaeological potential: the southern alignment west of Lake Street, and the northern alignment near Pawating Place. All of these lands require Stage 2 archaeological assessment by test-pit survey at five metre intervals prior to any proposed disturbance to the property. The remainder of these alignments consist of low and wet conditions east to Black Road and are considered to not exhibit archaeological potential.

### 3.3 Conclusions

The Stage 1 background study determined that one previously registered archaeological site is located within one kilometre of the study area. A review of the geography and history of the study area suggested that it has potential for the identification of Indigenous and Euro-Canadian archaeological resources, depending on the condition of soils.

The property inspection determined that most of the study area has been subject to deep and extensive land disturbance or exhibits low and wet conditions. These lands are considered to not retain archaeological potential. Parts of the study area, however, are considered to exhibit archaeological potential. These lands require Stage 2 archaeological assessment by test-pit survey at five metre intervals, prior to any proposed disturbance to the property.

### 4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

In light of these results, ASI makes the following recommendations:

1. Parts of the Northern Avenue Corridor study area exhibit archaeological potential. These lands require Stage 2 archaeological assessment by test-pit survey at five metre intervals, prior to any proposed impacts to the property;
2. The remainder of the study area does not retain archaeological potential on account of deep and extensive land disturbance, and low and wet conditions. These lands do not exhibit archaeological potential and therefore do not require further archaeological assessment;
3. Should the proposed work extend beyond the current study area then further Stage 1 archaeological assessment should be conducted to determine the archaeological potential of the surrounding lands.

Notwithstanding the results and recommendations presented in this study, ASI notes that no archaeological assessment, no matter how thorough or carefully completed, can necessarily predict, account for, or identify every form of isolated or deeply buried archaeological deposit. In the event that archaeological remains are found during subsequent construction activities, the consultant archaeologist, approval authority, and the Cultural Programs Unit of the MTCS should be immediately notified.

### 5.0 ADVICE ON COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION

ASI advises compliance with the following legislation:

- This report is submitted to the Minister of Tourism, Culture and Sport as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. 18. The report is reviewed to ensure that it complies with the standards and guidelines that are issued by the Minister, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations ensure the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario. When all matters relating to archaeological sites within the project area of a development proposal have been addressed to the satisfaction of the MTCS a letter will be issued by the ministry stating that there are no further concerns with regard to alterations to archaeological sites by the proposed development;
- It is an offence under Sections 48 and 69 of the Ontario Heritage Act for any party other than a licensed archaeologist to make any alteration to a known archaeological site or to remove any artifact or other physical evidence of past human use or activity from the site, until such time as a licensed archaeologist has completed archaeological fieldwork on the site, submitted a report to the Minister stating that the site has no further cultural heritage value or interest, and the report has been filed in the Ontario Public Register of Archaeology Reports referred to in Section 65.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48 (1) of the Ontario Heritage Act. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease
alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork, in compliance with sec. 48 (1) of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- The Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c. 33 requires that any person discovering human remains must notify the police or coroner; and,
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Northern Avenue Corridor Municipal Class EA
City of Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario
7.0 MAPS



Figure 1: Northern Avenue Corridor - Study Area Location


Figure 2: Northern Avenue Corridor Study Area (approximate location) overlaid on the 1859 Township of St. Mary Plan
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Figure 4: Northern Avenue Corridor Study Area (approximate location) overlaid on the 1902 Map of Tarentorus Township



Figure 6: Northern Avenue Corridor - Quaternary Geology


Figure 7: Northern Avenue Corridor - Proximity of the Sheguiandah (Post-Algonquin) recessional beach to the study area


Figure 8: Northern Avenue Corridor - Property Inspection Results


Figure 9: Northern Avenue Corridor - Property Inspection Results

### 8.0 IMAGES

Plate 3: Southeast view of Northern Avenue Corridor between Great Northern Rd. and Willow Ave.; Housing frontage exhibits archaeological potential and requires Stage 2 test-pit survey


Plate 2: East view of Northern Avenue Corridor, north side near Sackville St.; area is disturbed with no archaeological potential.


Plate 4: East view of Northern Avenue Corridor near Pine St.; area low and wet with no archaeological potential.


Plate 1: East view of Northern Avenue Corridor south side near North St.; area is disturbed with no archaeological potential.



Plate 5: Northwest view of Pine St. at Pleasant Dr. area is disturbed with no archaeological potential.


Plate 7: North view of proposed Princeton Dr. to Northern Ave ROW; area is disturbed with no archaeological potential


Plate 6: Southwest view of Pine St. at Pleasant Dr. area is disturbed with no archaeological potential.


Plate 8: South view of proposed Princeton Dr. to Northern Ave ROW; area is disturbed with no archaeological potential


Plate 9: East view of existing terminus of Northern Ave.; areas beside trail exhibits archaeological potential and requires Stage 2 test-pit survey.


Plate 11: East view of ROW extension northern alternative; higher ground in immediate foreground area exhibits archaeological potential and requires Stage 2 test-pit survey. Background, following hydro corridor is low and wet with no potential.


Plate 10: East view of ROW extension northern alternative from high ground; area exhibits archaeological potential and requires Stage 2 test-pit survey. Note that the study area descends into low and wet and poorly drained area in the background.


Plate 12: North view of ROW extension northern alternative near Pawating Pl.; area low and wet woods with no archaeological potential.


Plate 13: West view from Black Rd. of ROW extension northern alternative; area low and wet and disturbed with construction of drainage ditch; no archaeological potential.


Plate 15: Southeast view of ROW extension southern alternative; area between housing and trail exhibits archaeological potential and requires Stage 2 test-pit survey


Plate 14: Southeast view of ROW extension southern alternative; area exhibits archaeological potential and requires Stage 2 test-pit survey


Plate 16: Northwest view of ROW extension northern alternative; wooded area exhibits archaeological potential and requires Stage 2 test-pit survey


Plate 17: West view of ROW extension southern alternative crosses creek and existing trail; area low and wet with no archaeological potential.


Plate 18: West view from Black Rd. of ROW extension northern alternative; area low and wet with no archaeological potential.

## A1

NO LANE
REASSIGNMENT (DO NOTHING)

## A2

FULL LANE REASSIGNMENT
(NORTH ST. TO PINE ST.)

## A3

LANE REASSIGNMENT IN SELECT LOCATIONS

| 1.0 TECHNICAL CRITERIA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 VEHICULAR TRAFFIC FLOW |  |  |
| - No change to vehicular traffic along the Northern Avenue corridor. | - Not expected to impact the overall flow of traffic based on the observed volume. <br> - Will maintain efficient access to adjacent properties. <br> - Transit vehicles with frequent stops may affect traffic flow during peak hours. <br> - Special considerations (i.e. maintain existing configurations) may be required at intersections to ensure efficient intersection operations. | - Inconsistent lane configurations block to block may cause confusion. <br> - May cause conflict areas at transitions (i.e. four to three lanes). <br> - Will maintain efficient access to adjacent properties. <br> - Transit vehicles with frequent stops may affect traffic flow during peak hours. <br> - Special considerations (i.e. maintain existing configurations) may be required at intersections to ensure efficient intersection operations. |
| 1.2 PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLING TRAFFIC FLOW |  |  |
| - Existing conditions are maintained. | - No change to pedestrian facilities. <br> - Provides designated cycling lanes, improving cycling traffic facilities. <br> - Provides an opportunity to improve "road efficiency" with respect to mode share and safety along the corridor. | - No change to pedestrian facilities. <br> - Provides intermittent cycling facilities <br> - Fractured cycling facilities may discourage use and cause traffic confusion. |
|  | 1.3 IMPLEMENTATION |  |
| - No changes to existing conditions. | - No physical modifications required - line painting only. <br> - Includes the reduction of Northern Avenue from four to three lanes with a continuous turn lane between North Street and Pine Street. <br> - Includes a designated bike lane along the north and south sides | - No physical modifications required - line painting only. <br> - Includes the reduction of from four to three lanes with a continuous turn lane between North Street and Pine Street in select locations only. <br> - A designated bike lane may be included in select locations |

## A1

NO LANE REASSIGNMENT (DO NOTHING)

A2
FULL LANE REASSIGNMENT
(NORTH ST. TO PINE ST.)

## A3

LANE REASSIGNMENT IN SELECT LOCATIONS

| 1 | af the Northern Avenue corridor. <br> corridor. |
| :---: | :---: |

### 2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA 2.1 IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

- No change to impacts.
- No construction required other than line painting.
- Possible positive impacts due to encouraging mode shift away from automobiles.
- No construction required other than line painting.
- Possible positive impacts due to encouraging mode shift away from automobiles; although not as great as A2.

2
1
2

### 3.0 SOCIAL CRITERIA

### 3.1 IMPACTS ON LAND USERS, RESIDENTS AND OWNERS

- This alternative, if implemented is expected to have negligible impacts on users and land owners.
- Decreases vehicle travel lanes for pedestrians to cross (i.e. increases safety).
- Improves safety by increasing distance between cyclists and vehicles.
- May help to maintain speed limit compliance (i.e. speed controlled by lead vehicle).
- Having a designated bike lane provides a greater buffer between cyclists/ pedestrians along the sidewalk, making the corridor more inviting to some users.
- Decreases vehicle travel lanes for pedestrians to cross (i.e. increases safety) in areas selected for lane reassignment.
- Improves safety by increasing distance between cyclists and vehicles in areas with designated bike lane.
- May increase safety concerns along the corridor as vehicles traveling along the corridor may increase speed in an attempt to avoid bottlenecking at areas of lane reassignment.

FULL LANE REASSIGNMENT
(NORTH ST. TO PINE ST.)

### 3.2 IMPACTS ON GREATER COMMUNITY

- This alternative, if implemented, is expected to have negligible impacts on the greater community.
- Positive impacts to the greater community are expected through the encouragement of active lifestyles and the diversification of transportation modes.
- Positive impacts to the greater community are expected through the encouragement of active lifestyles and the diversification of transportation modes.
- Potential for fractured and inconsistent lane arrangements may discourage use.


## LANE REASSIGNMENT IN SELECT LOCATIONS

### 4.0 ECONOMIC CRITERIA 4.1 COST OF IMPLEMENTATION

- The cost of implementing this alternative includes the continued costs related to the maintenance and operation of Northern Avenue as it is now. These costs include snow removal, line painting, patching and resurfacing.
- The cost of implementation of this alternative is expected to include line painting for a designated bike lane and to reassign vehicle travel lanes.
- The cost of implementing this alternative is expected to include line painting for a designated bike lane and to reassign vehicle travel lanes.
$\qquad$
2
2
$\qquad$

NO EXTENSION OF NORTHERN AVENUE
(DO NOTHING)

## B2

EXTEND EASTERLY TO BLACK ROAD

## B4

EXTEND SOUTHEASTERLY TO BLACK ROAD

### 1.0 TECHNICAL CRITERIA

### 1.1 VEHICULAR TRAFFIC FLOW

- No effect on vehicular traffic along the Northern Avenue corridor.


### 1.2 PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLING TRAFFIC FLOW

- Existing pedestrian and cycling traffic flow paths are not changed.
- May result in increased traffic flow, countering the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment.
- Potential for reduction in traffic along Second Line.
- Provides an additional east-west route.
- May exacerbate traffic/intersection capacity issues along Great Northern Road.
- May result in increased traffic flow, countering the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment.
- Traffic expected to increase along Lake Street.
- May exacerbate traffic/intersection capacity issues along Great Northern Road.

May result in increased traffic flow countering the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment.

- May exacerbate traffic/intersection capacity issues along Great Northern Road.
- Potential for reduction in traffic along Second Line.
- Provides an additional east-west route.


### 1.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ALTERNATIVES

- With the implementation of this alternative, there would be no supplementary opportunities to upgrade underground or aerial infrastructure.
- Implementation of this alternative is expected to provide opportunities to install new infrastructure along the approximate 520 meters of new road.
- Is expected to provide significant opportunities to install new infrastructure along the approximate 1250 meters of new road.
- May be possible to eliminate Upper Lake Pump Station and enhance the City's water distribution network.


## NO EXTENSION OF NORTHERN AVENUE

## B2

EXTEND EASTERLY TO BLACK ROAD
EXTEND SOUTHEASTERLY TO LAKE
STREET

## B4

EXTEND SOUTHEASTERLY TO BLACK ROAD

### 1.3 IMPLEMENTATION

- The implementation of the "Do Nothing" alternative is relatively simple when compared on a technical basis to the other alternatives.

1

- Includes the construction of Northern Avenue along undeveloped land to intersect with Black Road.
- Includes the construction of pedestrian and/or cycling facilities along the extended corridor.
- Involves the construction of a new intersection at Black Road.
- Includes the construction of Northern Avenue along undeveloped land to intersect with Black Road.
- Includes the construction of pedestrian and/or cycling facilities along the extended corridor.
- Involves the construction of a new intersection at Black Road.
- Includes the construction of Northern Avenue along undeveloped land to intersect with Lake Street.
- Includes the construction of pedestrian and/or cycling facilities along the extended corridor.
- Involves the construction of a new intersection at Lake Street.


### 2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA 2.1 IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

- Impacts to the natural environment attributable to selecting this alternative over one of the others would be negligible.
- Minimal loss of trees and wooded area due to the existing cleared utility corridor.
- Silt and sediment contamination of storm water runoff during construction are expected to be mitigated through standard construction procedures.
- Environmental impact due to loss of trees and wooded area.
- Silt and sediment contamination of storm water runoff during construction are expected to be mitigated through standard construction procedures.
- Environmental impact due to loss of trees and wooded area.
- Silt and sediment contamination of storm water runoff during construction are expected to be mitigated through standard construction procedures.


## NO EXTENSION OF NORTHERN AVENUE

## B2

EXTEND EASTERLY TO BLACK ROAD
EXTEND SOUTHEASTERLY TO LAKE STREET

### 3.0 SOCIAL CRITERIA

### 3.1 IMPACTS ON LAND USERS, RESIDENTS AND OWNERS

- This alternative, if implemented, is expected to have negligible impacts on users and land owners.
- May negatively impact adjacent properties as a result of possible increase traffic volumes along Northern Avenue.
- May negatively impact adjacent properties as a result of possible increase traffic volumes along Northern Avenue and Lake Street.
- Better access to properties in the upper Lake area.
- May negatively impact adjacent properties as a result of possible increase traffic volumes along Northern Avenue.
- Alteration of green space may impact those using the Hub Trail.
- Better access to properties in the upper Lake area.


### 4.0 ECONOMIC CRITERIA <br> 4.1 COST OF IMPLEMENTATION

- The cost of implementing this alternative includes the continued costs related to the maintenance and operation of Northern Avenue as it is now. These costs include snow removal, line painting, patching and resurfacing.
- Capital costs will include road construction through challenging topography, utility installation and construction of an intersection at Black Road.
- Property acquisition required for right-of-way.
- Expected maintenance costs include snow removal, line painting, patching and resurfacing.
- Capital costs will include road construction through challenging topography, utility installation and construction of an intersection at Black Road.
- Property acquisition required for right-of-way.
- Costs include installation of sanitary sewer and decommissioning of Upper Lake Pump Station.
- Long term cost savings as a result of decommissioning Upper Lake pump station (i.e. maintenance/ operational costs.

| B1 | OPPORTUNITY B: EXTENSION OF NORTHERN AVENUE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO EXTENSION OF NORTHERN | B2 | B3 | B4 |
| AVENUE | EXTEND EASTERLY TO BLACK ROAD | EXTEND SOUTHEASTERLY TO LAKE | EXTEND SOUTHEASTERLY TO |
| (DO NOTHING) |  | STREET | BLACK ROAD |


|  |  |  | • Expected maintenance costs inclucte <br> snow removal, line painting, patching <br> and resurfacing. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |



## C1

## NO NEW ACCESS INTO P-PATCH (DO NOTHING)

## C2

NEW ROAD TO PANORAMIC DRIVE NEW ROAD TO PRINCETON DRIVE

## C4

INSTALL TRAFFIC LIGHTS AT PINE STREET/PLEASANT DRIVE

### 1.0 TECHNICAL CRITERIA <br> 1.1 VEHICULAR TRAFFIC FLOW

- The Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection will continue to function at its current capacity.
- North/west bound traffic in the vicinity of Princeton Drive will likely be diverted to the new road.
- May reduce traffic at Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection.
- Traffic flow will be interrupted along Pine Street.
- May allow for shorter wait times for those vehicles traveling south from

Pleasant Drive.

### 1.2 PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLING TRAFFIC FLOW

- Existing pedestrian and cycling traffic flow paths are not changed.
- Existing pedestrian and cycling traffic flow paths are not expected to change.
- Existing pedestrian and cycling traffic flow paths are not expected to change.
- Existing pedestrian and cycling traffic flow paths are not expected to change.
- Wait time to cross Pine Street may be reduced.
- The implementation of the "Do Nothing" alternative is relatively simple when compared on a technical basis to the other alternatives.

Includes the construction of a new road along an existing City owner right-ofway between Northern Avenue and Panoramic Drive.

- Includes construction of pedestrian/cycling facilities along the new road.
- Includes the construction of a new road along an existing City owner right-ofway between Northern Avenue and Princeton Drive.
- Includes construction of pedestrian/cycling facilities along the new road.
- North/west bound traffic in the vicinity Panoramic Drive will likely be diverted to the new road.
- May reduce traffic at Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection.


## C1

## NO NEW ACCESS INTO P-PATCH (DO NOTHING)

C2 C3
NEW ROAD TO PANORAMIC DRIVE NEW ROAD TO PRINCETON DRIVE

C4
INSTALL TRAFFIC LIGHTS AT PINE STREET/PLEASANT DRIVE

### 2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA <br> 2.1 IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

- Impacts to the natural environment attributable to selecting this alternative over one of the others would be negligible.
- Air quality impacts
- Minimal impact on the natural environment due to existing clearing along the right-of-way.
- Helps to maintain traffic movement, helping to alleviate negative air impacts.
- Negligible impact on the natural environment due to the existing development.
- Air quality impacts as a result of increased vehicle idle times at intersection.


### 3.0 SOCIAL CRITERIA

### 3.1 IMPACTS ON LAND USERS, RESIDENTS AND OWNERS

- This alternative, if implemented, would result in users/land owners using the existing limited access/egress locations to the P-Patch.
- Enhanced access to the P-Patch.
- May negatively impact neighbouring properties as it is anticipated that traffic will increase.
- Enhanced access to the P-Patch.
- May negatively impact neighbouring properties as it is anticipated that traffic will increase.
- Improves safety for pedestrians/cyclists crossing Pine Street.
- May help maintain speed limit compliance along Pine Street.
- May increase travel times for those using Pine Street.


## C1

## NO NEW ACCESS INTO P-PATCH (DO NOTHING)

C3
NEW ROAD TO PANORAMIC DRIVE NEW ROAD TO PRINCETON DRIVE

### 4.0 ECONOMIC CRITERIA <br> 4.1 COST OF IMPLEMENTATION

- The cost of implementing this alternative include the continued costs related to the maintenance and operation of the current Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection. These costs include snow removal, line painting, patching and resurfacing.
- Costs will include road construction through the existing right-of-way between Northern Avenue and Princeton Drive.
- Expected maintenance costs include snow removal, line painting, patching and resurfacing.
- Costs will include those associated with the installation of traffic lights as well as improvements to the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection.
- Ongoing costs for operation and maintenance at signalized intersection.
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Council info available at saultstemarie.ca/CityCouncll
NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE
MUNICIPAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements
The City of Sault Ste. Marie (City) is initiating a study to investigate alternatives to improve the efficiency of the Northern Avenue Corridor.
It has been identified as part of the City's 2015 Transportation Master Plan that Northern Avenue is a candidate for potential lane reassignment and/or elimination between North Street and Pine Street and that an extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road may help to improve road network connectivity as well as reduce the traffic demands on Second Line. In conjunction with these potential improvements, the City has also identified the opportunity to integrate improvements to the access/egress of the P Patch subdivision.
The study is being undertaken as a Schedule $C$ project in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment ("Class EA"). The study will include public and external agency consultation as well as review the need and justification for possible improvements to the existing corridor. The study will also evaluate alternative designs based on their potential impacts on the natural, social and economic environments. Preceding any decisions recommending or accepting a preferred alternative, interested party will have the opportunity to review the study findings and provide input and comments into the evaluation.
Publlc Information Centre
To present the recommended solution, further facilitate input and ensure that anyone interested in this Study has the opportunity to get involved, the City is holding a come-and-go Public Information Centre as follows:
Wednesday, June 22, 2016-3 to 7 p.m.
Russ Ramsay Board Room - Level 3, Civic Centre, 99 Foster Drive
All members of the public are welcome to attend. City staff and
Consultants will be available to discuss the project.
Please contact one of the following project team members if you would like to be included on the project mailing list, have any questions or wish to obtain more information on the project:
City of Sault Ste. Maris: Don Elliott, P. Eng., Director of Engineering 99 Foster Drive, Sault Ste. Marie, ON
705-759-5329 or d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca
Kresin Engineering Corp:: Michael Kresin, P. Eng, Consulting Engineer
536 Fourth Line East, Sault Ste. Marie, ON
705-949-4900 or northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.
This notice published on June 11 and 18, 2016.
705-759-2500 • saultstemarie.ca • $\square$ @CitySSM
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## NOTICE OF PUBLIC INF ORMATION CENTRE MUNICIPAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT <br> Northern Avenuc Corridor Improvements

The City of Sault Ste. Marie (City) is initiating a study to irivestigate alternatives to improve the efficiancy of the Northern Avenue Corridor.
It has beon identified as part of the City's 2015 Transportation Master Plan that Northern Avenue is a candidate lor potential lane reassignment and/or elimination between Nonth Street and Pine Street and that an extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road may help to improve road network connectivity as well as paduce the traffic demands on Second Line. In conjunction with these potential improvements, the Clty has also identified the opporturity to integrate improvements to the access/egress of the P Patch subdivision.

The study is being undertaken as a Schedule C prolect in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessmeni ("Class EA"). The study will include public and external agency consultation as well as review the need and justification for possible improvements to the existing corndor. The study will also evaluate alternative designs based on their potential impacts on the natural, social and econoric environments. Precoding any decisions recommerking or accepting a preferred alternative, interesied party will have the opponunity to raview the study findings and provide input and comments lito the evaluation.

## Pubilc information Centre

To present the recommended solution, further facititare input and ensura that anyone interested in this study has the opportunity :oge! involved, the City is holding a corre-andego Public Infomiation Cantre as tollows:
Wernesday. Jung 22, 2046-3 to 3 p.m.

Alf members of the public are welcome to athend. City staft and Consultants will be available to discuss the project.
Please contact onie of the following project toam members if you would like to be included on the project mailing list have any quastions of wish to obtain more information on the project:
City of Sault Ste. Marie: Don Elliott, P. Ewh., Dipactor of Emingering
99 Foster Drive. Sault Ste. Marie, ON
705-759-5329 or d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca
Kresin Erpineering Corp.: Michaol Kresin, P. Eng. Consuding Engheor 536 Fourth Line East, Sault Ste. Marie, ON
705-949-4900 or northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Respondents shoutd note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the oxception of personal intormation, all comments recenved will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.
This notice published on June 11 and 18, 2016.

## WHY IS THIS PROJECT BEING UNDERTAKEN?

- The purpose of this study is to investigate alternatives to improve the efficiency of the Northern Avenue corridor.
- The opportunity for Northern Avenue to undergo a possible lane reassignment and/or elimination as well as a possible extension to Black Road was presented in the City of Sault Ste. Marie's

Transportation Master Plan, completed in 2015.

- The City has also identified the opportunity to possibly incorporate improvements to the access/egress of the P-Patch subdivision.
- Upon completion of the EA process, the City will have a preferred design which can be implemented as required and when funding is available.



## RKKRESIN

## OPPORTUNITY STATEMENT

Vehicular travel patterns throughout Sault Ste. Marie have shifted over the years as a result of development in the north end of the City. Improving the efficiency of the Northern Avenue corridor is one of the recommendations of the recently completed

Transportation Master Plan meant to help accommodate this shift.
Potential improvements noted in the Transportation Master Plan include:

- Opportunity A: Lane reassignment or elimination along the Northern Avenue Corridor
- Opportunity B: Extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road

In conjunction with these possible improvements, the City has also identified:

- Opportunity C: Improvements to the access/egress of the
$P$ - Patch subdivision



## RKKRESIN

## OPPORTUNITY A: LANE REASSIGNMENT

## ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

## A1: No Lane Reassignment

A2: Full Length Lane Reassignment (North Street to Pine Street)
A3: Lane Reassignment in Select Locations

- Current traffic volumes support a lane reassignment.
- Special considerations may be required at intersections (i.e. Great Northern Road, Willow Ave).



## OPPORTUNITY B: EXTENSION OF NORTHERN AVENUE

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Alternative B1: } & \text { No extension of Northern Avenue } \\
\text { Alternative B2: } & \text { Extend easterly to connect to Black Road } \\
\text { Alternative B3: } & \text { Extend southeasterly to connect to Lake Street } \\
\text { Alternative B4: } & \text { Extend southeasterly to connect to Black Road }
\end{array}
$$



## OPPORTUNITY B: EXTENSION OF NORTHERN AVENUE

| Alternative B1: | No extension of Northern Avenue |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alternative B2: | Extend easterly to connect to Black Road |
| Alternative B3: | Extend southeasterly to connect to Lake Street |
| Alternative B4: | Extend southeasterly to connect to Black Road |

- An extension of Northern Avenue counters the opportunity of a possible lane reassignment as an extension will likely result in increased traffic along the corridor.
- Previous assessments have noted that an extension of Northern Avenue (to Black Road or Lake Street) could exacerbate traffic capacity issues along Great Northern Road by directing traffic to an area of concern (Great Northern Road between Northern Avenue and Second Line).
- An extension may severely impact intersection capacity at the Great Northern Road/Northern Avenue intersection.
- Extending Northern Avenue may negatively impact adjacent properties.
- An extension of Northern Avenue through challenging topography is anticipated to result in high construction costs.
- An extension of Northern Avenue to Lake Street or southeasterly to Black Road (via Lake Street) would allow for better access to properties in the upper Lake Street area, however, traffic will likely increase on Lake Street.
- Extending Northern Avenue southeasterly to Black Road would potentially provide an opportunity for the removal of the existing Upper Lake Street Sewage Pump Station via diversion to the trunk sewer east of Black Road.


## OPPORTUNITY C: P-PATCH ACCESS

Alternative C1: No new access into the P -Patch

Alternative C2: New road to Panoramic Drive

Alternative C3: New Road to Princeton Drive

Alternative C4: Install traffic lights at Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection


## $1 \_$KRESIN

## OPPORTUNITY C: P-PATCH ACCESS

## Alternative C1: No new access into the P-Patch <br> Alternative C2: New road to Panoramic Drive <br> Alternative C3: New Road to Princeton Drive <br> Alternative C4: Install traffic lights at Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection

- Construction of a new road (to Panoramic Drive or to Princeton Drive) will allow enhanced access/egress to the P-Patch.
- There is an existing city owned right-of-way between Northern Avenue and Princeton Drive.
- Potential negative impacts of a new road are anticipated for neighboring properties as traffic will increase.
- Previous studies have concluded that traffic lights or an all-way stop is not warranted at the intersection of Pine Street and Pleasant Drive as the intersection operates with acceptable volume to capacity ratios and levels of service for all approaches.


## RECOMMENDED SOLUTION

Based on evaluation of the identified Alternative Solutions, the following Recommended Solution is proposed.

```
Alternative A2 Implement Northern Avenue lane reassignment between North Street and
Pine Street:
- Reduce from four lanes to three lanes with a continuous centre turn lane
- Designate bike lane along north and south sides of corridor where possible
- Existing pedestrian sidewalks and boulevards to remain
Alternative B1 No extension of Northern Avenue to Lake Street or Black Road
Alternative C3 Construct access/egress to the P-Patch subdivision:
- Construct a new two-lane road from the existing east termination of Northern Avenue south to Princeton Drive
```


## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE <br> SIGN-IN SHEET -(please print clearly)

| Name | Address | Phone Email |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| John Colombi | 146 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Chris Kelly | 177 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Pete Bulas | 1-30 Queen St East |  |  |
| Dr. William Kaupp | 1016 Pine Street |  |  |
| C. Denton Middaugh | 177 Princeton Dr |  |  |
| Dan Gowans | 75 Pageant Dr |  |  |
| Jim McShane | 173 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Rich \& Sue Greenwood | 184 Promenade Dr |  |  |
| Jim Steele | 44 Woodhurst Dr |  |  |
| Ian Klingenberg | 165 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Betty Vankerkhof | 72 Prince Charles Cres |  |  |
| Al and Maly Wright | 9 Pinemore Blvd |  |  |
| Jeanette Cowen | 136 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Rhonda Bateman | 59 Cartier St |  |  |
| Laura Marsh | 205 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Robert Routledge | 74 Tilley Rd |  |  |
| Carole Blaquiere | 244 Young Rd |  |  |
| Ralph \& Erika Vecchio | 149 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Janice Knapp | 54 Jean Ave |  |  |
| Chuck Miller | 46 Moluch St |  |  |
| Ken Miller | 1913 Queen St E |  |  |
| Peter and Ann McLarty | 755 Fitth Line |  |  |
| Karen Mikoliew | 46 Moluch St |  |  |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Appendix 6c Comments Received

## Corporation of the City of Salt Ste. Marie NORTHERN AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET - (please print clearly)

I/We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:


 mot desindingpoputan then dee mat mole dense Fo fund wite teas payer funds.


Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print) C. Denton Midsaugh Address 177 Prince ton DI
Phone No. $\qquad$
Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly

I/We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:


Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print) $\qquad$ Address 1016 Pine St
Phone No. $\qquad$

## Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:

Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE
COMMENT SHEET -(PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)
Ide have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:
$\qquad$
OK è new ext a north end of P Patch to Northern

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print) $\qquad$
Address $\qquad$
Phone No. $\qquad$

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE
COMMENT SHEET -(PLEASE PRINT CLEARLy)
I/We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:


Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.
Name (print) Chuck Miller
Address $\qquad$
Phone No. $\qquad$

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(pLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)

AWe have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print) $\qquad$
Address $\qquad$
Phone No. $\qquad$

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Soult Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE
COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly
in We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:

$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print)


Phone No. $\qquad$ .


Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly)

I/We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:
Oparturity A - fully support lane reassignment on Norther
Opportunity B. - do not support Norther Avenue extension int the
green space surrounding tub Trail l that extends io Black Rd.
Opportunity C - While el support an a new rad 10 Princeton, that my preferred solution would be to install lights on Pine. It would improve traffic flow on lower streets (Willougliby t Riley), as well as improve the crosswalk situation in front of the school. The speed limit is not respected nor is the cns walk, which can affect the children walking in the area.

Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Name (print) } & \text { Laura Marsh } \\
\text { Address } & 205 \text { Panoramic Drive }
\end{aligned}
$$

Phone No. $\qquad$

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET .(PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)

I/ We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:

Extension to Blacle dol from northern does not
seem to make sense as it will not ease traffic
on second line signficanty.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print)


Address 165 Panorane dr. S5M ON
Phone No. $\qquad$

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

## Corporation of the City of Salt Ste. Marie NORTHERN AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET . (please print clearly)

We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:
Eagre with and support many of the aspects of the recommended Solution. However, I strongly urge the designation of bike lines along the entirety of Northern Avenue, from North street to the east termination of Northern Avenue.
Many, many P-Patch motorists will we the new acaess/egress to Norther Avenue. Beyond the morning and late-deb, increase in volumes, it will be used thinughout the day and evening (and heavily on weekends) to acoless Superwir deeghts, st. Mary's Golege, struthclair, Peewee arena, West Gid soccer probity Moray and shaping venues on Great Nathern Read. The sheet stretch of Northern between Pine and the new $P$-Patch access will no longer be a quiet deaden. Bike traffic along Nor hern Avenue will increase with the addition of bikellanes bicycle traffic will flow along Northern, both east and we it, with h he majority forming or leaving va the Hub Trail through Finn thill. Sootinkers) consistent bike lanes along the entire duration of Northern is safest and most effivent for both cyclists and motorists-
Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Name (print) JAMES STEELE } \\
& \text { Address } 44 \text { Wsodhurst Dr. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Phone No. $\qquad$

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET (please print clearly)

## I We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:

I fail to see how the recommended road will alleviate what is, in this neigh bouchood, the main eques issue- - turning (especially I would like to know the following:

1. Who is asking for better access $/$ ernes in the P. Patel and
what exactly are the asking oof v?

What exactly are the asking कि v?
2. How will the Pnnieton to Northern Ave. road alleviate the egress $155 u e$ for people heading downtown? I see no reason to use this proposed raced unless heading north or west and there is no problem doing that from $\overline{\text { un d peasant }}$
and fine. 3. What are. the numbers that warrant on all-whey stop
at a corner?

4 . What are the numbers for Pine and Pleasant?
5 fou do the numbers compare to other all wa
Three way slopes in the city?
6 Is if redly prudent to vencouracie increased vehicular traffic in the vieinde of a nursing home? many people wite the pathway to safely walk patients sin a quiet, telexed area. 7. Why would the city choose to increase traffic at a prime thubtruil acecospoint? Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print)


Address $\square$
Phone No. $\qquad$

[^4]From:<br>Sent:<br>To:<br>Subject:<br>Michael Kresin<br>Thursday, June 23, 2016 1:30 PM<br>Northern Avenue EA<br>FW: northern ave

From: Robert Rattle
st: Thursday, June 23, 2016 12:32 PM
To: Michael Kresin [Mike@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:Mike@kresinengineering.ca)
Cc: Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca); Matthew Shoemaker [m.shoemaker@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:m.shoemaker@cityssm.on.ca); Judy Hupponen [j.hupponen@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:j.hupponen@cityssm.on.ca)
Subject: northern ave
Hi Mike,
Thank you for organising the consult today for Northern Ave. My comments are as follows:
I agree with the evaluation of the traffic and network configuration to recommend not to extend Northern Ave. to Lake or Black Road.

I can appreciate why neighbours would be concerned about option C3 (?) for the northerly access point into the p-patch. I would have preferred to see a controlled intersection at Pine to facilitate improved access into and out of the P-patch, as we have off of McNabb . I suppose my question would be that if the traffic counts/delays do not warrant a traffic signal at at intersection, then how do the traffic counts/delays justify creating the new access point? I believe the current configuration produces a very high quality of life for the residents, and any additional access points) would erode that.

As discussed, I have several concerns about the three lane configuration along Northern Ave. While I understand that major work is not part of this EA, I would prefer to see three main features incorporated into Northern Ave. at the earliest: 1) a grade separated non-motorised path, perhaps similar to what is being provided along Bay Street. This would increase safety considerably, could be configured to minimise pedestrian-cycle conflicts, and would eliminate the debris, water, maintenance and other problems identified in similar configurations, such as along Queen in the outside/curb cycle lanes.
2) a two lane configuration without the centre turn lane. My observations and SSMPS communications indicate that a good number of drivers continue to use the centre lane for driving through, and overtaking other vehicles (as an aside, just a few moments ago I was returning from SAH to Killarney road and encountered a car followed by a dump truck travelling in centre turn lane - they must be more apparent when thinking about them! I would, however, Matthew, for that and other reasons like to see the GNR, Queen East, Second Line East and West, and Trunk Road centre turn lanes eliminated, and replaced with a boulevard opened only at major intersections that are controlled...they do this very much in larger urban centres and it appears for very good reason). At the same time, a significantly reduced lane width along. Northern would not only help serve to 'calm' the traffic, it would afford more space for a grade separated configuration on both sides with perhaps better design to accommodate different forms of non-motorised traffic. Failing a two lane configuration, a centre turn lane that is regularly interrupted with pedestrian refuges, boulevards, light standards and landscaping would enable essential left turns while preventing improper, dangerous and illegal use of the centre lane. I also expect any of the above suggestions would sufficiently calm and slow traffic along Northern to improve safe access to residential driveways. A slower posted speed limit, traffic calming features such as humps, chicanes, vertical landscaping along the centre, and the above noted lane narrowings would also serve to increase access and safety for residents.
3) several cross walks (half signals?) located at walking-centered intervals to enable pedestrians to access the College, bus stops (on both sides of Northern), and the north side parking lot. Can we also eliminate that prohibited crossing on the west side of Willow - I'd like to see free access to cross Northern Ave. for pedestrians from either side of Willow (and elsewhere around town where pedestrians should be given priority access according to the new TMP).

Finally, I am glad to hear there is interest in applying a traffic circle around town. I agree it could prove a benefit to traffic efficiency, and think it's about time this city explored the opportunities of a traffic circle. Obviously, one at the top of Willow would be inappropriate given the EMS access point.

| From: | Michael Kresin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, June 23, 2016 1:49 PM |
| To: | 'Matthew Shoemaker' |
| Cc: | 'Don Elliott (d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca)'; Northern Avenue EA |
| Subject: | RE: Northern Avenue EA |

Mr. Shoemaker,
hank you for your comments.
The potential for extending Northern Avenue to Lake Street will be discussed further with the project team.
Mike

Michael Kresin, P.Eng.
Consulting Engineer
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East, Sault Ste. Marie, ON, P6A 638
tel: 705-949-4900, fax: 705-949-9965

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and intended only for the addressees). If you have received this mmunication in error, please notify us immediately and delete and/or destroy it and all copies of it. Thank you.
-----Original Message-----
From: Matthew Shoemaker [mailto:m.shoemaker@cityssm.on.ca]
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2016 1:42 PM
To: Michael Kresin [Mike@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:Mike@kresinengineering.ca)
Subject: Northern Avenue EA
Mike, I personally would like to have some more consideration given to Northern being extended to Lake. Forget about extending it to Black Road, that seems like a waste.

You mentioned yesterday it would encourage traffic to go from McNabb to Northern using Lake as a by-pass, but I will share that I already get calls that people are doing that by going from McNabb to Pentagon, to Pleasant, and out of the P-Patch, or, alternatively they go from McNabb to Pentagon to Palace to Passmore, then out of the P Patch. So they are already doing so, and on streets without sidewalks (Pleasant and Passmore).

Matthew Shoemaker
Councillor - Ward 3
www.matthewshoemaker.ca
Sent from mobile

| From: | Michael Kresin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, June 23, 2016 1:58 PM |
| To: | Northern Avenue EA |
| Subject: | FW: Northern Avenue Env. Assessment |

FY!
om: Ron Prickett
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2016 1:58 PM
To: Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca); MARK CROFTS
Cc: Michael Kresin [Mike@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:Mike@kresinengineering.ca)
Subject: Re: Northern Avenue Inv. Assessment
Hello all: Please keep us in the loop if the final city plan ends up going forward with the extension and whether the extension will impact the Basswood trees mentioned by Mark.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Ron Prickett
President Vault Field Naturalists

From: Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca)
To: MARK CROFTS
Cc: 'Ron Prickett' $\qquad$ "Michael Kresin (mike@kresinengineering.ca)"
[mike@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:mike@kresinengineering.ca)
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2016 10:49 AM
Subject: RE: Northern Avenue Env. Assessment
Dear Mr. Crofts: The preliminary recommendation in the EA is not to extend Northern Avenue to Black
Road. I have copied your e-mail concern to Mike Kresin for inclusion in the EA documentation.
Thank you and Regards, Don Elliott

From: Ron Prickett
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2016 10:25 AM
To: MARK CROFTS; Don Elliott
Subject: Re: Northern Avenue Env. Assessment
Hi Mark: Thanks for the info. Will look into this. Will need your help down the road for more information.

Thanks.

## Ron Prickett

## President Sault Field Naturalists of Michigan and Ontario

From: MARK CROFTS .
To: d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca
Cc: Ron Prickett $\square$
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2016 10:13 AM
Subject: Northern Avenue Env. Assessment

Hi..
I speak for the trees:)
Near where the Hub Trail turns west at the base of Finn Hill at the Northern Ave powerline corridor there are a couple of Basswood trees...not great specimens...but they are the furthest north (at least on the east side of Lake Superior) that I know off. Trees at the edge of their ranges are generally regarded as significant. The proposed Northern Ave. extension to Black Road might affect those trees.

Just an FYI
Mark Crofts
Tree hugger

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Al Wright
Thursday, June 23, $2016{ }^{-}$6:50 PM
Northern Avenue EA; Matthew Shoemaker; Judy Hupponen
Northern Avenue Improvements

Thank you for the opportunity to reply.
My wife and I attended the open house held June 22nd at the Civic Centre to review the above proposals. We re on Pinemore Blvd. and travel through the Pine/Northern Ave. area almost daily.

Since the opening of Pine St. to Second Line and especially when schools are open, the intersection of Pleasant and Pine becomes congested during certain times of the day. Those times, like the rest of the city are usually during the "rush hour" when children are going to/from school and adults and going to/from work.

In the area of Pine from Pleasant to Second Line there is pedestrian traffic related to St. Paul's school, Sault College and St. Mary's High School. Traffic exiting Pleasant drive contends with not only heavy pedestrian traffic, but also vehicular traffic on Pine. There are children crossing Pine St. with the assistance of school guards and school buses turning in all directions including into a parking area at the rear of St. Paul's school.

My wife and I agree your proposal to create another entrance/exit point to the P-patch at the east end of Northern Avenue will help especially as an alternative from exiting from Pleasant Dr. to head north on Pine. It will not help much when heading south, however their are some other alternatives, such as driving south on ${ }^{n}$ entagon to McNabb or in the future through the proposed Princeton/Northern Ave. exit then turn back south an Pine or Great Northern.

In relation to turning Northern Ave into a 3 lane roadway, similar to Queen St., I think the situation for these roads may be a little different. On Queen there is little westbound traffic that turns left until you get near the Doctor's Building. Most left turning traffic comes from eastbound traffic. By the way, I was in favour of turning Queen into a 3 lane roadway, and wrote our previous counselors to encourage the change.

On Northern Ave there will be more equal number of east/west bound traffic making left turns, which will add to the chance of two vehicles going in opposite directions trying to make to same manoeuvre to the centre turning lane at the same time, therefore more chance of collisions. Currently eastbound traffic at Great Northern Rd. is often congested. Any lane reductions in this area would aggravate an already poor situation.

On one last matter. I have a concern that I didn't raise at the open house at the Civic Centre. When driving east on Second Line and attempting to turn south (right) on Pine St. I find this a difficult turn. It seems like a very tight radius when making this turn. Traffic appears to want to cross the centre line on Pine St; and I would expect there will be some collisions at that location in the future.

I pull a 5 th wheel trailer with my truck and avoid this intersection when returning southbound from Great Northern Rd. With the tight radius, I think it would be difficult to make the turn without running my right rear trailer wheels up over the curb. At most intersections you can swing out to the left a little more to avoid this but not at Second Line and Pine due to the tight radius. I would expect quite a few vehicles pulling boat trailers would be making that turn as they head to the Pine St; Marina from the north/west end of the city. Not sure what can be done about this now, but something to think about in future road design in this city.

Thanks again for the opportunity to participate in this planning process and look forward to the day when I can use the new exit/entrance to the P-patch.

Al Wright
9 Pinemore Blvd.
Sault Ste. Marie ON P6B4E4

Jennifer Sharpe

| From: | Andre Riopel |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, June 23, 2016 9:33 PM |
| To: | Northern Avenue EA |
| Cc: | Steve Turco; d.mcconnell@cityssm.on.ca; Donna Hilsinger; Deane Greenwood; Robert |
|  | Rattle |
| Subject: | Re: Northern Avenue - June 23, 2016 Display Boards |

## Great work!

instead of bike lanes, consideration should be given to a segregated 2 way bike path as a continuation of the Hub Trail along the north side of the roadway. This could be a grade separation, bollards or whatever Book 18 recommends. It seems that all Canadian cities are moving towards segregated cycling infrastructure and we already have precedent on Queen and the proposed Bay Street project. which might work well here.

Why such a wide centre lane. The folks at MMM suggest going as narrow as possible to slow traffic down, making more room for the bike lanes and making crossing the road safer for pedestrians. Consideration should also be given to raised pedestrian islands to make it safer for the high volume of pedestrians who dash across the road from the parking lot to the college. A study should be done to see how many people do this now. People will always take the shortest path regardless of jaywalking rules so why not accommodate them. It also prevents motorists from using the centre lane to pass.

What exactly would connecting Northern Avenue to the P patch accomplish? I don't see any major gridlock here. The current path creates a nice quiet link for cyclists and pedestrians and would encourage students to walk/cycle more.

Andre

On Jun 23, 2016, at 1:39 PM, Northern Avenue EA < NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca> wrote:
Good afternoon Mr. Riopel,

## Re: Northern Avenue Improvements - Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

As requested, please follow the link below to view the display boards presented at yesterday's Public Information Centre for the Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements.
http://www.kresinengineering.ca/documents/assets/uploads/files/en/northern avenue june 2016 pic display boards.pdf

Regards, Jennifer

[^5]
## From:

Sent:
To:
Subject:

Northern Avenue EA
Friday, June 24, 2016 9:13 AM
'Colleen'
RE: Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements - Public Information Center

Good morning Ms. Bennett,
If you were unable to make the PIC for Northern Avenue, the link below will direct you to the display boards that were esented.
http://kresinengineering.ca/documents/assets/uploads/files/en/northern avenue june 2016 pic display boards.pdf

Regards,
Jennifer

Jennifer Sharpe, B.Sc.
Environmental Scientist
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, ON
5A 658
Tel: 705-949-4900
Fax: 705-949-9965
jennifer@kresinengineering.ca

From: Colleen [
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 11:59 AM
To: Northern Avenue EA [NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca)
Subject: Re: Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements - Public Information Center
is this the only day that is available or will there be another oppourtunity?
----- Original Message -----
From: Northern Avenue EA
To: Undisclosed recipients:
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 11:32 AM
Subject: Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements - Public Information Center
To Whom It May Concern,
Re: Notice of Public Information Centre: Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements - Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Please see the attached regarding the above.
Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Regards,
Jennifer
Jennifer Sharpe, B.Sc.
Environmental Scientist
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, ON
P6A $6 J 8$
Tel: 705-949-4900
Fax: 705-949-9965
jennifer@kresinengineering.ca

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Renewable Energy Vehicles .
Monday, June 27, 2016 11:31 AM
Northern Avenue EA
Northern Avenue Improvements - Public Comment

## To Whom It May Concern:

After attending the public information session on Wednesday, June 22, 2016 regarding the reconstruction of thern Avenue in Sault Ste. Marie, ON I have the following comments to make.

First of all I am in favour of the recommendation put forth for Northern Avenue improvements to turn the current four lane configuration to a three lane configuration plus two bike lanes as has been successfully done with Queen Street East. Queen Street East has been transformed from a four lane road to the three lane plus two bike lane configuration and as a result seems to be much more inviting, calmer and attractive. I am of the opinion that if traffic levels permit the same can be accomplished on Northern Avenue and so I am in support this recommendation.

As a driver and an avid electric bike (e-bike) user I would very much appreciate the extra safety margin that the bike lanes could provide for both type of commuters. E-bikes appear to be big in Sault Ste. Marie if sales figures are any indication on a per capita basis. Right now e-bikes sales in North America are thought to be just over 150,000 units per year. This is not very much in comparison to sales of e-bikes of the rest of the world in particular Europe where sales are $10 x$ greater or China where e-bike sales are huge with over 33 million units being sold annually. This figure surpasses world car and light truck sales and is expected to grow. A recent article in Bloomberg BusinessWeek asked - "Electric nikes Won Over China. Is the U.S. Next?" - June 2, 2016. This question is not irrelevant and trivial as it may appear at t blush. With the recent Paris Agreement on Climate Change countries will find that one of the quickest and most significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions can be realized in the transportation sector by deploying electric vehicles (EVs), plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs) including e-bikes and electric scooters (e-scooters). Besides bicycles, e-bikes and e-scooters are the most efficient and cleanest means of personal transportation on the planet followed by EVs and PHEVs. They are also the most economical and quiet. The proposed bike lanes on Northern Avenue will encourage anyone who would not have ridden a bicycle, e-bike or an e-scooter because of safety concerns to now bike or e-bike, bike and e-bike more often and feel safer doing it. This would be good for the environment and for the health of the community. This is all relevant to today's discussion. I see the three lane plus two bike lane configuration for Northern Avenue as the right solution for the times. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Pete Bulas
Consultant and Business Development Manager
Renewable Energy Vehicles

| From: | JG |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, June 27, 2016 4:42 PM |
| To: | Northern Avenue EA |
| Cc: | Don Elliott |
| Subject: | Re: Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements - Public Information Center |
| Attachments: | Corporation of the City of Sault Ste.docx |

Just some comments i would like to forward to you both thanks..

From: "Northern Avenue EA" [NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca)
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 11:32:35 AM
Subject: Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements - Public Information Center
To Whom It May Concern,
Re: Notice of Public Information Centre: Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements - Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Please see the attached regarding the above.
Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned.
Regards, ennifer

Jennifer Sharpe, B.Sc.
Environmental Scientist
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Soult Ste. Marie, ON
PGA $6 J 8$
Tel: 705-949-4900
Fax: 705-949-9965
jennifer@kresinengineering.ca

## PUBLIC INFORMATION

CENTRE
COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly)
We have review the project material and have the following comments:
$>$ Installing a traffic light at Pine St. And Pleasant would be the logical way to levitate the traffic problem at this intersection for these reasons:

1. Issue: School crossing which is holding up traffic between the hours of $8: 30$ am to 9:00am 3:209pm to 4:00pm
Solution: Install a traffic light to assist the 2 crossing guards to allow traffic to stop from all three directions, which also allows the traffic to flow with controlled mechanism (traffic light). With the Pine St. Extension from Second Line vehicle traffic is moving at a high rate of speed as there are no intersections between Northern Ave. \& McNabb St. That have neither traffic lights nor a 3or 4 way stop to slow the traffic down.
2. Issue: There has been increase of traffic from the Pine St. Extension from Second Line which has been making it difficult to exit Pleasant when turning left onto Pine St.
Solution: Install traffic light to allow traffic exiting left from Pleasant onto Pine St.with a controlled mechanism (traffic light). There is no issue turning right onto Pine St, from Pleasant. There is not a problem neither turning left off of Pine St. onto Pleasant nor turning right off of Pine St. onto Pleasant.
Putting a road from Northern Avenue to Princeton does not make logical sense for these reasons:
$>$ Opening road would cause increased traffic through a residential neighborhood
$\Rightarrow$ Would increase excessive noise pollution, trash pollution \& road dust. Especially in Spring.

- Excessive snow plowing pushing snow into properties and driveways adjacent to proposed roadway.
$>$ Decreasing the value of the 8 properties adjacent to the proposed Northern Avenue to Princeton Road Extension.
> Turning what was purchased originally regular property lots next to a lane way to proposed corner lot properties.
$>$ Increasing the risk of contact between vehicles to pedestrian traffic example: Panoramic Dr. without pedestrian sidewalks. Winter the road narrows approx. 6 feet. 3 feet per side of street.
$>$ Would levitate the traffic from major arteries and increase to residential streets. Example: would divert traffic flow at McNabb and Lake and just be a short cut through the residential $P$-patch instead of keeping the traffic to major arteries.

Name John Colombi
Address 146 Panoramic Dr.

## Kresin Engineering Corporation

536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6JB
Fax: (705) 949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Michael Kresin, P. Eng

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, June 28, 2016 8:07 AM |
| To: | Northern Avenue EA |
| Cc: | RE: Northern Avenue Improvements |
| Subject: |  |

Jeanette: There is plenty of time. You can provide your comments within the next few weeks. There will also be an opportunity to comment in the fall after the second open house.

Kegards,
Don Elliott

From:
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2016 9:32 PM
To: Don Elliott
Subject: Re: Northern Avenue Improvements
Thanks very much Don. Can you tell me when the deadline to submit comments is?
Thanks,
Jeanette
Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Bell network.
From: Don Elliott
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2016 8:39 AM
To:
Cc: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Subject: RE: Northern Avenue Improvements
Hello Jeanette: Thank you for your e-mail. The slides from the open house are available online at: saultstemarie.ca/NorthernAveEA

Regards,
Don Elliott

## From:

Sent: Sunday, June 26, 2016 2:41 PM
To: Don Elliott
Subject: Northern Avenue Improvements
Hi there,
I came to the open house last week and learned about the recommendation to build a road from Princeton Ave. To Northern Ave. I would like to share the information with my landlord and am having trouble locating it on the City's website. Can you please send me the link?
Thank you,
Jeanette

Jennifer Sharpe

| From: | Cecilia Fernandez |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, June 28, 2016 9:06 AM |
| To: | d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca |
| Cc: | Northern Avenue EA |
| Subject: | Northern Avenue Improvements |

Dear Mr. Elliott,
1 would like to be included on your project mailing list. My property would be directly affected by this project so I would be pleased to review any relevant information regarding the EA, etc you may have available.

Best regards,
Cecilia Fernandez

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, June 30,2016 10:45 AM |
| To: | Northern Avenue EA |
| Cc: | RE: Northern Ave Improvement |

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Greenwood: Thank you for your comments - this is excellent feedback for us. Your comments will be considered as the EA moves forward.
regards,
Don Elliott

## From:

Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 8:09 PM
To: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Cc: Don Elliott
Subject: Northern Ave Improvement

Hello Michael

My wife and I attended the recent Northern Ave Improvements Public Information Centre describing the options being recommended and would like to submit our comments through this email. We appreciate the opportunity provided and the ''me you and city officials invested. I know open how houses can swing between little and too much interest, boredom id controversy, and often 'Nimbyism' at it best. I hope this session didn't fall into these extremes and instead proved useful for you.

We are residents of the P-Patch but live quite some way from the proposed changes. Our interest is therefore as citizens that will be affected but not from a Nimby perspective.

We agree with all 3 of the recommended alternatives, with one serious caveat related to Alternative $C$ as noted below.
Alternative A2 - We fully support this alternative, recognizing traffic volumes do not generally require 4 lanes. We would bring to your attention two areas that we believe require special lane marking to reduce congestion and frustration. The right turn lane heading east on Northern onto Willow south is heavily used and keeps traffic flowing, especially at red lights. It should continue to be available. Likewise, the right turn lane when heading west on Northern that turns north onto Great Northern Rd should also remain. I note that there are often drivers who use this right turn lane then proceed straight through the intersection to make the right turn into the Lowe's parking lot easier. This creates a problem (and close calls) for drivers using the straight through lane who also want to access this parking lot but find themselves blocked. If there is some way to prevent this though better lane marking (i.e. eliminate the lane across the intersection from the right turn lane), this would be an improvement.

Alternative B1 - We also fully support this alternative. Lake St is not currently built to act as a thoroughfare and any connection to it would cause it to become one, impacting its residential nature, crossings to Ben R McMullan School etc. Both suggested routes to Black Rd would entail negotiating the steep hill involved, creating yet another winter maintenance problem (and cost) similar to those navigating this old river bank elsewhere in the city. It would also require a very wide right of way for proper roadside slope engineering. Most seriously, either route would have to cross one of the heaviest used sections of the Hub Trail and one of only 2 sections involving any length of natural area. A major road crossing of the Hub Trail here would virtually ruin this trail section, not to mention create a safety risk given its high use in all 12 months.

Alternative C3-We support the concept of adding new access/egress to the P-Patch but with a caveat. Given the frustration and danger already existing at the Pine St/ Pleasant Dr. intersection, we believe both C3 and C4 (lights at this intersection) should be implemented.

While traffic studies suggest lights may not be warranted based on volume, I would question whether this is a case of statistics not reflecting the whole or accurate story. Not having seen the studies, I wonder how well they reflect the congestion here during the morning and evening to/from work periods. After the opening of the high school at Pine and Second Line, I have waited in 4 to 5 car lines to turn south onto Pine from Pleasant. I have timed from 1 to 2 minutes per car, equating to waiting over 5 minutes during the busy periods. With a right turn lane here also, vehicles block each other's views to make turns safely. This causes drivers to inch forward on each other to try see, all in a state of frustration. The obvious outcome is that people take chances with unsafe turns. When you add the school crossing that operates here (primary school and Sault College students), I've witnessed some outright dangerous situations and close calls take place. I'm sure the crossing guards posted here could add to what I've seen. I wonder how well the traffic volume studies capture these considerations.

While the above might suggest a more detailed traffic study, it would need to be done when the primary and secondary schools plus Sault College are convened, or it would not accurately portray the situation. My guess is that several lights can be found installed in the Sault that wouldn't satisfy the traffic volume criteria, so I find it odd that this was seemingly the primary criteria for rejecting this alternative.

By only implementing alternative C3, I also believe you are underestimating just how much traffic the residential Princeton Dr and new connecting road will end up carrying. With frustration so high at the Pine/Pleasant intersection, even with stop signs and other potential deterrents, I believe this egress will be the one of choice for the whole P-Patch when going north or west. I am also seeing more traffic using Lake St N and Pleasant Ave to 'cut through' the P-Patch. This traffic will undoubtedly grow with any new egress onto to Northern Ave, especially one that avoids the Pleasant/Pine situation.

Because of the above, we firmly believe both Alternatives C3 and C4 should be recommended. Failing this, we would support C4, not C3 on its own.

If any of this raises questions or the need for clarification, please feel free to contact me by reply email or phone. Good luck with the project.

Yours truly,
Rich and Sue Greenwood
184 Promenade Dr.
Sault Ste. Marie, ON. P6B 5J6

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca)
Monday, July 04, 2016 3:42 PM
'Betty Vankerkhof'

RE: Northern Avenue Corridor

Good afternoon: Thank you for your comments. They will be included in the environmental assessment evaluation.

```
    jards,
vun Elliott
```

-----Original Message-----
From: Betty Vankerkhof
Sent: Sunday, July 03, 2016 11:12 AM
To: Don Elliott; northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Cc:
Subject: Northern Avenue Corridor

Good morning,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the alternatives proposed in relation to the Northern Avenue Corridor. As a resident of the area I would like the city to consider the policies and objectives of the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement, Ontario's Climate Change Strategy and Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC) during planning and velopment. MOHLTC information indicates that chronic disease rates are higher than the provincial average in northern Ontario, with one of the major risk factors being physical inactivity. Planning should promote healthy, liveable and safe communities that accommodate recreation, parks and open spaces; promote cost effective development; conserve biodiversity e.g. Protect natural features and areas; promote active transportation and reduce green house gas emissions. In my opinion alternatives B2,B3 and B4, as well as C2 and C3 do not support these policies and objectives but move in the opposite direction. Lane re-assignments A2 and A3 provide a safe connection for a portion of the Hub Trail that is currently missing and support a healthy active lifestyle and active transportation that B2, B3 and B4 do not.

B3 and B4 would be the most destructive because they would destroy existing green belt and natural heritage features and greatly diminish the natural trail experience created by the development of the Hub Trail in that area. The 2010 Natural Heritage Reference Manual, including section 2.1 identifies the need to conserve diversity and connectivity of natural heritage features, surface water and ground water. The green space in this corridor provides natural vegetation recreational opportunities otherwise missing in the immediate area and provides refuge as well as a travel corridor for many non-human species. The area along the slope from Mapleview to Finn hill includes species that are part of the Great Lakes St.Lawrence Forest but are less common particularly in the northern ends of the ranges and should therefore be conserved not only for biodiversity reasons but also for potential climate change adaptation opportunities. These species include, basswood, white ash (if any survive EAB), pockets of hemlock and scattered white pine. These species and their other associates provide refuge habitat for other species, including barred and saw whet owls, sometimes moose and Lynx. The wetlands at the base of the hill may also support species of turtles as recently found by a neighbour. Any development of this area will fragment it such that it may no longer be able to provide refuge and a travel corridor for species. Development of the hill area may also require additional storm water management as it will disrupt the natural hydrology on the slope and destroy the natural wetlands providing natural storm water functions along base of hill. Is this area considered hazard land in the municipal plan?
$B 2, B 3, B 4, C 2$ and C3 will increase traffic in a quiet attractive residential neighbourhood, negating the reason many may have located here. These proposals will increase non-resident traffic to use the area to bypass traffic lights on McNabb, Great northern, Second Line and Black Road. Non-resident traffic does occur via Lake/Promenade/Pleasant and Pine at higher rates of speed. I would suggest that the city survey traffic in the area and determine the breakdown of resident versus non-resident traffic. If we are really concerned about access in and out of the $P$ Patch, install a traffic light or a 4 way stop at Pleasant and Pine. This would allow the access and discourage non-resident traffic as it would no longer be quicker as a bypass. It would also allow school children to safely cross Pine on foot or on bikes. Many parents drive their children to St. Paul's because they are concerned about the safety of crossing Pine Street, which does not promote active transportation among our youth who already have high rates of childhood obesity. Encourage traffic to use the existing planned travel corridors of Great Northern, McNabb, Second Line and Black Roads as opposed to turning residential roads into thoroughfares. The city already has issues with its tax base, is challenged to meet existing needs including maintaining roads and should not be building additional roads at an additional cost to develop and maintain.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment. I would like to be kept apprised of any future work on this matter ana would like to be on the mailing list.

Regards,
Betty van Kerkhof and Michael Nearing
72 Prince Charles Crescent

Sent from my iPad

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

## Sam Colizza

Tuesday, July 12, 2016 9:22 AM
Michael Kresin
Northern Avenue EA; 'Don Elliott (d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca)'
RE: Northern Avenue Corridor EA

Mike, Thanks for the reminder. As long as the Pine/Pleasant intersection location does not change, as the St. Paul busbay entrance lines up with it, the school Board has no comments.

Sam Colizza
Manager of Plant Services
Huron-Superior Catholic District School Board
(705 945 5644)

From: Michael Kresin [mailto:Mike@kresinengineering.ca]
Sent: July-07-16 9:11 AM
To: Sam Colizza
Cc: Northern Avenue EA; 'Don Elliott (d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca)'
Subject: Northern Avenue Corridor EA
Hi Sam,
I'm not sure if you're aware or not, but Kresin Engineering is working with the City of Sault Ste. Marie on a study for improvements to the Northern Avenue corridor - part of this study includes possible improvements to the P-Patch access/egress. This means that we are including the Pine/Pleasant intersection in our study. This intersection is directly adjacent to the bus-bay entrance at St. Paul's elementary school.

There was an information session held a couple of weeks ago and we have not seen any input from HSCDSB, so I thought I would make sure you know what's going on. The presentation materials are available on-line at http://www.saultstemarie.ca/City-Hall/City-Departments/Engineering-and-Planning/Engineering-and-Construction/Class-EA/Northern-Avenue.aspx

Please note that the recommendations presented do not include improvements at Pine/Pleasant intersection.
If you, or anyone from HSCDSB would like to meet and discuss this study, please let me know and we will set something up.

Thanks,
Mike

[^6]The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and intended only for the addressee(s). If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately and delete and/or destroy it and all copies of it. Thank you.
"This email (including any attachment) is confidential and may be protected by privilege. Any unauthorized use, dissemination or copying of the content is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify us by reply email and delete the original message."
This email has been scanned by Barracuda Spam Firewall..
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background and Purpose

The City of Sault Ste. Marie is undertaking a study to improve traffic operations and safety along the Northern Avenue Corridor. A series of opportunities for improvements were identified in the City's 2015 Transportation Master Plan (TMP), including lane reassignment or elimination along the corridor (road diet); extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road; and improvements to the access/egress of the PPatch subdivision (located southeast of the intersection of Northern Avenue and Pine Street).

Kresin Engineering is leading the Class Environmental Assessment (EA) and conducted a preliminary assessment of multiple variations of the following opportunities:

+ Lane reassignment (full extension or select locations);
+ Extension of Northern Avenue (east or southeast to Black Road or Lake Street); and
+ P Patch access (new road to Panoramic Drive, new road to Princeton Drive, or traffic signal at Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive).

As a result of the preliminary assessment, the following recommended solutions are being proposed:

+ A2: Implement Northern Avenue lane reassignment between North Street and Pine Street, including reduction from four to three lanes with a continuous left-turn lane and bicycle lanes along corridor, where possible (road diet);
+ B1: No extension of Northern Avenue; and
+ C3: Construct access/egress to the P-Patch subdivision (new two-lane road from the existing east termination of Northern Avenue south to Princeton Drive).

Kresin Engineering retained CIMA Canada Inc. (CIMA + ) to further evaluate the proposed solutions from a traffic engineering perspective. This report provides a quantitative and qualitative review of the benefits and disbenefits of the proposed road diet, as well as capacity analysis of the intersection of Northern Avenue \& Pine Street as a result of the proposed access to the P-Patch subdivision.

### 1.2 Road Diets

According to the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Road Diet Informational Guide, a road diet is generally described as "removing travel lanes from a roadway and utilizing the space for other users and travel modes". The most common road diet reconfiguration consists of converting an undivided four-lane roadway to a three-lane undivided roadway (one of which being a two-way left-turn lane), and reassigning the remaining width to be reallocated for bicycle lanes, parking lanes, pedestrians or transit users. Figure 1 illustrates a typical road diet.


Figure 1: Typical Road Diet ${ }^{1}$
Since their inception, road diets have consistently produced reductions in operating speeds, collisions and aggressive driving behaviours. Conceived as a reaction to over-designed four-lane roadways where growth was anticipated beyond what actually developed, as well as certain operating difficulties with four-lane roadways, road diets provide an adequate solution for neighbourhood thoroughfares where more effective, but correspondingly more intrusive, measures such as speed humps are considered inappropriate.

Some of the first recorded road diets took place in Billings, Montana, in 1979. With an average daily traffic (ADT) of 10,000, reductions in collisions without increase in delay were recorded after a conversion from a four-lane cross-section to a three-lane cross-section with a centre two-way left-turnlane (TWLTL). ${ }^{2}$ Further studies in the area, with more in-depth analysis, as well as studies which examined road diets incidentally, such as studies of TWLTLs, showed similar results, as well as reductions in operating speeds ( $3-5 \mathrm{mph}$, or $5-8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ).

More locally, many cities in southern Ontario have implemented road diets to great effect. In the Region of Waterloo, Frederick Street between Bruce and Edna Streets was reduced from two travel lanes in each direction to one travel lane per direction and a TWLTL, resulting $44 \%$ fewer collisions. ${ }^{3}$ The City of Toronto reduced St. George Street between Bloor and College Streets West from four lanes to two lanes with left-turn lanes at major intersections. This resulted in a $40 \%$ reduction in collisions, as well as an increase in cycling by $10 \%$. Further, it comprised a cycling network with Davenport Road, Gerrard Street, Sherbourne Avenue and Harbord Street, each with various cycling facilities installed over comparable time-periods, which saw cycling trips rise by $23 \% .{ }^{4}$

[^7]

### 1.3 Study Area

Figure 2 shows the Study Area, including the P-Patch subdivision, and the Focus Area, consisting of Northern Avenue from North Street to Pine Street. Northern Avenue is a four-lane urban collector ${ }^{5}$ with a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ per Highway Traffic Act (the speed limit is not posted) extending from North Street in the west to approximately 320 metres east of Pine Street. Within the study and focus areas, the unposted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.


Figure 2: Study Area and Focus Area
As seen in Figure 3, the land use along Northern Avenue is predominately commercial and industrial to the west of Great Northern Road and residential to the east. The north-west and south-west corners of the intersection of Northern Avenue and Great Northern Road are comprised of commercials lands that extend southerly along Great Northern Road. The land use throughout the focus area also includes occasional institutional and industrial developments.

[^8]

## Legend

## Land Use Designation



Figure 3: Study Area Land Use ${ }^{6}$
The focus area includes ten intersections with Northern Avenue, as follows:

+ North Street (4-leg, signalized);
+ Anita Boulevard (4-leg, minor road stop control);
+ Kitchener Road (3-leg, minor road stop control);
+ Wilson Street (3-leg, minor road stop control);
+ Grand Boulevard/ Sackville Road (4-leg, signalized);
+ Reid Street (3-leg, minor road stop control);
+ Pee Wee Arena/ Mall Entrance (4-leg, signalized);
+ Great Northern Road (4-leg, signalized);
+ Willow Avenue (4-leg, signalized, north leg is a driveway for Fire and EMS only);
+ Tadcaster Place (3-leg, minor road stop control); and
+ Pine Street (4-leg, signalized).
Capacity analysis was conducted for the five signalized intersections highlighted in bold above.
The study area includes six intersections which provide access to the P-Patch subdivision, as follows:
+ Northern Avenue \& Pine Street (3-leg, signalized);
+ Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive (3-leg, minor road stop control);
+ Pine Street \& Passmore Road (3-leg, minor road stop control);
+ Pine Street \& McNabb Street (4-leg, signalized);

[^9]+ McNabb Street \& Pentagon Boulevard (3-leg, signalized); and
+ McNabb Street \& Lake Street (4-leg, signalized).
Capacity analysis was conducted for the intersections of Northern Avenue \& Pine Street and Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive only. However, traffic volumes at the remaining intersections were reviewed in order to understand traffic patterns and reassign traffic to the proposed access.


### 1.4 Study Approach

The study was divided into two major components. The first consisted of a review of the potential benefits and disbenefits of the proposed road diet, including: a qualitative review that evaluated the pros and cons in terms of pedestrian and cyclist safety and convenience, transit services, parking operations, and overall traffic safety; and a quantitative review that evaluated signalized intersection operations in terms of capacity, delays and queues as a result of the reduced number of lanes. The second component consisted of a review of traffic patterns associated with the P-Patch subdivision, the reassignment of traffic resulting from the implementation of the proposed access, and the evaluation of intersection operations at Northern Avenue \& Pine Street and Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive.

Intersection capacity analysis was undertaken using procedures described in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). The analysis primarily focuses on performance measures such as level-of-service (LOS), volume to capacity (v/c) ratio, and queueing.

LOS is a qualitative measure of operational performance and is based on control delay. The LOS criteria for signalized intersections are illustrated in Table 1. LOS A is represented by a control delay of less than 10 seconds per vehicle (referred to as free flow operating conditions). LOS F is represented by a control delay greater than 80 seconds per vehicle (referred to as restricted flow operating conditions) or if the volume-to-capacity ratio for the movement exceeds 1.0 , regardless of the control delay.

Table 1: LOS Criteria for Intersections

| Level-of-Service (LOS) | Control Delay (seconds/vehicle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized | Unsignalized |
| A | $0-10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

The v/c ratio is the ratio between traffic volumes and the capacity of an intersection movement. A v/c ratio greater than 1.0 indicates that the movement is operating over capacity.
$95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue is the queue length that has only a 5 percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis period. It is industry practice and accepted methodology to use the 95th percentile queue length for design and operational analysis purposes.

The analysis methodology is consistent with the City's Transportation Master Plan (2015) ${ }^{7}$ which indicates the following performance measures:

+ Capacity of all intersection movements, which is based on a volume-to-capacity ratio and must be below 1.00 for all movements. V/C ratios that exceed 0.85 are flagged for monitoring; and
+ Level of Service (LOS) for all intersection movements, which is based on the average control delay per vehicle for the various movements through the intersection and overall. As per HCM, the unsignalized LOS criteria are outlined in Table 2. Intersections should be monitored for improvements at LOS D-E and are recommended for improvements if operating at LOS F.

Table 2: City of Sault Ste. Marie Signalized Intersection LOS Criteria

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (sec/veh) | Recommended Improvement Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10 \mathrm{sec}$ | Acceptable |
| B | $10-20 \mathrm{sec}$ | Acceptable |
| C | $20-35 \mathrm{sec}$ | Acceptable |
| D | $35-55 \mathrm{sec}$ | Monitor |
| E | $55-80 \mathrm{sec}$ | Monitor |
| F | $\geq 80 \mathrm{sec}$ | Unacceptable |

## 2. Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Roadway Cross-Section

Within the study area, Northern Avenue presents four slightly different cross-sections as illustrated in Figure 4. The available curb-to-curb width ranges from 12.4 metres to 13.2 metres and consists of two 3.0- to 3.3-metre wide travel lanes in both the eastbound and westbound directions. Gutters are present on both sides on the roadway in Section A where the effective road width available to traffic is 12.4 metres. It should be noted that west of the study area limits at North Street, Northern Avenue transitions into a two-lane roadway.
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Figure 4: Northern Avenue - Existing Cross-Section

The major differences between each of the cross-sections is the presence and widths of gutters, boulevards and sidewalks. Where boulevards are available, these areas can be used for roadway expansion if ultimately required; however, widening beyond the existing roadway width would be very costly due to the presence of hydro poles that would need to be relocated.

### 2.2 Traffic Volumes and Speed Data

Traffic volume and speed data were provided by the City and are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Traffic Volume and Speed Data for Northern Avenue

| Location | Direction | Date | AADT | 85 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wilson St to Sackville Rd | EB | May 2014 | 6,015 | - |
| West of Sackville Rd | EB | July 2015 | 5,682 | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| East of North St | EB | September 2016 | 4,652 | - |
| Kitchener Rd to Wilson St | WB | July 2014 | 6,212 | - |
| East of North St | WB | September 2016 | 4,462 | $69 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| North St to Sackville Rd | $E B+W B$ | Average | 10,800 | 60-69 km/h |
| Pee Wee Arena Entrance to Reid St | EB | August 2014 | 7,329 | - |
| West of Great Northern Rd | EB | August 2015 | 8,000 | $52 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Pee Wee Arena Entrance to Reid St | WB | May 2014 | 8,302 | - |
| Sackville Rd to Great Northern Rd | WB | July 2015 | 5,897 | $34 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Sackville Rd to Great Northern Rd | $E B+W B$ | Average | 14,800 | 34-52 km/h |
| Great Northern Rd to Willow Ave | EB | May 2013 | 10,362 | - |
| West of Willow Ave | EB | December 2015 | 6,069 | - |
| Great Northern Rd to Willow Ave | WB | June 2013 | 7,922 | - |
| East of Great Northern Rd | WB | August 2015 | 6,310 | $56 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Great Northern Rd to Willow Ave | $E B+W B$ | Average | 15,300 | 56 km/h |
| West of Pine St | EB | June 2015 | 5,774 | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Tadcaster Place to Pine St | EB | May 2013 | 7,017 | - |


| Willow Ave to Tadcaster Place | WB | May 2013 | 3,972 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Willow Ave | WB | December 2015 | $\mathbf{3 , 7 6 6}$ | - |
| Willow Ave to Pine St | EB + WB | Average | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 ~ \mathbf { ~ k m } / \mathbf { h }}$ |

The traffic volumes provided for review were collected over various dates, which yields a wide range of volumes. Based on the average volumes, the AADT within the study area ranges approximately between 10,000 and 15,000 . The Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways (GDSOH) ${ }^{8}$ describes urban collector roadways as having an average daily traffic range between 1,000 and 20,000 vehicles. The 24 -hour volumes recorded along Northern Avenue fall in the mid-range based on GDSOH's descriptions. According to the TAC Geometric Design Guide ${ }^{9}$, Northern Avenue could be classified either as an urban commercial collector (up to 12,000 vehicles per day) or as an urban minor arterial (up to 20,000 vehicles per day). The classification or Northern Avenue based on both guidelines is therefore consistent with the City of Sault Ste. Marie TMP road classification.

Overall, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed recorded on Northern Avenue ranges from 34 to $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. However, the study that reported $34 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ is likely to be atypical. If this study is not considered, operating speeds along Northern Avenue range between approximately 50 and $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

### 2.3 Pedestrian Facilities

Pedestrians are accommodated with 1.3 to 1.5 metre wide sidewalks located on both sides of Northern
Avenue. Depressed curbs are also present at all intersection sidewalk approaches as illustrated in Figure 5.


Figure 5: Depressed Curb on Northern Avenue at Anita Boulevard ${ }^{10}$

[^11]Crossing opportunities for pedestrians are provided at signalized intersections every 200 to 600 metres. Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 15 does not state any specific guidance for distances to which crossing opportunities should be provided, therefore, it should be established by the local road authority according to local conditions. ${ }^{11}$ The spacing provided is similar to what would be found in similar areas in other municipalities, and can be considered reasonable. Crosswalk pavement markings accompanied by stop bars are provided at all the signalized intersections in the study area.

### 2.4 Bicycle Facilities

Dedicated bicycle facilities are currently not present on Northern Avenue resulting in bicyclists having to share the road with vehicular traffic and parked vehicles (where parking is permitted). As discussed in section 2.2, the existing traffic volumes along Northern Avenue range between approximately 10,000 and 15,000 and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds range between 50 and $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Based on OTM Book 18's Desirable Cycling Facility Pre-selection Nomograph, illustrated in Figure 6, a designated cycling operating space such as exclusive bicycle lanes or a separate facility such as separate bicycle lanes would be appropriate for Northern Avenue. Although volumes are relatively high, the range of operating speeds places Northern Avenue in the 'transition' area between these two types of facilities. Considering that a road diet is expected to influence drivers to reduce their speed, bicycle lanes can be considered adequate if operating speeds can be maintained between 50 and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.


Figure 6: Desirable cycling Facility Nomograph ${ }^{12}$
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Drainage grates around catch basins were also noted along Northern Avenue as illustrated in Figure 7. These grates may increase the risk to bicyclists due to depressions created in the roadway, becoming slippery when wet and/or through the formation of potholes around the drainage grate frame.


Figure 7: Drainage Grate on Northern Avenue ${ }^{13}$

### 2.5 Transit Services

Although subject to changes in the near future, at the time of writing the present report Northern Avenue was served by public transit. As illustrated in Figure 8, Sault Ste. Marie Transit operates two bus routes along Northern Avenue: \#7 North Street and \#8 Sault College/Algoma University. The \#7 North Street route services Northern Avenue between Sackville Road/Grand Boulevard and Great Northern Road on the westbound route. On the eastbound route \#7 operates on Northern Avenue between Sackville Road/Grand Boulevard and Pine Street, and between North Street and Kitchener Road.

The \#8 Sault College/Algoma University route services Northern Avenue between Willow Avenue and Pine Street. Both routes provide Monday to Friday service with departures every 30 minutes from 5:45 AM to 6:15 PM. Hourly service begins at 7:15 PM and ends at 12:10AM. Weekend service is also hourly.

[^13]

Figure 8: Sault Ste. Marie Transit Route Map ${ }^{14}$
Northern Avenue has 5 and 4 transit stops in the eastbound and westbound directions, respectively. The majority of bus stops are marked with transit signs mounted on hydro/illumination poles or wooden posts (Figure 9).


Figure 9: Typical Transit Stop Sign ${ }^{15}$
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### 2.6 Parking Restrictions

Parking is prohibited through most of study area. On the north and south side of Northern Avenue, parking is prohibited from North Street to Pine Street at all times. ${ }^{16}$ "No Parking" signs are present along the corridor on hydro/illumination poles or wooden posts. East of Pine Street, parking is permitted on both sides of the road adjacent to residential lands after 2pm except from September $1^{\text {st }}$ to June $15^{\text {th }}$, weekends and holidays excepted. ${ }^{17}$

### 2.7 Left-turn Operations

The current configuration of Northern Avenue may require drivers attempting to turn left into residential or commercial driveways to wait for gaps in opposing traffic while standing on the left-side lane. This could create the risk of rear end collisions.

Exclusive left turn lanes for east and westbound traffic are provided on Northern Avenue at the intersection with Great Northern Road and for westbound traffic turning left onto North Street. The remaining intersections present shared through/left-turn lanes.

At the intersection of Northern Avenue and Great Northern Road, commercial developments are present at all corners of the intersection. Additionally, the development along the south side of Northern Avenue west of Great Northern Avenue is highly commercial. These developments may potentially cause issues for vehicles attempting to enter and exit the parking lots as accesses are frequent and fairly close to the intersection. Access to Sault College and an affiliated residence, between Willow Avenue and Pine Street, may also cause traffic congestion and greater risk for westbound drivers waiting for gaps in traffic to turn left.

### 2.8 Review of Traffic Operations

### 2.8.1 Intersection Volumes and Lane Configurations

Traffic volume data, in the form of Turning Movement Counts (TMC) were collected by the City on the dates shown in Table 4 and utilized in the operational analysis. The referenced traffic volume data are provided in Appendix A. Traffic signal timing plans were also provided by the City and are provided in Appendix B.

Table 4: Turning Movement Counts

| Intersection | Date |
| :---: | :---: |
| Northern Ave \& North St | Tuesday, September 27, 2016 |
| Northern Ave \& Grand Blvd/Sackville Rd | Tuesday, July 07, 2015 |
| Northern Ave \& Great Northern Rd | Tuesday, May 26, 2015 |
| Northern Ave \& Willow Ave | Thursday, December 03, 2015 |
| Northern Ave \& Pine St | Wednesday, May 13, 2015 |

Because the counts were conducted on different dates, the peak hours were slightly different for each intersection. The TMCs were reviewed in order to determine the analysis period based on total entering volumes at all intersections (Figure 10). The resulting peak hours were 8:00 to 9:00 and 16:00 to 17:00.

The turning movement volumes utilized in the operational analysis are illustrated in Figure 11, and existing lane configurations are illustrated in Figure 12.


Figure 10: Total Entering Volumes for Different Peak Hours


Figure 11: Existing Turning Movement Volumes


Figure 12: Northern Avenue Lane Configurations

### 2.8.2 Existing Intersection Operations

An intersection operational analysis was undertaken for signalized intersections along the Northern Avenue corridor to determine the existing conditions. The results of the analysis for signalized intersections are summarized in Table 5 below. Synchro reports are provided in Appendix C for further reference.

Table 5: Existing Conditions Operational Analysis

| Direction | Mov. | Storage <br> Length | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | V/C | Delay | LOS | Queue | V/C | Delay | LOS | Queue |
| Northern Ave E \& North St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | LTR | - | 0.25 | 16.6 | B | 38 | 0.17 | 17.2 | B | 36 |
| WB | L | - | 0.16 | 16.3 | B | 23 | 0.17 | 9.4 | A | 17 |
|  | TR | - | 0.21 | 16.5 | B | 31 | 0.51 | 11.6 | B | 35 |
| NB | LTR | - | 0.18 | 16.6 | B | 43 | 0.21 | 18.2 | B | 48 |
| SB | L | 16 | 0.20 | 17.4 | B | 27 | 0.15 | 18.1 | B | 22 |
|  | TR | - | 0.34 | 18.9 | B | 44 | 0.20 | 18.5 | B | 37 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.29 | 17.1 | B | - | 0.36 | 15.3 | B | - |
| Northern Ave E \& Grand Blvd/Sackville |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | LTR | - | 0.22 | 15.6 | B | 30 | 0.34 | 18.5 | B | 44 |
| WB | LTR | - | 0.15 | 15.0 | B | 26 | 0.41 | 20.8 | C | 61 |
| NB | L | 21 | 0.03 | 13.5 | B | 8 | 0.07 | 16.4 | B | 15 |
|  | TR | - | 0.13 | 14.4 | B | 22 | 0.13 | 17.0 | B | 23 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.20 | 15.3 | B | 23 | 0.33 | 19.9 | B | 37 |
|  | TR | - | 0.10 | 14.1 | B | 21 | 0.20 | 17.8 | B | 36 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.21 | 15.0 | B | - | 0.37 | 19.3 | B | - |
| Northern Ave E \& Great Northern Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | - | 0.26 | 17.6 | B | 36 | 0.44 | 24.7 | C | 52 |
|  | TR | - | 0.44 | 26.1 | C | 52 | 0.78 | 46.3 | D | 124 |
| WB | L | 26 | 0.06 | 23.7 | C | 7 | 0.18 | 19.2 | B | 21 |
|  | T | - | 0.23 | 28.4 | C | 30 | 0.45 | 29.8 | C | 60 |
|  | R | - | 0.10 | 26.7 | C | 26 | 0.12 | 25.4 | C | 24 |
| NB | L | 80 | 0.47 | 27.8 | C | 29 | 0.79 | 43.7 | D | 57 |
|  | TR | - | 0.55 | 38.4 | D | 56 | 0.67 | 38.0 | D | 77 |
| SB | L | 80 | 0.49 | 23.6 | C | 45 | 0.65 | 27.5 | C | 63 |
|  | TR | - | 0.84 | 46.2 | D | 82 | 0.90 | 50.9 | D | 98 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.59 | 34.8 | C | - | 0.82 | 40.6 | D | - |


| Northern Ave E \& Willow Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB | TR | - | 0.26 | 16.0 | B | 55 | 0.29 | 9.5 | A | 42 |
| WB | LT | - | 0.20 | 15.4 | B | 32 | 0.27 | 16.3 | B | 42 |
|  | L | 23 | 0.29 | 28.4 | C | 36 | 0.74 | 42.7 | D | 63 |
|  | R | - | 0.03 | 24.5 | C | 20 | 0.04 | 24.6 | C | 93 |
| SB | LTR | - | - | - | - | - | 0.00 | 24.1 | C | - |
|  |  | - | 0.27 | 17.9 | B | - | 0.47 | 20.9 | C | - |
| Northern Ave E \& Pine St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | LT | - | 0.07 | 23.7 | C | 17 | 0.11 | 24.4 | C | 22 |
|  | R | - | 0.09 | 24.0 | C | 25 | 0.21 | 25.7 | C | 47 |
| WB | LTR | - | 0.01 | 23.1 | C | 9 | 0.06 | 23.5 | C | 18 |
| NB | L | 42 | 0.43 | 18.2 | B | 44 | 0.37 | 17.5 | B | 40 |
|  | TR | - | 0.47 | 17.5 | B | 71 | 0.37 | 15.9 | B | 55 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.02 | 12.0 | B | 8 | 0.04 | 12.3 | B | 12 |
|  | TR | - | 0.37 | 15.8 | B | 57 | 0.45 | 17.1 | B | 75 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.32 | 18.0 | B | - | 0.36 | 19.3 | B | - |

Queues highlighted in red exceed available storage length
The results indicate that all movements, approaches and intersections are operating at a LOS D or better under existing conditions, with most operating at LOS B. All v/c ratios are within acceptable target values set in the City's Transportation Master Plan and HCM Guidelines. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues range between 7 and 124 metres for the through movements. The eastbound through movement on Northern Avenue at Great Northern Road yields the 124-metre queue during the PM Peak Hour and the intersection operates at an overall LOS D. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues range between 9 and 63 metres for the left turn movements. No queues along Northern Avenue exceed available storage or interfere with upstream signalized intersections. However, some approaches along the minor side streets present queues that exceed available storage (most notably Willow Avenue northbound, where the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue reaches 63 metres, 40 metres in excess of available storage).

## 3. Proposed Road Diet Cross Section

A typical road diet involves the removal of one travel lane in each direction and the introduction of a centre two-way left-turn lane (where needed, in areas of multiple accesses with regular usage). The remaining pavement width is then reallocated for other uses and travel modes such as bicycle lanes, parking lanes, pedestrians or transit users. However, without incurring excessive reconstruction costs, any proposed roadway configuration is limited to the available width between the curbs of the existing roadway. As noted previously in Section 2.1, the existing width available along the North Park Street corridor is approximately 12.4 to 13.2 metres (curb-to-curb),

The initial intention for the Northern Avenue Road Diet was to provide a cross-section including:

+ Two through travel lanes, one in each direction;
+ A centre two-way left-turn lane median; and
+ Two exclusive bicycle lanes, one in each direction.
Using minimum lane widths from various industry standards and guidelines, including the Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways (GDSOH), TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, and Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 18 - Cycling Facilities, the cross-sections illustrated in Figure 13 and Figure 14 would require a roadway width of at least 12.65 metres (with gutters) and 13.25 metres (without gutters), respectively. These requirements exceed the width currently available on some sections of Northern Avenue.


Figure 13: Cross-Section with Minimum Lane Widths per Standards and Guidelines (with gutters)


Figure 14: Cross-Section with Minimum Lane Widths per Standards and Guidelines (without gutters)
As discussed in Section 2.1, the cross-section for Northern Avenue between North Street and Sackville Road/Grand Boulevard (Section A) includes gutters on the north and south sides of the road. To the east, the cross-sections do not include gutters on both sides of the roadway. Between Sackville Road and Great Northern Road (Section B) gutters are present on the south side of the road. Between Great Northern Road and Pine Street (Section C and D), gutters are not provided on either side of the roadway and the available roadway width is measured from curb-to-curb. Therefore, three different
cross-sections are proposed along Northern Avenue (as discussed in the following sections), all of which include two travel lanes, a two-way left-turn lane and bicycle lanes.

### 3.1 North Street to Sackville Road/ Grand Boulevard

The reduced cross-section available to traffic between North Street and Sackville Road (Section A) provides a total roadway width of 12.4 metres (Figure 15). In order to accommodate this narrow roadway, the travel lanes and two-way left-turn lane have been slightly reduced from the minimum dimensions. Given that the roadway is adjacent to 30 cm gutters, OTM Book 18 indicates that 1.2 metre wide bicycle lanes are sufficient as the gutters can be utilized by cyclists. ${ }^{18}$


Figure 15: Proposed Road Diet Configuration between North Street and Sackville Road (Section A)

### 3.2 Sackville Road to Great Northern Road

Between Sackville Road and Great Northern Road (Section B), the total roadway width is 12.9 metres (Figure 16). This section includes 3.5 metre through lanes which meet the standards outlined in $\mathrm{GDSOH}^{19}$ and TAC ${ }^{20}$. On the south side of the roadway, $30-\mathrm{cm}$ gutter are provided allowing for a 1.2 metre wide bicycle lane. On the north side of the roadway, a 1.5 metre wide bicycle lane is included as adjacent gutters are not present.
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Figure 16: Proposed Configuration between Sackville Road and Great Northern Road (Section B)

### 3.3 Great Northern Road to Pine Street

Between Great Northern Road and Pine Street (Sections C and D), the available curb-to-curb roadway width is 12.4 metres (Figure 17). Sufficient roadway width is not provided to accommodate the minimum lanes widths outlined in Figure 14. As such, the existing lane widths of 3.1 metres will be maintained with 1.5 metre wide bicycle lanes and a 3.2-metre two-way left-turn lane.


Figure 17: Proposed Road Diet Configuration between Great Northern Road and Pine Street (Section C and D)

### 3.4 Intersections

OTM Book 11, Figure 34 - Two-way Left-turn Lane, provides guidance for most signalized intersections along Northern Avenue where the two-way left-turn lane turns into an exclusive left-turn lane. The exception is the intersection with Great Northern Road, where the lane configuration is to remain as existing, due to expected operational shortcomings resulting from a similar configuration, and to limited right-of-way available for widening.

As illustrated in Figure 18, OTM Book 18 provides guidance for bicycle treatments at intersections in order to safely guide cyclists through the intersections. At the intersection of Great Northern Road and

Northern Avenue, however, bicycle lanes cannot be carried through the intersection due to the need to maintain the existing lane configuration. Therefore, Figure 19 provides guidance for bicycle lanes that are interrupted upstream of the intersection and re-introduced downstream of the intersection.


Figure 18: Bicycle Lanes Adjacent to Combined Through/ Right-Turn Lane ${ }^{21}$


Figure 19:Taper for Introduced and Discontinued Bicycle Lanes ${ }^{22}$
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## 4. Expected Benefits and Disbenefits of Proposed Road Diet

### 4.1 Safety

According to the FHWA Road Diet Informational Guide, road diets can improve the safety of a roadway and reduce the amount of vehicle-to-vehicle conflicts that contribute to rear-end, left-turn, and sideswipe collisions. Because collision data for Northern Avenue was not provided for review, the potential safety benefits of a road diet are evaluated qualitatively only.

As previously discussed, the proposed road diet for Northern Avenue will consist of two lanes with a two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL). Without the TWLTL, the potential risk for rear-end collisions could be increased as vehicles need to wait behind the stopped vehicle. On a four-lane roadway, this may not be a significant issue as motorists can bypass the left-turning vehicles using the curb travel lane, although the risk of sideswipe collisions still exists due to the bypassing manoeuvres. The TWLTL provides a space for motorists to wait in order to safely perform a left-turn and thereby reduces the risk of rear end or sideswipe collisions associated with left-turn manoeuvres.

A reduction in travel speed is also expected with only two travel lanes. By reducing the number of travel lanes, it eliminates the opportunity for drivers to weave in and out of traffic at high speeds to pass slower or stopped vehicles. The proposed bicycle lanes along Northern Avenue are also expected to reduce the risk of collisions involving cyclists as they no longer need to share space with motor vehicles, and can avoid cycling on sidewalks, reducing conflicts with pedestrians. Reduced speeds and the separation of the bicycle lanes also create a perceived sense of increased safety and a more comfortable environment for other users such as bicyclists and pedestrians.

### 4.2 Bicycle Lanes

Exclusive bicycle lanes are often an essential element of a road diet. They are an important element of multi-modal, livable streets and have a tremendous impact on the mobility and safety of bicyclists. Providing bicycle lanes on Northern Avenue will improve the cycling environment, and could potentially improve safety for pedestrians as well by reducing the likelihood of cyclists riding on the sidewalk. Since there will only be one travel lane per direction, bicycle lanes will also provide space for vehicles to temporarily stop to allow emergency vehicles to pass (although the current configuration with four lanes provides more space for emergency vehicles to manoeuvre). By providing an exclusive bicycle lane on Northern Avenue and enhancing the comfort level of the corridor, more active transportation users would be encouraged to use the roadway.

Catch basin drainage grates, which may potentially be a safety hazard, will be located within the path of bicyclists in the proposed cross-section for Northern Avenue. According to OTM Book 18, the use of curbside inlets is ideally preferred as it completely eliminates a bicyclist's exposure to grate inlets. However, if grates are to be placed within a bicyclist's path, only bicycle-safe grates with perpendicular or diagonal openings to the line of travel should be used. Current existing drainage grates were determined to be curbside inlets or square flat grates with herring bone opening. This is acceptable as per OTM Book 18 guidance, however, the potential for slipping during wet conditions is still a possibility for bicyclists, although this can be mitigated by texturing.

### 4.3 Parking

Because parking is prohibited from North Street to Pine Street, the road diet will have no impact on parking availability or operations.

### 4.4 Transit

After the implementation of a road diet, buses servicing Northern Avenue will need to stop on the bicycle lane and partially on the traffic lane, potentially causing delays to cyclists and motorists and the risk of rear end or sideswipe collisions. However, these delays and collision risks are expected to be insignificant due to the low frequency of buses operating along the corridor. Bus routes 7 and 8 operate on a 30 minute frequency during peak periods and hourly during off-peak periods and weekends. Another potential issue may occur when stopped buses completely or partially block the only available through travel lane, which may encourage motorists to perform unsafe manoeuvres by passing buses on the two-way left-turn lane.

## 5. Traffic Operations - Future Conditions with Road Diet

This section presents the results of the intersection operations analysis for future scenarios with the implementation of a road diet Future operations were reviewed for a 10-year horizon (2026) in order to evaluate long term effects of the proposed road diet.

### 5.1 Future Intersection Lane Configurations

As part of the analysis for future conditions, the changes to the existing lane configurations of Northern Avenue were included in the Synchro model, as illustrated in Figure 20. With the exception of Great Northern Road, eastbound and westbound lanes at signalized intersections were reconfigured as a shared through/right lane and an exclusive left-turn lane (an extension of the TWLTL). Great Northern Road will maintain the existing lane configuration.


Figure 20: Northern Avenue - Intersection Lane Configurations with Road Diet

### 5.2 Traffic Forecasting

A 1\% annual growth rate, in accordance with the City's Transportation Master Plan, was applied to the 2015 and 2016 TMC volumes to project future volumes (2026 horizon year) as illustrated in Figure
21.


Figure 21: Northern Avenue - Future Volumes

### 5.3 Future Intersection Traffic Operations

Table 6 summarizes the traffic operations for signalized intersections in 2026, during the AM and PM peak hours, with the changes to intersection configurations. Synchro reports are provided in Appendix C for further reference.

Table 6: 2026 Future Intersection Operations with Road Diet

| Direction | Mov. | Storage Length | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | V/C | Delay | LOS | Queue | V/C | Delay | LOS | Queue |
| Northern Ave E \& North St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 20 | 0.05 | 15.0 | B | 17 | 0.01 | 15.9 | B | 8 |
|  | TR | - | 0.46 | 20.0 | C | 61 | 0.35 | 19.7 | B | 54 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.21 | 17.2 | B | 27 | 0.21 | 9.2 | A | 24 |
|  | TR | - | 0.23 | 16.8 | B | 35 | 0.57 | 10.4 | B | 43 |
| NB | LTR | - | 0.20 | 16.8 | B | 38 | 0.24 | 18.5 | B | 47 |
| SB | L | 16 | 0.22 | 17.9 | B | 31 | 0.17 | 18.5 | B | 25 |
|  | TR | - | 0.38 | 19.4 | B | 52 | 0.22 | 18.7 | B | 39 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.42 | 18.3 | B | - | 0.40 | 15.5 | B | - |
| Northern Ave E \& Grand Blvd/Sackville |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 40 | 0.09 | 14.7 | B | 19 | 0.23 | 18.7 | B | 32 |
|  | TR | - | 0.37 | 17.7 | B | 48 | 0.58 | 22.3 | C | 88 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.04 | 14.2 | B | 9 | 0.09 | 17.6 | B | 31 |
|  | TR | - | 0.31 | 17.0 | B | 37 | 0.80 | 33.8 | C | 114 |
| NB | L | 21 | 0.03 | 13.5 | B | 9 | 0.07 | 16.5 | B | 14 |
|  | TR | - | 0.15 | 14.5 | B | 21 | 0.14 | 17.1 | B | 25 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.22 | 15.6 | B | 30 | 0.36 | 20.6 | C | 44 |
|  | TR | - | 0.11 | 14.2 | B | 23 | 0.22 | 18.0 | B | 40 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.29 | 16.2 | B | - | 0.58 | 25.2 | C | - |
| Northern Ave E \& Great Northern Rd* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 40 | 0.36 | 18.7 | B | 40 | 0.54 | 21.4 | C | 48 |
|  | TR | - | 0.47 | 22.4 | C | 60 | 0.71 | 28.9 | C | 136 |
| WB | L | 26 | 0.09 | 24.7 | C | 9 | 0.21 | 27.3 | C | 14 |
|  | T | - | 0.29 | 27.0 | C | 33 | 0.47 | 30.1 | C | 51 |
|  | R | 50 | 0.11 | 24.8 | C | 27 | 0.13 | 25.0 | C | 35 |
| NB | L | 80 | 0.53 | 22.1 | C | 28 | 1.00 | 82.8 | F | 74 |
|  | TR | - | 0.52 | 28.5 | C | 53 | 0.84 | 38.4 | D | 92 |
| SB | L | 80 | 0.52 | 17.9 | B | 42 | 0.81 | 34.1 | C | 81 |
|  | TR | - | 0.80 | 33.9 | C | 65 | 1.01 | 59.7 | E | 132 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.66 | 27.3 | C | - | 0.92 | 43.8 | D | - |


| Northern Ave E \& Willow Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $t$ | Emergency Vehicles Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TR | - | 0.66 | 24.7 | C | 107 | 0.65 | 13.1 | B | 104 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.16 | 16.1 | B | 29 | 0.20 | 16.9 | B | 31 |
|  | TR | - | 0.30 | 16.9 | B | 79 | 0.41 | 18.6 | B | 82 |
| NB | L | 23 | 0.32 | 29.0 | C | 39 | 0.81 | 48.7 | D | 60 |
|  | R | - | 0.04 | 24.6 | C | 23 | 0.05 | 24.7 | C | 119 |
| SB | LTR | - | - | - | - | - | 0.00 | 24.1 | C | - |
| Overall |  | - | 0.52 | 23.1 | C | - | 0.72 | 24.5 | C | - |
| Northern Ave E \& Pine St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 40 | 0.06 | 23.7 | C | 15 | 0.10 | 24.2 | C | 33 |
|  | TR | - | 0.11 | 24.3 | C | 31 | 0.27 | 26.6 | C | 73 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.02 | 23.2 | C | 9 | 0.11 | 24.7 | C | 16 |
|  | TR | - | 0.02 | 23.1 | C | 8 | 0.06 | 23.6 | C | 19 |
| NB | L | 42 | 0.50 | 20.4 | C | 59 | 0.45 | 19.6 | B | 45 |
|  | TR | - | 0.52 | 18.4 | B | 82 | 0.41 | 16.5 | B | 64 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.02 | 12.1 | B | 8 | 0.05 | 12.4 | B | 19 |
|  | TR | - | 0.41 | 16.4 | B | 61 | 0.50 | 18.0 | B | 77 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.36 | 19.0 | B | - | 0.41 | 20.2 | C | - |

## Notes:

Queues highlighted in red exceed available storage length.
The road diet does not result in operational issues to Northern Avenue E and Great Northern Road. The movements reaching capacity are a result of background traffic growth.

The results indicate that most movements, approaches and intersections, with the exception of the northbound and southbound approaches at Great Northern Road, are expected to operate at a LOS C or better and acceptable v/c ratios. The overall intersection delay for Great Northern Road in the PM Peak hour increases by approximately 3 seconds compared to the existing condition. The northbound left-turn and southbound through/right movements at Northern Avenue \& Great Northern Road are expected to reach capacity by 2026, with LOS F and E, respectively. However, this is a result of background growth only.
$95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues range between 8 and 136 metres for through movements, and no interference between adjacent signalized intersections is expected. Similar to existing conditions, some queues along the minor cross streets present queues that exceed available storage (most notably Willow Avenue northbound). Along Northern Avenue, the eastbound left-turn may occasionally exceed the available storage (based on minimum dimensions shown in OTM Book 11, Figure 34), however only by 1 vehicle, which does not raise concern, since the left-turn lane is an extension of the two-way leftturn lane.

## 6. Review of Proposed P-Patch Access

In response to public comments regarding poor performance at the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive, a review of a new access to the P-Patch subdivision via Northern Avenue was conducted. The proposed new access is expected to redirect some trips made by the residents from the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive and from the south approach of the intersection of Northern Avenue \& Pine Street to the east approach of the latter. Under existing conditions, there are four points of access into the P-Patch subdivision, via the intersections of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive, Pine Street \& Passmore Road, McNabb Street \& Pentagon Boulevard, and McNabb Street \& Lake Street. The existing traffic patterns of the study area were examined based on midblock (ATR) and intersection (TMC) counts at these access points, provided by the City (Appendix A).

Future traffic operations under the 'do-nothing' option are summarized in Table 7. All movements at both intersections are expected to operate with acceptable v/c ratios ( 0.52 or better), and most at acceptable levels of service ( $C$ or better, with the exception of the westbound left-turn at Pleasant Drive). $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at Pine Street \& Northern Avenue are expected to exceed available storage.

Table 7: 2026 Future Intersection Operations ('Do Nothing' Option)

| Direction | Mov. | Storage Length | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | v/c | Delay | LOS | Queue | v/c | Delay | LOS | Queue |
| Northern Ave E \& Pine St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 40 | 0.06 | 23.7 | C | 15 | 0.10 | 24.2 | C | 33 |
|  | TR | - | 0.11 | 24.3 | C | 31 | 0.27 | 26.6 | C | 73 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.02 | 23.2 | C | 9 | 0.11 | 24.7 | C | 16 |
|  | TR | - | 0.02 | 23.1 | C | 8 | 0.06 | 23.6 | C | 19 |
| NB | L | 42 | 0.50 | 20.4 | C | 59 | 0.45 | 19.6 | B | 45 |
|  | TR | - | 0.52 | 18.4 | B | 82 | 0.41 | 16.5 | B | 64 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.02 | 12.1 | B | 8 | 0.05 | 12.4 | B | 19 |
|  | TR | - | 0.41 | 16.4 | B | 61 | 0.50 | 18.0 | B | 77 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.36 | 19.0 | B | - | 0.41 | 20.2 | C | - |
| Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | L | 15 | 0.32 | 33.2 | D | 15.8 | 0.34 | 47.6 | E | 15.3 |
|  | R | - | 0.43 | 17.1 | C | 22.7 | 0.20 | 12.7 | B | 33.8 |
| NB | TR | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | L | 20 | 0.28 | 9.0 | A | 16.4 | 0.19 | 9.3 | A | 34.0 |
|  | T | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall |  | - | - | 4.5 | A | - | - | 3.8 | A | - |

## Notes:

Queues highlighted in red exceed available storage length.

### 6.1 Current Trip Generation and Distribution

The first step of this review consisted of determining trip generation rates based on the number of residential units in the subdivision and ATR volumes provided by the City. The resulting trip generation rates are the following:

+ AM peak hour: 0.64 trips per unit, $27 \%$ in, $73 \%$ out
+ PM peak hour: 0.76 trips per unit, $60 \%$ in, $40 \%$ out
The existing volumes were compared with trip generation using equations from the ITE Trip Generation Manual (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) and it was concluded that the difference was less than $10 \%$ for both AM and PM peak hours, indicating good quality of the available data. Therefore, the trip generation rates calculated from the traffic counts were used, since they are expected to represent local conditions.

Table 8: Existing Volumes and Trip Generation Comparison

| Location | ATR Volumes | ITE Trips | \% Difference |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Pleasant Dr \& Pine St | 291 | 402 | - | - | - | - |
| Passmore Rd \& Pine St | 197 | 192 | - | - | - | - |
| Pentagon Blvd \& McNabb St | 93 | 79 | - | - | - | - |
| Lake St \& McNabb St | 219 | 283 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |

The next step was to estimate existing trip distribution, based on TMCs at Pine Street \& Northern Avenue, Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive, Pine Street \& Passmore Road, McNabb Street \& Pentagon Boulevard, and McNabb Street \& Lake Street. The results are illustrated in Figure 22 (the directions represented by the blue arrows are the ones expected to be affected by the proposed P -Patch access via Northern Avenue).


Figure 22: Existing Trip Distribution

### 6.2 Estimation of Redirected Trips

In order to estimate the number of trips affected by the new access, the "travel impedance" to the intersection of Northern Avenue \& Pine Street from was evaluated, from twelve different points throughout the P-Patch subdivision (Figure 23).


Figure 23: P Patch Travel Time Analysis Points
The "travel impedance", consisting of travel time (obtained using the "directions" feature from Google Maps), number of stops, and number of turns, was assessed for the following routes:

+ Existing configuration;
+ Option C2 (new road to Panoramic Drive); and
+ Option C3 (new road to Princeton Drive).
Table 9 summarizes the expected chosen route between each of the twelve points within the subdivision and the intersection of Northern Avenue \& Pine Street, for each scenario (i.e. Existing vs. C2 and Existing vs. C3).

Table 9: P-Patch "Travel Impedances" to Northern Avenue \& Pine Street

| Origin Point | Existing Routing |  | Routing Via C2 |  | Preferred Route (Existing vs. C2) |  | Routing Via C3 |  | Preferred Route (Existing vs. C3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| 1 | 1-1-2 | 2-2-2 | 2-0-3 | 2-1-3 | Existing | C2 | 2-2-3 | 2-0-3 | Existing | Existing |
| 2 | 3-3-3 | 3-1-3 | 4-3-6 | 4-1-6 | Existing | Existing | 4-3-4 | 3-0-4 | Existing | Existing |
| 3 | 2-1-2 | 2-2-2 | 3-1-5 | 3-2-5 | Existing | Existing | 3-1-3 | 3-1-3 | Existing | Existing |
| 4 | 2-1-2 | 2-2-2 | 4-1-5 | 4-2-5 | Existing | Existing | 4-2-5 | 4-2-5 | Existing | Existing |
| 5 | 3-2-2 | 3-1-2 | 3-1-4 | 3-0-4 | Existing | C2 | 3-1-2 | 3-0-2 | C3 | C3 |
| 6 | 2-1-1 | 2-1-1 | 4-1-4 | 4-1-4 | Existing | Existing | 3-2-3 | 3-0-3 | Existing | Existing |
| 7 | 4-4-4 | 4-2-4 | 4-2-7 | 4-2-7 | Existing | Existing | 4-2-5 | 4-1-5 | C3 | C3 |
| 8 | 4-3-3 | 4-1-3 | 4-2-6 | 4-1-6 | Existing | Existing | 4-2-4 | 4-0-4 | C3 | C3 |
| 9 | 3-2-2 | 3-1-2 | 4-2-5 | 4-1-5 | Existing | Existing | 4-2-3 | 4-0-3 | Existing | Existing |
| 10 | 4-2-4 | 4-2-4 | 4-2-5 | 4-2-5 | Existing | Existing | 4-2-3 | 4-1-3 | C3 | C3 |
| 11 | 4-2-4 | 4-3-4 | 5-2-7 | 5-2-7 | Existing | Existing | 5-2-5 | 5-1-5 | Existing | Existing |
| 12 | 4-3-3 | 4-1-3 | 5-2-6 | 5-1-6 | Existing | Existing | 4-2-4 | 4-1-4 | C3 | Existing |

Legend: 3-1-4 = 3 minutes, 1 stop, 4 turns
In order to determine the chosen routes, travel time was the primary factor considered, meaning that, if a route has shorter travel time than another, it is more likely to be chosen. Where two alternative routes present the same travel times, the combined number of stops and turns was considered, with the number of stops bearing more weight in the choice of route (for example, if the combined stops and turns slightly increases, but the number of stops considerably decreases, the reduced number of stops determines the choice of route).

### 6.3 Trip Reassignment

The results of the analysis indicated that Option C2 is expected to redirect traffic from approximately 245 residences and reroute 157 trips in the AM peak hour and 186 trips in the PM peak hour. Option C3 is expected to redirect traffic from approximately 617 residences and reroute 395 trips in the AM peak hour and 469 trips in the PM peak hour. The trip reassignment is illustrated in Figure 24.


Figure 24: P-Patch Residences Affected by Options C2 and C3
The future volumes resulting from background growth under the 'do nothing' option are illustrated in Figure 25. The trip generation and distribution previously determined were applied to the affected residences, resulting in the future volumes illustrated in Figure 26 and Figure 27 (in these figures, the volumes highlighted in red indicate an increase, and the volumes highlighted in green indicate a decrease, compared to the 'do nothing' option). The introduction of either option C2 or C3 is expected to reduce volumes at Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive, and to shift part of the volumes at Northern Avenue \& Pine Street to the east leg of the intersection.


Figure 25: 2026 Future Volumes Without C2 or C3 ('Do Nothing')


Figure 26: 2026 Future Volumes (Option C2)


Figure 27: 2026 Future Volumes (Option C3)

### 6.4 Intersection Operations

Table 11 summarizes the traffic operations for the intersection of Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive resulting from traffic redirection expected for option C2 and C3, as well as for the Do Nothing option. Based on the results, all individual movements show a reduction in $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio and average delay due to the reduced volumes at the intersection. In particular, the westbound left-turn shows a 10 -second reduction for Option C2, and a 20-second reduction (which improves LOS from E to D) for Option C3 in the PM peak hour.

Table 10: 2026 Intersection Operations (Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive)

| Direction | Mov. | Storage Length | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | v/c | Delay | LOS | Queue | v/c | Delay | LOS | Queue |
| Do Nothing Option |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wB | L | 15 | 0.32 | 33.2 | D | 15.8 | 0.34 | 47.6 | E | 15.3 |
|  | R | - | 0.43 | 17.1 | c | 22.7 | 0.20 | 12.7 | B | 33.8 |
| NB | TR | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | L | 20 | 0.28 | 9.0 | A | 16.4 | 0.19 | 9.3 | A | 34.0 |
|  | T | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall |  | - | - | 4.5 | A | - | - | 3.8 | A | - |
| Option C2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wB | L | 15 | 0.29 | 30.0 | D | 23.7 | 0.28 | 37.3 | E | 15.0 |
|  | R | - | 0.31 | 15.1 | C | 21.7 | 0.14 | 12.2 | B | 23.2 |
| NB | TR | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | L | 20 | 0.06 | 8.9 | A | 9.3 | 0.14 | 9.0 | A | 27.6 |
|  | T | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall |  | - | - | 3.5 | A | - | - | 3.0 | A | - |
| Option C3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wB | L | 15 | 0.26 | 25.8 | D | 16.4 | 0.21 | 27.2 | D | 20.9 |
|  | R | - | 0.13 | 13.1 | B | 15.7 | 0.06 | 11.5 | B | 15.1 |
| NB | TR | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | L | 20 | 0.02 | 8.7 | A | 9.2 | 0.07 | 8.7 | A | 16.5 |
|  | T | Free Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall |  | - | - | 2.2 | A | - | - | 1.8 | A | - |

Table 11 summarizes the traffic operations resulting from traffic redirection expected for option C2 and C3, as well as for the Do Nothing option. Under the Do nothing option, the maximum v/c ratio for any individual movement is 0.52 . Under options C 2 and C 3 , the maximum v/c ratio for any individual movement is reduced to 0.48 and 0.43 , respectively. There are virtually no changes to Level of Service with all movements operating at LOS B or C under any of the three options.

Table 11: 2026 Intersection Operations (Northern Avenue \& Pine Street)

| Direction | Mov. | Storage Length | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | V/C | Delay | LOS | Queue | v/c | Delay | LOS | Queue |
| Do Nothing Option |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 40 | 0.06 | 23.7 | C | 15 | 0.10 | 24.2 | C | 33 |
|  | TR | - | 0.11 | 24.3 | C | 31 | 0.27 | 26.6 | C | 73 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.02 | 23.2 | C | 9 | 0.11 | 24.7 | C | 16 |
|  | TR | - | 0.02 | 23.1 | C | 8 | 0.06 | 23.6 | C | 19 |
| NB | L | 42 | 0.50 | 20.4 | C | 59 | 0.45 | 19.6 | B | 45 |
|  | TR | - | 0.52 | 18.4 | B | 82 | 0.41 | 16.5 | B | 64 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.02 | 12.1 | B | 8 | 0.05 | 12.4 | B | 19 |
|  | TR | - | 0.41 | 16.4 | B | 61 | 0.50 | 18.0 | B | 77 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.36 | 19.0 | B | - | 0.41 | 20.2 | C | - |
| Option C2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 40 | 0.07 | 23.8 | C | 15 | 0.10 | 24.3 | C | 32 |
|  | TR | - | 0.12 | 24.4 | C | 24 | 0.29 | 27.0 | C | 65 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.02 | 23.2 | C | 17 | 0.11 | 24.8 | C | 19 |
|  | TR | - | 0.07 | 23.8 | C | 26 | 0.10 | 24.2 | C | 26 |
| NB | L | 42 | 0.45 | 18.8 | B | 53 | 0.39 | 17.9 | B | 47 |
|  | TR | - | 0.48 | 17.7 | B | 73 | 0.39 | 16.1 | B | 74 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.05 | 12.4 | B | 10 | 0.12 | 13.1 | B | 18 |
|  | TR | - | 0.39 | 16.2 | B | 67 | 0.46 | 17.4 | B | 69 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.34 | 18.6 | B | - | 0.40 | 20.0 | B | - |
| Option C3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | L | 40 | 0.07 | 23.9 | C | 22 | 0.11 | 24.4 | C | 28 |
|  | TR | - | 0.13 | 24.6 | C | 30 | 0.42 | 29.4 | C | 75 |
| WB | L | 40 | 0.02 | 23.2 | C | 3 | 0.11 | 24.8 | C | 13 |
|  | TR | - | 0.18 | 25.1 | C | 31 | 0.18 | 25.1 | C | 30 |
| NB | L | 42 | 0.36 | 16.9 | B | 50 | 0.31 | 16.0 | B | 35 |
|  | TR | - | 0.43 | 16.7 | B | 65 | 0.35 | 15.6 | B | 56 |
| SB | L | 30 | 0.09 | 12.9 | B | 17 | 0.21 | 14.3 | B | 29 |
|  | TR | - | 0.37 | 15.9 | B | 57 | 0.41 | 16.5 | B | 61 |
| Overall |  | - | 0.33 | 18.5 | B | - | 0.42 | 20.3 | C | - |

## Notes:

Queues highlighted in red exceed available storage length. Queues can be further reduced with signal timing adjustments.

## 7. Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to evaluate how a road diet may impact traffic operations along the Northern Avenue corridor between North Street and Pine Street, as well as safety and convenience for different users, including cyclists and residents. This study also examined improvements to the access/egress of the P Patch subdivision.

## Proposed Road Diet

Based on our review, a typical road diet configuration incorporating a TWLTL median along with providing bicycle lanes is feasible given the variable roadway widths along Northern Avenue. Three different cross-sections are proposed in for midblock sections, as illustrated Figure 28, Figure 29, and Figure 30. Although lane widths are generally narrower than minimum standards and guidelines, the recommended cross sections have lane widths that are the same or wider than existing conditions.


Figure 28: Proposed Road Diet Configuration between North Street and Sackville Road


Figure 29: Proposed Configuration between Sackville Road and Great Northern Road


Figure 30: Proposed Road Diet Configuration between Great Northern Road and Pine Street
Based on our review, the following observations are noted:

+ OTM Book 11, Figure 34 - Two-way Left-turn Lane, provides guidance for most signalized intersections along Northern Avenue where the two-way left-turn lane turns into an exclusive leftturn lane.
+ At the intersection with Great Northern Road the lane configuration is to remain as existing due to expected operational shortcomings resulting from a similar configuration, and to limited right-ofway available for widening.
+ As illustrated in Figure 31, OTM Book 18 provides guidance for bicycle treatments at intersections in order to safely guide cyclists through the intersections.
+ At the intersection of Great Northern Road and Northern Avenue, bicycle lanes cannot be carried through the intersection due to the need to maintain the existing lane configuration. Therefore, Figure 32 provides guidance for bicycle lanes that are interrupted upstream of the intersection and re-introduced downstream of the intersection.


Figure 31: Bicycle Lanes Adjacent to Combined Through/ Right-Turn Lane ${ }^{23}$


Figure 32:Taper for Introduced and Discontinued Bicycle Lanes ${ }^{24}$
The potential benefits and disbenefits for the proposed cross-sections were evaluated qualitatively and are summarized below:

Potential benefits:

+ Reduced risk of rear-end and sideswipe collisions with vehicles waiting to turn left by providing a two-way left-turn lane;
+ Reduced travel speed;
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+ Improved cycling environment and the overall cycling network, potentially attracting more users;
+ Increased safety for cyclists and pedestrians (by providing a designated space for cyclists, they are less likely to ride on sidewalks); and
+ No significant operational impacts are expected as a result of the proposed road diet.


## Potential Disbenefits:

+ Existing catch basins drainage grates may present a safety risk (slippery when wet) for cyclists, however this can be mitigated by using textured grate inlets to increase friction; and
+ Operation of transit buses at bus stops may briefly block the only travel lane available, as well as the bicycle lane, although this is expected to occur only on occasion due to the low frequency of buses along Northern Avenue. This can be mitigated by relocating some bus stops to the near side of signalized intersections (where possible) so that some of these operations coincide with a red light.


## P-Patch Subdivision

Traffic operations at the intersection of Northern Avenue \& Pine Street were reviewed in order to evaluate potential impacts caused by expected traffic redirection created by a proposed new access to the P-Patch subdivision via Northern Avenue. Travel patterns associated with the subdivision were reviewed, including trip generation and distribution, and the expected number of redirected trips were estimated based on travel time, number of stops, and number of turns between various points within the subdivision and the intersection of Northern Avenue \& Pine Street.

The conclusions of the P Patch review are as follows:

+ Option C2, connecting Northern Avenue to Panoramic Drive, is expected to redirect traffic from approximately 245 residences and reroute 157 trips in the AM peak hour and 186 trips in the PM peak hour;
+ Option C3, connecting Northern Avenue to Princeton Drive, is expected to redirect traffic from approximately 617 residences and reroute 395 trips in the AM peak hour and 469 trips in the PM peak hour;
+ Option C2 is expected to reduce average delay for the westbound left-turn at Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive by approximately 3 seconds in the AM peak hour, and approximately 10 seconds in the PM peak hour, with no change to the level of service ( $D$ in the AM peak, $E$ in the PM peak);
+ Option C3 is expected to reduce average delay for the westbound left-turn at Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive by approximately 7 seconds in the AM peak hour (although the level of service remains unchanged), and approximately 20 seconds in the PM peak hour, improving the level of service from E to D; and
+ Both option C2 and C3 are expected to have a neutral impact on traffic operations at the intersection of Northern Avenue and Pine Street; this is a result of the intersection operating well under capacity under both existing and future conditions.


## APPENDIX A - TRAFFIC VOLUMES

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-May-14 } \\ \text { Tue } \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | 66 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |  |
| 10:30 |  | 61 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |  |
| 10:45 |  | 55 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 |  |
| 11:00 |  | 57 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |  |
| 11:15 |  | 64 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 |  |
| 11:30 |  | 64 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 |  |
| 11:45 |  | 62 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |
| Total |  | 429 | 267 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 696 |  |
| Percent |  | 61.6\% | 38.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 11:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 |  |
| Vol. | - | 247 | 165 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 412 |  |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.965 | 0.897 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.972 |  |

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W SAK EB
Station ID: 17498 Northern Ave
West of Sackville Rd (EB)
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128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W SAK EB
Station ID: 17498 Northern Ave
West of Sackville Rd (EB)


## Volume Result Details by Hour Report

Location $\qquad$ Northern Avenue East btwn Wilson Street \& Grand Boulevard / Sackville Road
Municipality....... Sault Ste. Marie
Count Station.....
Direction $\qquad$ Eastbound

| Date | Time Period |  | Count | Adjusted Count | Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, July 23, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:00 AM | 01:00 AM | 37 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 AM | 02:00 AM | 19 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 AM | 03:00 AM | 11 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 AM | 04:00 AM | 8 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 AM | 05:00 AM | 12 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 AM | 06:00 AM | 29 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 AM | 07:00 AM | 87 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 AM | 08:00 AM | 247 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 AM | 09:00 AM | 407 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 299 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 335 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 410 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 12:00 PM | 01:00 PM | 462 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 PM | 02:00 PM | 399 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 PM | 03:00 PM | 460 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 PM | 04:00 PM | 449 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 PM | 05:00 PM | 485 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 05:00 PM | 06:00 PM | 390 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 PM | 07:00 PM | 294 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 PM | 08:00 PM | 277 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 PM | 09:00 PM | 219 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 157 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 103 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 86 |  | $\square$ |
| Total |  |  | 5,682 |  |  |

## Volume Hourly Summary Report

Location.
Northern Avenue East btwn Kitchener Road \& Wilson Street

Municipality.
Sault Ste. Marie

| Date | StartTime | Eastbound | Westbound | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| day, September 26, | 13 | 55 | 68 | 123 |
|  | 14 | 288 | 300 | 588 |
|  | 15 | 367 | 361 | 728 |
|  | 16 | 395 | 421 | 816 |
|  | 17 | 299 | 340 | 639 |
|  | 18 | 216 | 221 | 437 |
|  | 19 | 186 | 195 | 381 |
|  | 20 | 135 | 164 | 299 |
|  | 21 | 64 | 99 | 163 |
|  | 22 | 64 | 70 | 134 |
|  | 23 | 39 | 52 | 91 |
| Monday, September 26, 2016 |  | 2108 | 2291 | 4399 |
| day, September 27, | 0 | 19 | 19 | 38 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 14 | 19 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |

Wednesday, October 12, 2016

|  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 57 | 16 | 73 |
|  | 6 | 63 | 30 | 93 |
|  | 7 | 184 | 101 | 285 |
|  | 8 | 399 | 211 | 610 |
|  | 9 | 298 | 208 | 506 |
|  | 10 | 279 | 204 | 483 |
|  | 11 | 305 | 285 | 590 |
|  | 12 | 316 | 342 | 658 |
|  | 13 | 334 | 334 | 668 |
|  | 14 | 481 | 515 | 996 |
|  | 15 | 382 | 382 | 764 |
|  | 16 | 363 | 436 | 799 |
|  | 17 | 305 | 320 | 625 |
|  | 18 | 250 | 255 | 505 |
|  | 19 | 176 | 211 | 387 |
|  | 20 | 95 | 161 | 256 |
|  | 21 | 94 | 118 | 212 |
|  | 22 | 48 | 68 | 116 |
|  | 23 | 28 | 37 | 65 |
| Tuesday, September 27, 2016 |  | 4491 | 4276 | 8767 |
| asday, September 28 | 0 | 9 | 27 | 36 |
|  | 1 | 7 | 12 | 19 |

Wednesday, October 12, 2016

|  | 2 | 4 | 10 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
|  | 5 | 26 | 21 | 47 |
|  | 6 | 70 | 38 | 108 |
|  | 7 | 172 | 112 | 284 |
|  | 8 | 433 | 200 | 633 |
|  | 9 | 295 | 211 | 506 |
|  | 10 | 261 | 220 | 481 |
|  | 11 | 292 | 323 | 615 |
|  | 12 | 328 | 374 | 702 |
|  | 13 | 318 | 365 | 683 |
|  | 14 | 312 | 355 | 667 |
|  | 15 | 315 | 352 | 667 |
|  | 16 | 362 | 359 | 721 |
|  | 17 | 346 | 413 | 759 |
|  | 18 | 368 | 390 | 758 |
|  | 19 | 283 | 280 | 563 |
|  | 20 | 227 | 255 | 482 |
|  | 21 | 193 | 221 | 414 |
|  | 22 | 127 | 136 | 263 |
|  | 23 | 89 | 109 | 198 |
| Wednesday, September 28, 2016 |  | 4844 | 4790 | 9634 |

Wednesday, October 12, 2016

| ;day, September 29, | 0 | 64 | 82 | 146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 4 | 22 | 26 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
|  | 5 | 23 | 20 | 43 |
|  | 6 | 59 | 42 | 101 |
|  | 7 | 184 | 105 | 289 |
|  | 8 | 409 | 203 | 612 |
|  | 9 | 303 | 218 | 521 |
|  | 10 | 270 | 208 | 478 |
|  | 11 | 281 | 280 | 561 |
|  | 12 | 359 | 330 | 689 |
|  | 13 | 341 | 289 | 630 |
|  | 14 | 284 | 289 | 573 |
|  | 15 | 378 | 362 | 740 |
|  | 16 | 398 | 421 | 819 |
|  | 17 | 335 | 377 | 712 |
|  | 18 | 276 | 268 | 544 |
|  | 19 | 242 | 255 | 497 |
|  | 20 | 196 | 213 | 409 |
|  | 21 | 105 | 178 | 283 |
|  | 22 | 62 | 86 | 148 |


|  | 23 | 38 | 61 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, September 29, 2016 |  | 4621 | 4321 | 8942 |
| ay, September 30, 2 | 0 | 29 | 49 | 78 |
|  | 1 | 14 | 21 | 35 |
|  | 2 | 9 | 12 | 21 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
|  | 5 | 19 | 17 | 36 |
|  | 6 | 60 | 37 | 97 |
|  | 7 | 183 | 95 | 278 |
|  | 8 | 346 | 169 | 515 |
|  | 9 | 235 | 183 | 418 |
|  | 10 | 303 | 246 | 549 |
|  | 11 | 337 | 274 | 611 |
|  | 12 | 353 | 388 | 741 |
|  | 13 | 350 | 322 | 672 |
|  | 14 | 376 | 336 | 712 |
|  | 15 | 366 | 356 | 722 |
|  | 16 | 373 | 426 | 799 |
|  | 17 | 293 | 323 | 616 |
|  | 18 | 254 | 286 | 540 |
|  | 19 | 235 | 264 | 499 |
|  | 20 | 176 | 208 | 384 |


|  | 21 | 123 | 129 | 252 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | 96 | 112 | 208 |
|  | 23 | 57 | 103 | 160 |
| Friday, September 30, 2016 |  | 4596 | 4365 | 8961 |
| urday, October 01, 2 | 0 | 48 | 66 | 114 |
|  | 1 | 17 | 27 | 44 |
|  | 2 | 15 | 13 | 28 |
|  | 3 | 12 | 10 | 22 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 5 | 13 |
|  | 5 | 13 | 4 | 17 |
|  | 6 | 44 | 24 | 68 |
|  | 7 | 70 | 45 | 115 |
|  | 8 | 122 | 64 | 186 |
|  | 9 | 210 | 139 | 349 |
|  | 10 | 263 | 223 | 486 |
|  | 11 | 290 | 285 | 575 |
|  | 12 | 305 | 284 | 589 |
|  | 13 | 297 | 311 | 608 |
|  | 14 | 307 | 335 | 642 |
|  | 15 | 268 | 271 | 539 |
|  | 16 | 264 | 296 | 560 |
|  | 17 | 239 | 296 | 535 |
|  | 18 | 208 | 207 | 415 |


|  | 19 | 183 | 168 | 351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | 140 | 179 | 319 |
|  | 21 | 108 | 131 | 239 |
|  | 22 | 73 | 94 | 167 |
|  | 23 | 72 | 89 | 161 |
| Saturday, October 01, 2016 |  | 3576 | 3566 | 7142 |
| nday, October 02, 20 | 0 | 56 | 77 | 133 |
|  | 1 | 37 | 60 | 97 |
|  | 2 | 14 | 25 | 39 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
|  | 4 | 9 | 6 | 15 |
|  | 5 | 14 | 8 | 22 |
|  | 6 | 21 | 13 | 34 |
|  | 7 | 39 | 27 | 66 |
|  | 8 | 77 | 77 | 154 |
|  | 9 | 117 | 78 | 195 |
|  | 10 | 195 | 151 | 346 |
|  | 11 | 210 | 180 | 390 |
|  | 12 | 205 | 215 | 420 |
|  | 13 | 272 | 237 | 509 |
|  | 14 | 236 | 260 | 496 |
|  | 15 | 217 | 237 | 454 |
|  | 16 | 191 | 244 | 435 |


|  | 17 | 188 | 215 | 403 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 134 | 179 | 313 |
|  | 19 | 132 | 164 | 296 |
|  | 20 | 122 | 108 | 230 |
|  | 21 | 63 | 96 | 159 |
|  | 22 | 51 | 49 | 100 |
|  | 23 | 30 | 50 | 80 |
| Sunday, October 02, 2016 |  | 2638 | 2760 | 5398 |
| nday, October 03, 20 | 0 | 13 | 29 | 42 |
|  | 1 | 6 | 13 | 19 |
|  | 2 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
|  | 4 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
|  | 5 | 22 | 20 | 42 |
|  | 6 | 64 | 38 | 102 |
|  | 7 | 182 | 95 | 277 |
|  | 8 | 406 | 206 | 612 |
|  | 9 | 272 | 206 | 478 |
|  | 10 | 312 | 235 | 547 |
|  | 11 | 73 | 64 | 137 |
| Monday, October 03, 2016 |  | 1369 | 923 | 2292 |
| Grand Total |  | 28243 | 27292 | 55535 |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E NOR WB
Station ID: 17511 Northern Avenue
East of North Street (WB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-Jul-14 } \\ \text { Mon } \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:45 |  | 29 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| 10:00 |  | 32 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| 10:15 |  | 30 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| 10:30 |  | 32 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| 10:45 |  | 35 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| 11:00 |  | 28 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| 11:15 |  | 33 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| 11:30 |  | 50 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 11:45 |  | 51 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |
| Total |  | 320 | 453 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 773 |
| Percent |  | 41.4\% | 58.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 11:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 11:00 |
| Vol. | - | 162 | 226 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 388 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.794 | 0.774 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.782 |

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E NOR WB
Station ID: 17511 Northern Avenue
East of North Street (WB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 14-Jul-14 } \\ \text { Mon } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM |  | 54 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| 12:15 |  | 45 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |
| 12:30 |  | 55 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121 |
| 12:45 |  | 50 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| 01:00 |  | 73 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |
| 01:15 |  | 44 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108 |
| 01:30 |  | 43 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105 |
| 01:45 |  | 42 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| 02:00 |  | 55 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| 02:15 |  | 37 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 02:30 |  | 53 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| 02:45 |  | 41 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |
| 03:00 |  | 51 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| 03:15 |  | 60 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |
| 03:30 |  | 51 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| 03:45 |  | 55 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| 04:00 |  | 75 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174 |
| 04:15 |  | 72 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |
| 04:30 |  | 65 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |
| 04:45 |  | 69 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157 |
| 05:00 |  | 71 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| 05:15 |  | 67 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
| 05:30 |  | 52 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 122 |
| 05:45 |  | 44 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105 |
| 06:00 |  | 39 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |
| 06:15 |  | 35 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| 06:30 |  | 36 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 06:45 |  | 34 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| 07:00 |  | 38 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |
| 07:15 |  | 25 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 07:30 |  | 35 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| 07:45 |  | 39 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |
| 08:00 |  | 40 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 08:15 |  | 33 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| 08:30 |  | 37 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |
| 08:45 |  | 25 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| 09:00 |  | 40 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 09:15 |  | 22 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 09:30 |  | 16 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 |
| 09:45 |  | 16 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |
| 10:00 |  | 12 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |
| 10:15 |  | 26 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 10:30 |  | 4 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 10:45 |  | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 11:00 |  | 8 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 11:15 |  | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 11:30 |  | 5 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 11:45 |  | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| Total |  | 1907 | 2625 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4532 |
| Percent |  | 42.1\% | 57.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 16:00 | 16:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16:00 |
| Vol. | - | 281 | 365 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 646 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.937 | 0.922 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.928 |

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E NOR WB
Station ID: 17511 Northern Avenue
East of North Street (WB)


128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E NOR WB
Station ID: 17511 Northern Avenue
East of North Street (WB)

| Start Time | 15-Jul-14 Tue | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM |  | 48 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |
| 12:15 |  | 43 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 12:30 |  | 49 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| 12:45 |  | 59 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143 |
| 01:00 |  | 69 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| 01:15 |  | 47 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| 01:30 |  | 56 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |
| 01:45 |  | 49 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |
| 02:00 |  | 52 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |
| 02:15 |  | 49 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 |
| 02:30 |  | 70 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158 |
| 02:45 |  | 44 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 |
| 03:00 |  | 59 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139 |
| 03:15 |  | 57 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| 03:30 |  | 56 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |
| 03:45 |  | 60 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |
| 04:00 |  | 74 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 |
| 04:15 |  | 70 | 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 |
| 04:30 |  | 80 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 191 |
| 04:45 |  | 84 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 191 |
| 05:00 |  | 91 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |
| 05:15 |  | 71 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 |
| 05:30 |  | 66 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 |
| 05:45 |  | 36 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| 06:00 |  | 45 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |
| 06:15 |  | 37 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| 06:30 |  | 41 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |
| 06:45 |  | 50 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |
| 07:00 |  | 43 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 07:15 |  | 34 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |
| 07:30 |  | 40 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |
| 07:45 |  | 27 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| 08:00 |  | 35 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| 08:15 |  | 28 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| 08:30 |  | 33 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| 08:45 |  | 36 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| 09:00 |  | 34 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| 09:15 |  | 28 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 |
| 09:30 |  | 24 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| 09:45 |  | 16 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |
| 10:00 |  | 12 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 10:15 |  | 13 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |
| 10:30 |  | 11 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| 10:45 |  | 5 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 11:00 |  | 14 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| 11:15 |  | 8 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 11:30 |  | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 11:45 |  | 8 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| Total |  | 2067 | 2797 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4864 |
| Percent |  | 42.5\% | 57.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 16:30 | 16:30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16:30 |
| Vol. | - | 326 | 427 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 753 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.896 | 0.962 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.937 |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E NOR WB
Station ID: 17511 Northern Avenue
East of North Street (WB)

| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-Jul-14 } \\ \text { Wed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 12:15 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 12:30 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 12:45 |  | 5 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 01:00 |  | 5 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 01:15 |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 01:30 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 01:45 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 02:00 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 02:15 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02:30 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02:45 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 03:00 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 03:15 |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 03:30 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 03:45 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 04:00 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 04:15 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 04:30 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 04:45 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 05:00 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 05:15 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 05:30 |  | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 05:45 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 06:00 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 06:15 |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 06:30 |  | 7 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| 06:45 |  | 8 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| 07:00 |  | 11 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 07:15 |  | 14 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| 07:30 |  | 15 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 07:45 |  | 23 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 08:00 |  | 27 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 08:15 |  | 23 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| 08:30 |  | 29 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| 08:45 |  | 28 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| 09:00 |  | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 232 | 353 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 585 |
| Percent |  | 39.7\% | 60.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 08:00 | 08:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 08:00 |
| Vol. | - | 107 | 149 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 256 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.922 | 0.866 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.889 |
| Grand Total |  | 5152 | 7137 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12289 |
| Percent |  | 41.9\% | 58.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADT |  | ADT 6,212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Corporation of the City of Sault Ste. Marie

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

## Site Code: NOR W PEE EB

Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave West of PeeWee Arena

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11-Aug-14 } \\ \text { Mon } \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:45 |  | 51 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| 10:00 |  | 57 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |
| 10:15 |  | 58 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139 |
| 10:30 |  | 43 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114 |
| 10:45 |  | 59 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 |
| 11:00 |  | 64 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |
| 11:15 |  | 75 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 188 |
| 11:30 |  | 70 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 181 |
| 11:45 |  | 76 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 203 |
| Total |  | 553 | 849 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1402 |
| Percent |  | 39.4\% | 60.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 11:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 |
| Vol. | - | 285 | 450 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 735 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.938 | 0.886 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.905 |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W PEE EB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave West of PeeWee Arena
\(\left.\begin{array}{ccrr}\hline Start \& 11-Aug-14 <br>

Time \& Mon \& Curb Lane \& Center Lan\end{array}\right]\)| Total |
| :--- |
| $12: 00$ PM |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W PEE EB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave
West of PeeWee Arena

| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-Aug-14 } \\ \text { Tue } \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 12:15 |  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 12:30 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 12:45 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 01:00 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 01:15 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 01:30 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 01:45 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 02:00 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 02:15 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02:30 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 02:45 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 03:00 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 03:15 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03:30 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03:45 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 04:00 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 04:15 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 04:30 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 04:45 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 05:00 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 05:15 |  | 6 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 05:30 |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 05:45 |  | 9 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 06:00 |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 06:15 |  | 19 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |
| 06:30 |  | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 06:45 |  | 12 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| 07:00 |  | 12 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 07:15 |  | 21 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 07:30 |  | 34 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| 07:45 |  | 54 | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 |
| 08:00 |  | 53 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |
| 08:15 |  | 65 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 |
| 08:30 |  | 70 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 08:45 |  | 73 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174 |
| 09:00 |  | 42 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 09:15 |  | 46 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |
| 09:30 |  | 47 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |
| 09:45 |  | 61 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 |
| 10:00 |  | 46 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 |
| 10:15 |  | 46 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| 10:30 |  | 53 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137 |
| 10:45 |  | 46 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |
| 11:00 |  | 45 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 11:15 |  | 58 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |
| 11:30 |  | 38 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 107 |
| 11:45 |  | 61 | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158 |
| Total |  | 1050 | 1611 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2661 |
| Percent |  | 39.5\% | 60.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 08:00 | 08:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 08:00 |
| Vol. | - | 261 | 358 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 619 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.894 | 0.886 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.889 |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W PEE EB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave
West of PeeWee Arena


128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W PEE EB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave West of PeeWee Arena


## Volume Result Details by Hour Report

Location. $\qquad$ Northern Avenue East btwn Metro / Soo Pee Wee Entrance \& Reid Street

Municipality....... Sault Ste. Marie
Count Station.....
Direction
Eastbound

| Date | Time Period |  | Count | Adjusted Count | Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, August 06, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:00 AM | 01:00 AM | 40 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 AM | 02:00 AM | 16 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 AM | 03:00 AM | 3 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 AM | 04:00 AM | 1 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 AM | 05:00 AM | 16 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 AM | 06:00 AM | 31 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 AM | 07:00 AM | 100 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 AM | 08:00 AM | 290 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 AM | 09:00 AM | 492 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 485 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 571 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 603 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 12:00 PM | 01:00 PM | 662 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 PM | 02:00 PM | 608 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 PM | 03:00 PM | 569 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 PM | 04:00 PM | 636 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 PM | 05:00 PM | 696 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 05:00 PM | 06:00 PM | 627 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 PM | 07:00 PM | 466 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 PM | 08:00 PM | 384 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 PM | 09:00 PM | 308 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 200 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 126 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 70 |  | $\square$ |
| Total |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

## Site Code: NOR E SAK WB

Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave East of Sackville (WB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 26-May-14 } \\ \text { Mon } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:30 |  | 42 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 107 |
| 09:45 |  | 52 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 122 |
| 10:00 |  | 42 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105 |
| 10:15 |  | 41 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 |
| 10:30 |  | 46 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |
| 10:45 |  | 45 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 |
| 11:00 |  | 49 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 |
| 11:15 |  | 54 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| 11:30 |  | 52 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |
| 11:45 |  | 66 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| Total |  | 489 | 738 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1227 |
| Percent |  | 39.9\% | 60.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 11:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 |
| Vol. | - | 221 | 319 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 540 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.837 | 0.839 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.839 |

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E SAK WB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave East of Sackville (WB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 26-May-14 } \\ \text { Mon } \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM |  | 78 | 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 |
| 12:15 |  | 81 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |
| 12:30 |  | 82 | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |
| 12:45 |  | 73 | 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189 |
| 01:00 |  | 88 | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 219 |
| 01:15 |  | 64 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167 |
| 01:30 |  | 72 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185 |
| 01:45 |  | 65 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 |
| 02:00 |  | 68 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 |
| 02:15 |  | 57 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157 |
| 02:30 |  | 57 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143 |
| 02:45 |  | 61 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156 |
| 03:00 |  | 63 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| 03:15 |  | 78 | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193 |
| 03:30 |  | 68 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173 |
| 03:45 |  | 75 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177 |
| 04:00 |  | 87 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212 |
| 04:15 |  | 86 | 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 209 |
| 04:30 |  | 90 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 217 |
| 04:45 |  | 69 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 179 |
| 05:00 |  | 73 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 |
| 05:15 |  | 77 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197 |
| 05:30 |  | 66 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 05:45 |  | 53 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134 |
| 06:00 |  | 48 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |
| 06:15 |  | 35 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |
| 06:30 |  | 46 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| 06:45 |  | 35 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 07:00 |  | 51 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| 07:15 |  | 39 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101 |
| 07:30 |  | 30 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| 07:45 |  | 40 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 08:00 |  | 39 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |
| 08:15 |  | 36 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |
| 08:30 |  | 34 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 08:45 |  | 34 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |
| 09:00 |  | 25 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| 09:15 |  | 25 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| 09:30 |  | 20 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| 09:45 |  | 7 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 10:00 |  | 16 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |
| 10:15 |  | 6 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 10:30 |  | 14 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 10:45 |  | 5 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 11:00 |  | 12 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| 11:15 |  | 6 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 11:30 |  | 10 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 11:45 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Total |  | 2346 | 3698 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6044 |
| Percent |  | 38.8\% | 61.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 15:45 | 12:15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16:00 |
| Vol. | - | 338 | 486 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 817 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.939 | 0.927 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.941 |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E SAK WB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave East of Sackville (WB)


128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E SAK WB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave East of Sackville (WB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 27-May-14 } \\ \text { Tue } \end{gathered}$ | Curb Lane | Center Lan |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM |  | 79 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  | 192 |
| 12:15 |  | 66 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 12:30 |  | 70 | 109 |  |  |  |  |  | 179 |
| 12:45 |  | 58 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
| 01:00 |  | 59 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
| 01:15 |  | 61 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| 01:30 |  | 56 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  | 145 |
| 01:45 |  | 57 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  | 153 |
| 02:00 |  | 62 | 98 |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
| 02:15 |  | 47 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| 02:30 |  | 75 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  | 182 |
| 02:45 |  | 63 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  | 175 |
| 03:00 |  | 67 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  | 173 |
| 03:15 |  | 83 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  | 207 |
| 03:30 |  | 59 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  | 148 |
| 03:45 |  | 74 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  | 177 |
| 04:00 |  | 71 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  | 182 |
| 04:15 |  | 80 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |
| 04:30 |  | 76 | 123 |  |  |  |  |  | 199 |
| 04:45 |  | 79 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 05:00 |  | 74 | 122 |  |  |  |  |  | 196 |
| 05:15 |  | 88 | 141 |  |  |  |  |  | 229 |
| 05:30 |  | 62 | 98 |  |  |  |  |  | 160 |
| 05:45 |  | 54 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  | 139 |
| 06:00 |  | 54 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  | 143 |
| 06:15 |  | 33 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |
| 06:30 |  | 46 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  | 116 |
| 06:45 |  | 58 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  | 157 |
| 07:00 |  | 48 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| 07:15 |  | 37 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |
| 07:30 |  | 28 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 07:45 |  | 33 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| 08:00 |  | 24 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| 08:15 |  | 35 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| 08:30 |  | 41 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |
| 08:45 |  | 29 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |
| 09:00 |  | 31 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| 09:15 |  | 22 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 61 |
| 09:30 |  | 11 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 09:45 |  | 8 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 10:00 |  | 14 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 44 |
| 10:15 |  | 13 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 10:30 |  | 6 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 10:45 |  | 7 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 11:00 |  | 14 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| 11:15 |  | 11 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 11:30 |  | 3 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 11:45 |  | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Total |  | 2231 | 3645 |  |  |  |  |  | 5876 |
| Percent |  | 38.0\% | 62.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 16:30 | 16:30 | - - | - | - | - | - | 16:30 |
| Vol. | - | 317 | 507 | - - | - | - | - | - | 824 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.901 | 0.899 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.900 |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E SAK WB
Station ID: 17514 Northern Ave East of Sackville (WB)


## Volume Result Details by Hour Report

Location $\qquad$ Northern Avenue East btwn Grand Boulevard / Sackville Road \& Reid Street
Municipality....... Sault Ste. Marie
Count Station.....
Direction. $\qquad$ Westbound

| Date | Time Period |  | Count | Adjusted Count | Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, July 23, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:00 AM | 01:00 AM | 274 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 AM | 02:00 AM | 263 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 AM | 03:00 AM | 223 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 AM | 04:00 AM | 214 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 AM | 05:00 AM | 127 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 AM | 06:00 AM | 62 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 AM | 07:00 AM | 51 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 AM | 08:00 AM | 13 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 AM | 09:00 AM | 16 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 9 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 46 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 104 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 12:00 PM | 01:00 PM | 183 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 PM | 02:00 PM | 256 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 PM | 03:00 PM | 268 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 PM | 04:00 PM | 329 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 PM | 05:00 PM | 341 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 PM | 06:00 PM | 358 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 PM | 07:00 PM | 427 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 PM | 08:00 PM | 411 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 PM | 09:00 PM | 423 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 436 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 591 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 472 |  | $\square$ |
| Total |  |  | 5,897 |  |  |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W WIL EB
Station ID: 18254 Northern Ave.
West of Willow Ave. (EB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 23-May-13 } \\ \text { Thu } \end{gathered}$ | Curb | Center |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:45 |  | 104 | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 218 |
| 10:00 |  | 75 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153 |
| 10:15 |  | 60 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |
| 10:30 |  | 76 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171 |
| 10:45 |  | 92 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 11:00 |  | 75 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 |
| 11:15 |  | 67 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 |
| 11:30 |  | 90 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185 |
| 11:45 |  | 101 | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 |
| Total |  | 740 | 818 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1558 |
| Percent |  | 47.5\% | 52.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 11:00 | 09:45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 |
| Vol. | - | 333 | 357 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 686 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.824 | 0.783 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.837 |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W WIL EB
Station ID: 18254 Northern Ave.
West of Willow Ave. (EB)


128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W WIL EB
Station ID: 18254 Northern Ave.
West of Willow Ave. (EB)


## Volume Result Details by Hour Report

Location. $\qquad$ Northern Avenue East btwn Great Northern Road \& Willow Avenue

Municipality Sault Ste. Marie

Count Station.....
Direction Eastbound

| Date | Time Period |  | Count | Adjusted Count | Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, December 03, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:00 AM | 01:00 AM | 31 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 AM | 02:00 AM | 14 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 AM | 03:00 AM | 10 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 AM | 04:00 AM | 5 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 AM | 05:00 AM | 3 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 AM | 06:00 AM | 15 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 AM | 07:00 AM | 57 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 AM | 08:00 AM | 133 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 AM | 09:00 AM | 497 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 407 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 334 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 344 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 12:00 PM | 01:00 PM | 455 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 PM | 02:00 PM | 472 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 PM | 03:00 PM | 436 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 PM | 04:00 PM | 502 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 04:00 PM | 05:00 PM | 491 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 PM | 06:00 PM | 392 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 PM | 07:00 PM | 326 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 PM | 08:00 PM | 296 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 PM | 09:00 PM | 263 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 288 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 189 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 109 |  | $\square$ |
| Total |  |  | 6,069 |  |  |

## Corporation of the City of Sault Ste. Marie

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E GRT WB
Station ID: 18254 Northern Ave.
East of Great Northern Rd. (WB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 06-Jun-13 } \\ \text { Thu } \end{gathered}$ | Curb | Center |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 08:45 |  | 46 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156 |
| 09:00 |  | 54 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144 |
| 09:15 |  | 52 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 |
| 09:30 |  | 51 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 122 |
| 09:45 |  | 47 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 |
| 10:00 |  | 43 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |
| 10:15 |  | 44 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 119 |
| 10:30 |  | 46 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| 10:45 |  | 45 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 122 |
| 11:00 |  | 53 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134 |
| 11:15 |  | 54 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139 |
| 11:30 |  | 56 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158 |
| 11:45 |  | 61 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169 |
| Total |  | 652 | 1104 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1756 |
| Percent |  | 37.1\% | 62.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 11:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 |
| Vol. | - | 224 | 376 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 600 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.918 | 0.870 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.888 |

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 06-Jun-13 } \\ \text { Thu } \end{gathered}$ | Curb | Center |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM |  | 78 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 191 |
| 12:15 |  | 60 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 12:30 |  | 67 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 |
| 12:45 |  | 54 | 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148 |
| 01:00 |  | 53 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158 |
| 01:15 |  | 51 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |
| 01:30 |  | 46 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134 |
| 01:45 |  | 53 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139 |
| 02:00 |  | 55 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 |
| 02:15 |  | 49 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |
| 02:30 |  | 65 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 |
| 02:45 |  | 57 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145 |
| 03:00 |  | 54 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153 |
| 03:15 |  | 76 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 |
| 03:30 |  | 49 | 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143 |
| 03:45 |  | 58 | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177 |
| 04:00 |  | 71 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 191 |
| 04:15 |  | 65 | 117 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182 |
| 04:30 |  | 64 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 |
| 04:45 |  | 58 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 |
| 05:00 |  | 46 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |
| 05:15 |  | 46 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| 05:30 |  | 54 | 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148 |
| 05:45 |  | 39 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |
| 06:00 |  | 43 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |
| 06:15 |  | 46 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| 06:30 |  | 30 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 06:45 |  | 34 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 07:00 |  | 30 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| 07:15 |  | 40 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 |
| 07:30 |  | 32 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 07:45 |  | 26 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| 08:00 |  | 26 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| 08:15 |  | 29 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| 08:30 |  | 24 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| 08:45 |  | 36 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| 09:00 |  | 25 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 09:15 |  | 20 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 09:30 |  | 13 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| 09:45 |  | 12 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| 10:00 |  | 13 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 10:15 |  | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 10:30 |  | 9 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 10:45 |  | 6 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| 11:00 |  | 16 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |
| 11:15 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 11:30 |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 11:45 |  | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Total |  | 1895 | 3284 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5179 |
| Percent |  | 36.6\% | 63.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 12:00 | 15:45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15:45 |
| Vol. | - | 259 | 464 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 722 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.830 | 0.967 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.945 |

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E GRT WB
Station ID: 18254 Northern Ave.
East of Great Northern Rd. (WB)


## Volume Result Details by Hour Report

Location. $\qquad$ Northern Avenue East btwn Great Northern Road \& Willow Avenue

Municipality....... Sault Ste. Marie
Count Station....
Direction. $\qquad$ Westbound

| Date | Time Period |  | Count | Adjusted Count | Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, August 06, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:00 AM | 01:00 AM | 35 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 AM | 02:00 AM | 17 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 AM | 03:00 AM | 14 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 AM | 04:00 AM | 16 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 AM | 05:00 AM | 7 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 AM | 06:00 AM | 36 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 AM | 07:00 AM | 127 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 AM | 08:00 AM | 216 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 AM | 09:00 AM | 385 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 361 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 353 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 491 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 12:00 PM | 01:00 PM | 541 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 PM | 02:00 PM | 504 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 PM | 03:00 PM | 486 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 PM | 04:00 PM | 488 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 PM | 05:00 PM | 631 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 05:00 PM | 06:00 PM | 463 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 PM | 07:00 PM | 289 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 PM | 08:00 PM | 276 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 PM | 09:00 PM | 255 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 155 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 107 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 57 |  | $\square$ |
| Total |  |  | 6,310 |  |  |

## Volume Result Details by Hour Report

Location. $\qquad$ Northern Avenue East btwn Tadcaster Place \& Pine Street

Municipality....... Sault Ste. Marie
Count Station.....
Direction Eastbound

| Date | Time Period |  | Count | Adjusted Count | Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, June 11, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:00 AM | 01:00 AM | 27 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 AM | 02:00 AM | 27 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 AM | 03:00 AM | 8 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 AM | 04:00 AM | 8 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 AM | 05:00 AM | 4 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 AM | 06:00 AM | 9 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 AM | 07:00 AM | 46 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 AM | 08:00 AM | 129 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 AM | 09:00 AM | 294 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 308 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 266 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 384 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 12:00 PM | 01:00 PM | 455 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 PM | 02:00 PM | 258 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 PM | 03:00 PM | 471 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 PM | 04:00 PM | 574 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 PM | 05:00 PM | 654 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 05:00 PM | 06:00 PM | 520 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 PM | 07:00 PM | 378 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 PM | 08:00 PM | 286 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 PM | 09:00 PM | 272 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 196 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 127 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 73 |  | $\square$ |
| Total |  |  | 5,774 |  |  |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W PIN EB
Station ID: 17249 Northern Ave. West of Pine St. (EB)

| Start <br> Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16-May-13 } \\ & \text { Thu } \end{aligned}$ | Curb | Center |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  | 34 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| 11:15 |  | 54 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 |
| 11:30 |  | 55 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| 11:45 |  | 54 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 |
| Total |  | 197 | 228 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Percent |  | 46.4\% | 53.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 11:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 |
| Vol. | - | 197 | 228 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 425 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.895 | 0.919 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.908 |

128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division
Site Code: NOR W PIN EB
Station ID: 17249 Northern Ave. West of Pine St. (EB)

| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-May-13 } \\ \text { Thu } \end{gathered}$ | Curb | Center |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM |  | 72 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155 |
| 12:15 |  | 65 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141 |
| 12:30 |  | 56 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| 12:45 |  | 54 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |
| 01:00 |  | 63 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |
| 01:15 |  | 57 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121 |
| 01:30 |  | 49 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110 |
| 01:45 |  | 46 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 02:00 |  | 53 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |
| 02:15 |  | 59 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125 |
| 02:30 |  | 83 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 178 |
| 02:45 |  | 64 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137 |
| 03:00 |  | 65 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141 |
| 03:15 |  | 85 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 184 |
| 03:30 |  | 83 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 |
| 03:45 |  | 82 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173 |
| 04:00 |  | 88 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 181 |
| 04:15 |  | 74 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 |
| 04:30 |  | 86 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 181 |
| 04:45 |  | 92 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 |
| 05:00 |  | 80 | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177 |
| 05:15 |  | 82 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171 |
| 05:30 |  | 78 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 05:45 |  | 55 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 |
| 06:00 |  | 57 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| 06:15 |  | 48 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| 06:30 |  | 53 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 111 |
| 06:45 |  | 55 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |
| 07:00 |  | 61 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| 07:15 |  | 47 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |
| 07:30 |  | 48 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 07:45 |  | 46 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |
| 08:00 |  | 38 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| 08:15 |  | 43 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 08:30 |  | 41 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 |
| 08:45 |  | 43 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 |
| 09:00 |  | 38 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| 09:15 |  | 29 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 09:30 |  | 29 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |
| 09:45 |  | 31 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| 10:00 |  | 21 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 |
| 10:15 |  | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 10:30 |  | 14 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| 10:45 |  | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 11:00 |  | 17 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 11:15 |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 11:30 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 11:45 |  | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| Total |  | 2483 | 2785 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5268 |
| Percent |  | 47.1\% | 52.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | 16:00 | 16:30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16:30 |
| Vol. | - | 340 | 383 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 723 |
| P.H.F. |  | 0.924 | 0.939 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.932 |

Corporation of the City of Sault Ste. Marie
Page 3
Public Works \& Transportation
128 Sackville Road
Traffic Division
Site Code: NOR W PIN EB
Station ID: 17249 Northern Ave West of Pine St. (EB)


128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR W PIN EB
Station ID: 17249 Northern Ave. West of Pine St. (EB)


128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E WIL WB
Station ID: 17517 Northern Ave.
East of Willow Ave. (WB)

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 22-May-13 } \\ \text { Wed } \end{gathered}$ | Curb | Center |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 12:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 01:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 02:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 03:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 03:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 03:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 03:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 04:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 04:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 04:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 04:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 05:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 05:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 05:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 05:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 06:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 06:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 06:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 06:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 07:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 07:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 07:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 07:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 08:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 08:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 08:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 08:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 09:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 10:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 10:15 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 10:30 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 10:45 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 11:00 |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 11:15 |  | 21 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |
| 11:30 |  | 16 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  |
| 11:45 |  | 25 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |  |
| Total |  | 62 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 186 |  |
| Percent |  | 33.3\% | 66.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Vol. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| P.H.F. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E WIL WB
Station ID: 17517 Northern Ave.
East of Willow Ave. (WB)


128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E WIL WB
Station ID: 17517 Northern Ave.
East of Willow Ave. (WB)


128 Sackville Road Traffic Division

Site Code: NOR E WIL WB
Station ID: 17517 Northern Ave.
East of Willow Ave. (WB)


## Volume Result Details by Hour Report

Location. $\qquad$ Northern Avenue East btwn Willow Avenue \& Tadcaster Place

Municipality Sault Ste. Marie

Count Station.....
Direction
Westbound

| Date | Time Period |  | Count | Adjusted Count | Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, December 03, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:00 AM | 01:00 AM | 14 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 AM | 02:00 AM | 6 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 AM | 03:00 AM | 5 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 AM | 04:00 AM | 5 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 AM | 05:00 AM | 8 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 05:00 AM | 06:00 AM | 28 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 AM | 07:00 AM | 68 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 AM | 08:00 AM | 136 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 AM | 09:00 AM | 259 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 240 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 200 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 228 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 12:00 PM | 01:00 PM | 302 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 01:00 PM | 02:00 PM | 276 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 02:00 PM | 03:00 PM | 270 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 03:00 PM | 04:00 PM | 327 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 04:00 PM | 05:00 PM | 354 |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 05:00 PM | 06:00 PM | 246 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 06:00 PM | 07:00 PM | 227 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 07:00 PM | 08:00 PM | 169 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 08:00 PM | 09:00 PM | 166 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 09:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 121 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 72 |  | $\square$ |
|  | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 39 |  | $\square$ |
| Total |  |  | 3,766 |  |  |




## Turning Movement Count - Details Report

| Location................ | Grand Boulevard @ Northern Avenue East/Sackville Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality............ | Sault Ste. Marie |
| Count Date......... | Tuesday, July 07, 2015 |


|  |  | Grand Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northern Avenue East/Sackville Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North Approach |  |  |  | South Approach |  |  |  |  |  | East Approach |  |  |  | West Approach |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |
| $08: 00$ $08: 15$ | 9 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 42 | 5 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 20 | 14 | 0 | 36 | 12 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 39 |
| $08: 15$ $08: 30$ | 24 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 30 | 16 | 0 | 53 | 5 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 57 |
| $08: 30$ $08: 45$ | 23 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 34 | 20 | 0 | 55 | 10 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 76 |
| $08: 45$ $09: 00$ | 37 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 22 | 5 | 41 | 26 | 0 | 72 | 6 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 87 |
| Hourly Total | 93 | 54 | 30 | 0 | 177 | 14 | 78 | 26 | 0 | 118 | 15 | 125 | 76 | 0 | 216 | 33 | 208 | 18 | 0 | 259 |
| $11: 00$ $11: 15$ | 31 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 53 | 8 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 68 | 19 | 0 | 90 | 8 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 74 |
| $11: 15$ $11: 30$ | 31 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 50 | 26 | 0 | 78 | 3 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 63 |
| $11: 30$ $11: 45$ | 36 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 48 | 21 | 0 | 75 | 6 | 69 | 3 | 0 | 78 |
| $11: 45$ $12: 00$ | 42 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 77 | 5 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 10 | 70 | 36 | 0 | 116 | 9 | 63 | 6 | 0 | 78 |
| Hourly Total | 140 | 58 | 34 | 0 | 232 | 21 | 80 | 18 | 0 | 119 | 21 | 236 | 102 | 0 | 359 | 26 | 246 | 21 | 0 | 293 |
| $12: 00$ $12: 15$ | 41 | 30 | 11 | 0 | 82 | 5 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 38 | 8 | 76 | 35 | 0 | 119 | 2 | 63 | 8 | 0 | 73 |
| $12: 15$ $12: 30$ | 26 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 59 | 6 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 106 | 7 | 62 | 9 | 0 | 78 |
| $12: 30$ $12: 45$ | 31 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 63 | 30 | 0 | 99 | 8 | 62 | 5 | 0 | 75 |
| $12: 45$ $13: 00$ | 37 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 11 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 5 | 85 | 31 | 0 | 121 | 2 | 79 | 9 | 0 | 90 |
| Hourly Total | 135 | 88 | 28 | 0 | 251 | 24 | 85 | 35 | 0 | 144 | 25 | 288 | 132 | 0 | 445 | 19 | 266 | 31 | 0 | 316 |
| $13: 00$ $13: 15$ | 25 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 34 | 9 | 63 | 33 | 0 | 105 | 5 | 65 | 12 | 0 | 82 |
| $13: 15$ $13: 30$ | 24 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 4 | 60 | 26 | 0 | 90 | 8 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 92 |
| $13: 30$ $13: 45$ | 24 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 43 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 5 | 64 | 34 | 0 | 103 | 3 | 70 | 8 | 0 | 81 |
| $13: 45$ $14: 00$ | 29 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 46 | 5 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 58 | 27 | 0 | 89 | 8 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 83 |
| Hourly Total | 102 | 59 | 20 | 0 | 181 | 22 | 76 | 27 | 0 | 125 | 22 | 245 | 120 | 0 | 387 | 24 | 283 | 31 | 0 | 338 |
| $14: 00$ $14: 15$ | 28 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 5 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 7 | 63 | 33 | 0 | 103 | 11 | 65 | 6 | 0 | 82 |
| $14: 15$ $14: 30$ | 35 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 65 | 34 | 0 | 101 | 1 | 70 | 6 | 0 | 77 |
| $14: 30$ $14: 45$ | 27 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 47 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 67 | 29 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 83 | 4 | 0 | 90 |
| $14: 45$ $15: 00$ | 34 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 70 | 9 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 37 | 6 | 74 | 29 | 0 | 109 | 7 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 86 |
| Hourly Total | 124 | 83 | 21 | 0 | 228 | 28 | 82 | 22 | 0 | 132 | 25 | 269 | 125 | 0 | 419 | 22 | 293 | 20 | 0 | 335 |
| $15: 00$ $15: 15$ | 37 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 66 | 10 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 10 | 85 | 33 | 0 | 128 | 8 | 67 | 4 | 0 | 79 |
| $15: 15$ $15: 30$ | 28 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 48 | 8 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 36 | 7 | 60 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 83 |
| $15: 30$ $15: 45$ | 34 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 93 | 23 | 0 | 125 | 4 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 88 |
| $15: 45$ $16: 00$ | 34 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 78 | 27 | 0 | 107 | 6 | 68 | 9 | 0 | 83 |
| Hourly Total | 133 | 66 | 31 | 0 | 230 | 27 | 74 | 30 | 0 | 131 | 28 | 316 | 116 | 0 | 460 | 23 | 288 | 22 | 0 | 333 |



## Turning Movement Count - Details Report

| Location................ | Great Northern Road @ Northern Avenue East |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality........... | Sault Ste. Marie |
| Count Date............ | Tuesday, May 26,2015 |

Great Northern Road
Northern Avenue East
North Approach

| Time P | Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 34 | 148 | 13 | 0 | 195 | 33 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 112 | 5 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 64 | 26 | 26 | 21 | 0 | 73 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 56 | 170 | 18 | 0 | 244 | 17 | 111 | 1 | 0 | 129 | 4 | 22 | 30 | 0 | 56 | 26 | 41 | 36 | 0 | 103 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 37 | 153 | 18 | 0 | 208 | 28 | 102 | 3 | 0 | 133 | 5 | 37 | 45 | 0 | 87 | 39 | 44 | 36 | 0 | 119 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 48 | 176 | 22 | 0 | 246 | 33 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 161 | 7 | 29 | 34 | 0 | 70 | 44 | 41 | 46 | 0 | 131 |
| Hourly Total |  | 175 | 647 | 71 | 0 | 893 | 111 | 418 | 6 | 0 | 535 | 21 | 121 | 135 | 0 | 277 | 135 | 152 | 139 | 0 | 426 |
| 11:00 | 11:15 | 34 | 170 | 22 | 0 | 226 | 31 | 143 | 2 | 0 | 176 | 9 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 52 | 40 | 35 | 31 | 0 | 106 |
| 11:15 | 11:30 | 47 | 182 | 35 | 0 | 264 | 42 | 148 | 6 | 0 | 196 | 6 | 27 | 25 | 0 | 58 | 26 | 30 | 32 | 0 | 88 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 40 | 133 | 27 | 0 | 200 | 42 | 155 | 4 | 0 | 201 | 6 | 34 | 37 | 0 | 77 | 54 | 45 | 32 | 0 | 131 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 52 | 164 | 31 | 0 | 247 | 43 | 159 | 4 | 0 | 206 | 6 | 38 | 37 | 0 | 81 | 33 | 47 | 45 | 0 | 125 |
| Hourly Total |  | 173 | 649 | 115 | 0 | 937 | 158 | 605 | 16 | 0 | 779 | 27 | 126 | 115 | 0 | 268 | 153 | 157 | 140 | 0 | 450 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 40 | 193 | 36 | 0 | 269 | 36 | 195 | 5 | 0 | 236 | 3 | 47 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 64 | 39 | 43 | 0 | 146 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 56 | 191 | 33 | 0 | 280 | 51 | 157 | 2 | 0 | 210 | 7 | 37 | 29 | 0 | 73 | 39 | 29 | 53 | 0 | 121 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 53 | 157 | 32 | 0 | 242 | 43 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 199 | 15 | 47 | 29 | 0 | 91 | 43 | 51 | 36 | 0 | 130 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 52 | 184 | 22 | 0 | 258 | 48 | 178 | 4 | 0 | 230 | 11 | 42 | 23 | 0 | 76 | 43 | 49 | 46 | 0 | 138 |
| Hourly Total |  | 201 | 725 | 123 | 0 | 1049 | 178 | 684 | 13 | 0 | 875 | 36 | 173 | 131 | 0 | 340 | 189 | 168 | 178 | 0 | 535 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 38 | 191 | 24 | 0 | 253 | 41 | 166 | 3 | 0 | 210 | 13 | 54 | 34 | 0 | 101 | 43 | 45 | 50 | 0 | 138 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 55 | 168 | 33 | 0 | 256 | 39 | 168 | 5 | 0 | 212 | 4 | 31 | 38 | 0 | 73 | 38 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 122 |
| 13:30 | 13:45 | 36 | 186 | 24 | 0 | 246 | 58 | 180 | 4 | 0 | 242 | 6 | 32 | 41 | 0 | 79 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 0 | 115 |
| 13:45 | 14:00 | 43 | 177 | 22 | 0 | 242 | 39 | 142 | 1 | 0 | 182 | 4 | 32 | 23 | 0 | 59 | 32 | 43 | 39 | 0 | 114 |
| Hourly Total |  | 172 | 722 | 103 | 0 | 997 | 177 | 656 | 13 | 0 | 846 | 27 | 149 | 136 | 0 | 312 | 151 | 169 | 169 | 0 | 489 |
| 14:00 | 14:15 | 37 | 187 | 32 | 0 | 256 | 38 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 4 | 35 | 29 | 0 | 68 | 42 | 57 | 34 | 0 | 133 |
| 14:15 | 14:30 | 35 | 182 | 37 | 0 | 254 | 54 | 138 | 1 | 0 | 193 | 2 | 33 | 37 | 0 | 72 | 38 | 48 | 31 | 0 | 117 |
| 14:30 | 14:45 | 25 | 200 | 39 | 0 | 264 | 37 | 183 | 6 | 0 | 226 | 7 | 40 | 25 | 0 | 72 | 58 | 31 | 36 | 0 | 125 |
| 14:45 | 15:00 | 38 | 206 | 37 | 0 | 281 | 41 | 154 | 3 | 0 | 198 | 8 | 40 | 25 | 0 | 73 | 42 | 42 | 38 | 0 | 122 |
| Hourly Total |  | 135 | 775 | 145 | 0 | 1055 | 170 | 637 | 10 | 0 | 817 | 21 | 148 | 116 | 0 | 285 | 180 | 178 | 139 | 0 | 497 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 34 | 158 | 27 | 0 | 219 | 43 | 142 | 7 | 0 | 192 | 13 | 46 | 24 | 0 | 83 | 39 | 50 | 45 | 0 | 134 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 35 | 171 | 28 | 0 | 234 | 46 | 134 | 3 | 0 | 183 | 8 | 38 | 33 | 0 | 79 | 50 | 60 | 38 | 0 | 148 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 47 | 172 | 36 | 0 | 255 | 31 | 138 | 5 | 0 | 174 | 8 | 42 | 31 | 0 | 81 | 38 | 49 | 44 | 0 | 131 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 40 | 156 | 28 | 0 | 224 | 47 | 143 | 5 | 0 | 195 | 12 | 42 | 41 | 0 | 95 | 49 | 56 | 48 | 0 | 153 |
| Hourly | Total | 156 | 657 | 119 | 0 | 932 | 167 | 557 | 20 | 0 | 744 | 41 | 168 | 129 | 0 | 338 | 176 | 215 | 175 | 0 | 566 |

North Approach
South Approach
East Approach
West Approach

| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:00 | $16: 15$ | 44 | 160 | 32 | 0 | 236 | 42 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 188 | 11 | 52 | 36 | 0 | 99 | 34 | 65 | 43 | 0 | 142 |
| $16: 15$ | $16: 30$ | 44 | 182 | 44 | 0 | 270 | 50 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 9 | 44 | 40 | 0 | 93 | 47 | 47 | 37 | 0 | 131 |
| $16: 30$ | $16: 45$ | 51 | 190 | 47 | 0 | 288 | 42 | 170 | 1 | 0 | 213 | 10 | 58 | 41 | 0 | 109 | 51 | 66 | 43 | 0 | 160 |
| $16: 45$ | $17: 00$ | 62 | 202 | 40 | 0 | 304 | 60 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 260 | 6 | 41 | 36 | 0 | 83 | 40 | 71 | 46 | 0 | 157 |
| Hourly Total | 201 | 734 | 163 | 0 | 1098 | 194 | 668 | 6 | 0 | 868 | 36 | 195 | 153 | 0 | 384 | 172 | 249 | 169 | 0 | 590 |  |
| $17: 00$ | $17: 15$ | 49 | 184 | 37 | 0 | 270 | 50 | 171 | 2 | 0 | 223 | 6 | 59 | 30 | 0 | 95 | 63 | 79 | 43 | 0 | 185 |
| $17: 15$ | $17: 30$ | 51 | 201 | 43 | 0 | 295 | 34 | 148 | 3 | 0 | 185 | 3 | 46 | 30 | 0 | 79 | 58 | 44 | 51 | 0 | 153 |
| $17: 30$ | $17: 45$ | 33 | 152 | 41 | 0 | 226 | 39 | 147 | 2 | 0 | 188 | 5 | 37 | 32 | 0 | 74 | 39 | 48 | 47 | 0 | 134 |
| $17: 45$ | $18: 00$ | 28 | 137 | 33 | 0 | 198 | 32 | 144 | 5 | 0 | 181 | 9 | 40 | 37 | 0 | 86 | 38 | 50 | 44 | 0 | 132 |
| Hourly Total | 161 | 674 | 154 | 0 | 989 | 155 | 610 | 12 | 0 | 777 | 23 | 182 | 129 | 0 | 334 | 198 | 221 | 185 | 0 | 604 |  |
| Grand Total | 1374 | 5583 | 993 | 0 | 7950 | 1310 | 4835 | 96 | 0 | 6241 | 232 | 1262 | 1044 | 0 | 2538 | 1354 | 1509 | 1294 | 0 | 4157 |  |
| Truck \% | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |

## Turning Movement Count - Details Report

| Location................. | Northern Avenue East @ Willow Avenue |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality........... | Sault Ste. Marie |
| Count Date........... | Thursday, December 03, 2015 |

Willow Avenue
Northern Avenue East

| North Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Approach |  |  |  |  | East Approach |  |  |  | West Approach |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |
| $08: 00$ $08: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 96 | 54 | 0 | 150 |
| $08: 15$ $08: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 47 | 9 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 82 | 58 | 0 | 140 |
| $08: 30$ $08: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 11 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 45 | 50 | 0 | 95 |
| $08: 45$ $09: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 46 | 8 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 44 | 68 | 0 | 112 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 168 | 35 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 0 | 267 | 230 | 0 | 497 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 44 | 7 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 77 | 46 | 0 | 123 |
| $09: 15$ $09: 30$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 9 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 79 | 46 | 0 | 125 |
| $09: 30$ $09: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 53 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 38 | 36 | 0 | 74 |
| $09: 45$ $10: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 45 | 8 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 53 | 32 | 0 | 85 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 143 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 174 | 34 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 247 | 160 | 0 | 407 |
| 12:00 $12: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 84 | 13 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 74 | 50 | 0 | 124 |
| $12: 15$ $12: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 68 | 4 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 71 | 46 | 0 | 117 |
| $12: 30$ $12: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 50 | 12 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 60 | 39 | 0 | 99 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 65 | 8 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 58 | 57 | 0 | 115 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 267 | 37 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 0 | 263 | 192 | 0 | 455 |
| $13: 00$ $13: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 80 | 5 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 71 | 61 | 0 | 132 |
| $13: 15$ $13: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 65 | 13 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 76 | 57 | 0 | 133 |
| $13: 30$ $13: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 52 | 0 | 116 |
| $13: 45$ $14: 00$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 61 | 13 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 57 | 34 | 0 | 91 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 198 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 252 | 44 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 268 | 204 | 0 | 472 |
| $14: 00$ $14: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 62 | 8 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 74 | 34 | 0 | 108 |
| $14: 15$ $14: 30$ <br> 1  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 68 | 7 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 81 | 35 | 0 | 116 |
| $14: 30$ $14: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 62 | 10 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 62 | 34 | 0 | 96 |
| $14: 45$ $15: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 62 | 16 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 82 | 34 | 0 | 116 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 254 | 41 | 228 | 1 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 299 | 137 | 0 | 436 |
| $15: 00$ $15: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 71 | 10 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 73 | 38 | 0 | 111 |
| $15: 15$ $15: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 61 | 10 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 74 | 50 | 0 | 124 |
| $15: 30$ $15: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 72 | 7 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 79 | 60 | 0 | 139 |
| $15: 45$ $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 13 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 84 | 44 | 0 | 128 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 258 | 40 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 310 | 192 | 0 | 502 |

Willow Avenue Northern Avenue East
North Approach
South Approach
East Approach
West Approach

| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:00 | $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 111 | 8 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 38 | 0 | 119 |
| $16: 15$ | $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 82 | 16 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 89 | 42 | 0 | 131 |
| $16: 30$ | $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 109 | 16 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 78 | 42 | 0 | 120 |
| $16: 45$ | $17: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 70 | 6 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 87 | 34 | 0 | 121 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 319 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 372 | 46 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 335 | 156 | 0 | 491 |  |
| $17: 00$ | $17: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 88 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 60 | 39 | 0 | 99 |
| $17: 15$ | $17: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 60 | 10 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 80 | 41 | 0 | 121 |
| $17: 30$ | $17: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 8 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 68 | 24 | 0 | 92 |
| $17: 45$ | $18: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 55 | 25 | 0 | 80 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 246 | 25 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 263 | 129 | 0 | 392 |  |
| Grand Total | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1651 | 1 | 325 | 0 | 1991 | 30 | 1971 | 1 | 0 | 2274 | 0 | 2252 | 1400 | 0 | 3652 |  |
| Truck $\%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |

## Turning Movement Count - Details Report

Location................. Northern Avenue East @ Pine Street<br>Municipality........... Sault Ste. Marie<br>Count Date.<br>Wednesday, June 22, 2016

Pine Street
Northern Avenue East

| North Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Approach |  |  |  |  | East Approach |  |  |  | West Approach |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |
| $08: 00$ $08: 15$ | 1 | 65 | 13 | 0 | 79 | 41 | 109 | 4 | 0 | 154 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 33 |
| $08: 15$ $08: 30$ | 2 | 92 | 19 | 0 | 113 | 42 | 115 | 3 | 0 | 160 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 39 |
| $08: 30$ $08: 45$ | 2 | 63 | 9 | 0 | 74 | 48 | 82 | 3 | 0 | 133 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 39 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 2 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 63 | 48 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 137 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 37 |
| Hourly Total | 7 | 277 | 45 | 0 | 329 | 179 | 394 | 11 | 0 | 584 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 22 | 7 | 119 | 0 | 148 |
| $11: 00$ $11: 15$ | 1 | 60 | 4 | 0 | 65 | 25 | 61 | 7 | 0 | 93 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 55 | 0 | 67 |
| $11: 15$ $11: 30$ | 7 | 57 | 8 | 0 | 72 | 34 | 65 | 6 | 0 | 105 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 32 | 0 | 41 |
| $11: 30$ $11: 45$ | 3 | 69 | 4 | 0 | 76 | 23 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 93 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 38 | 0 | 49 |
| $11: 45$ $12: 00$ | 4 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 74 | 29 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 110 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 63 |
| Hourly Total | 15 | 254 | 18 | 0 | 287 | 111 | 271 | 19 | 0 | 401 | 14 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 27 | 17 | 176 | 0 | 220 |
| $12: 00$ $12: 15$ | 1 | 95 | 10 | 0 | 106 | 31 | 63 | 4 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 60 | 0 | 71 |
| $12: 15$ $12: 30$ | 1 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 24 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 96 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 38 | 0 | 53 |
| $12: 30$ $12: 45$ | 3 | 68 | 5 | 0 | 76 | 46 | 77 | 3 | 0 | 126 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 49 | 0 | 61 |
| $12: 45$ $13: 00$ | 0 | 64 | 8 | 0 | 72 | 33 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 111 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 55 | 0 | 70 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 283 | 27 | 0 | 315 | 134 | 282 | 15 | 0 | 431 | 10 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 42 | 32 | 21 | 202 | 0 | 255 |
| $13: 00$ $13: 15$ | 6 | 60 | 10 | 0 | 76 | 32 | 79 | 3 | 0 | 114 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 36 |
| $13: 15$ $13: 30$ | 4 | 50 | 11 | 0 | 65 | 37 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 101 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 49 | 0 | 65 |
| $13: 30$ $13: 45$ | 0 | 49 | 14 | 0 | 63 | 31 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 56 | 0 | 71 |
| $13: 45$ $14: 00$ | 4 | 66 | 14 | 0 | 84 | 32 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 90 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 59 | 0 | 71 |
| Hourly Total | 14 | 225 | 49 | 0 | 288 | 132 | 261 | 15 | 0 | 408 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 34 | 29 | 19 | 195 | 0 | 243 |
| $14: 00$ $14: 15$ | 4 | 53 | 18 | 0 | 75 | 23 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 58 | 0 | 69 |
| $14: 15$ $14: 30$ | 2 | 62 | 17 | 0 | 81 | 44 | 51 | 7 | 0 | 102 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 55 | 0 | 67 |
| $14: 30$ $14: 45$ | 2 | 58 | 20 | 0 | 80 | 36 | 88 | 14 | 0 | 138 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 7 | 54 | 0 | 77 |
| $14: 45$ $15: 00$ | 10 | 109 | 11 | 0 | 130 | 34 | 69 | 11 | 0 | 114 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 35 | 9 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 80 |
| Hourly Total | 18 | 282 | 66 | 0 | 366 | 137 | 272 | 36 | 0 | 445 | 21 | 21 | 30 | 0 | 72 | 36 | 26 | 231 | 0 | 293 |
| $15: 00$ $15: 15$ | 2 | 73 | 6 | 0 | 81 | 28 | 67 | 7 | 0 | 102 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 2 | 77 | 0 | 83 |
| $15: 15$ $15: 30$ <br> 1  | 3 | 82 | 3 | 0 | 88 | 28 | 74 | 3 | 0 | 105 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 68 | 0 | 72 |
| $15: 30$ $15: 45$ | 2 | 84 | 9 | 0 | 95 | 28 | 89 | 5 | 0 | 122 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 62 | 0 | 72 |
| $15: 45$ $16: 00$ | 3 | 99 | 10 | 0 | 112 | 37 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 119 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 2 | 60 | 0 | 72 |
| Hourly Total | 10 | 338 | 28 | 0 | 376 | 121 | 311 | 16 | 0 | 448 | 23 | 17 | 25 | 0 | 65 | 22 | 10 | 267 | 0 | 299 |

Northern Avenue East
North Approach
South Approach
East Approach
West Approach

| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:00 | $16: 15$ | 9 | 89 | 8 | 0 | 106 | 31 | 75 | 3 | 0 | 109 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 11 | 5 | 77 | 0 | 93 |
| $16: 15$ | $16: 30$ | 4 | 86 | 8 | 0 | 98 | 39 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 114 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 57 | 0 | 69 |
| $16: 30$ | $16: 45$ | 2 | 97 | 8 | 0 | 107 | 36 | 82 | 7 | 0 | 125 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 95 | 0 | 113 |
| $16: 45$ | $17: 00$ | 3 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 103 | 30 | 74 | 5 | 0 | 109 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 61 |
| Hourly Total | 18 | 365 | 31 | 0 | 414 | 136 | 303 | 18 | 0 | 457 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 0 | 65 | 33 | 17 | 286 | 0 | 336 |  |
| $17: 00$ | $17: 15$ | 1 | 92 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 31 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 109 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 77 | 0 | 84 |
| $17: 15$ | $17: 30$ | 3 | 73 | 3 | 0 | 79 | 28 | 69 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 60 |
| $17: 30$ | $17: 45$ | 1 | 93 | 4 | 0 | 98 | 23 | 96 | 1 | 0 | 120 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 56 | 0 | 62 |
| $17: 45$ | $18: 00$ | 0 | 88 | 6 | 0 | 94 | 21 | 74 | 3 | 0 | 98 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 58 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 346 | 20 | 0 | 371 | 103 | 313 | 11 | 0 | 427 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 33 | 21 | 6 | 237 | 0 | 264 |  |
| Grand Total | 92 | 2370 | 284 | 0 | 274 | 1053 | 2407 | 141 | 0 | 3601 | 113 | 133 | 128 | 0 | 374 | 222 | 123 | 1713 | 0 | 2058 |  |
| Truck $\%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |

## Volume Hourly Summary Report

Location.
Lake Street btwn Placid Avenue \& Clearview Drive

Municipality........... Sault Ste. Marie

| Date | StartTime | Northbound | Southbound | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :dnesday, July 10, 20 | 13 | 79 | 118 | 197 |
|  | 14 | 92 | 143 | 235 |
|  | 15 | 118 | 102 | 220 |
|  | 16 | 166 | 171 | 337 |
|  | 17 | 127 | 156 | 283 |
|  | 18 | 105 | 142 | 247 |
|  | 19 | 112 | 125 | 237 |
|  | 20 | 114 | 124 | 238 |
|  | 21 | 95 | 89 | 184 |
|  | 22 | 69 | 55 | 124 |
|  | 23 | 30 | 37 | 67 |
| Wednesday, July 10, 2013 |  | 1107 | 1262 | 2369 |
| רursday, July 11, 20. | 0 | 15 | 8 | 23 |
|  | 1 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Tuesday, October 11, 2016

|  | 4 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 3 | 14 | 17 |
|  | 6 | 9 | 33 | 42 |
|  | 7 | 36 | 88 | 124 |
|  | 8 | 61 | 158 | 219 |
|  | 9 | 70 | 135 | 205 |
|  | 10 | 96 | 132 | 228 |
|  | 11 | 104 | 169 | 273 |
|  | 12 | 121 | 162 | 283 |
|  | 13 | 116 | 156 | 272 |
|  | 14 | 95 | 75 | 170 |
|  | 15 | 82 | 77 | 159 |
|  | 16 | 141 | 142 | 283 |
|  | 17 | 170 | 191 | 361 |
|  | 18 | 106 | 141 | 247 |
|  | 19 | 124 | 139 | 263 |
|  | 20 | 117 | 105 | 222 |
|  | 21 | 94 | 101 | 195 |
|  | 22 | 68 | 74 | 142 |
|  | 23 | 33 | 47 | 80 |
| Thursday, July 11, 2013 |  | 1672 | 2168 | 3840 |
| Friday, July 12, 2013 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 32 |
|  | 1 | 7 | 11 | 18 |


| 2 | 10 | 9 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| 5 | 2 | 15 | 17 |
| 6 | 12 | 39 | 51 |
| 7 | 35 | 82 | 117 |
| 8 | 55 | 139 | 194 |
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Friday, July 12, 2013 | 150 | 317 | 467 |
| Grand Total | 2929 | 3747 | 6676 |



Lake Street
McNabb Street

| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16: 00$ $16: 15$ | 15 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 42 | 36 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 111 | 12 | 0 | 129 | 8 | 136 | 58 | 0 | 202 |
| $16: 15$ $16: 30$ | 12 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 37 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 67 | 8 | 104 | 15 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 124 | 57 | 0 | 185 |
| $16: 30$ $16: 45$ | 19 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 40 | 39 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 73 | 6 | 105 | 10 | 0 | 121 | 8 | 146 | 54 | 0 | 208 |
| $16: 45$ $17: 00$ | 19 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 46 | 44 | 12 | 15 | 0 | 71 | 10 | 124 | 15 | 0 | 149 | 13 | 150 | 71 | 0 | 234 |
| Hourly Total | 65 | 64 | 31 | 0 | 160 | 156 | 72 | 41 | 0 | 269 | 30 | 444 | 52 | 0 | 526 | 33 | 556 | 240 | 0 | 829 |
| $17: 00$ $17: 15$ | 14 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 45 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 68 | 4 | 112 | 12 | 0 | 128 | 6 | 150 | 50 | 0 | 206 |
| $17: 15$ $17: 30$ | 13 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 44 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 69 | 10 | 96 | 11 | 0 | 117 | 17 | 138 | 67 | 0 | 222 |
| $17: 30$ $17: 45$ | 11 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 35 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 69 | 8 | 92 | 10 | 0 | 110 | 10 | 119 | 58 | 0 | 187 |
| $17: 45$ $18: 00$ | 18 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 40 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 62 | 8 | 99 | 12 | 0 | 119 | 13 | 98 | 54 | 0 | 165 |
| Hourly Total | 56 | 53 | 20 | 0 | 129 | 164 | 79 | 25 | 0 | 268 | 30 | 399 | 45 | 0 | 474 | 46 | 505 | 229 | 0 | 780 |
| Grand Total | 423 | 423 | 251 | 0 | 1097 | 1144 | 461 | 184 | 0 | 1789 | 195 | 3312 | 348 | 0 | 3855 | 238 | 3261 | 1413 | 0 | 4912 |
| Truck \% | 3\% | 1\% | 5\% | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% |

## Volume Hourly Summary Report

Location. $\qquad$ Pentagon Boulevard btwn Placid Avenue \& Pleasant Drive

Municipality.
Sault Ste. Marie

| Date | StartTime | Northbound | Southbound | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nesday, August 28, | 9 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
|  | 10 | 24 | 32 | 56 |
|  | 11 | 36 | 37 | 73 |
|  | 12 | 52 | 45 | 97 |
|  | 13 | 29 | 41 | 70 |
|  | 14 | 36 | 34 | 70 |
|  | 15 | 32 | 36 | 68 |
|  | 16 | 48 | 37 | 85 |
|  | 18 | 52 | 41 | 93 |
| 19 | 41 | 50 | 91 |  |
|  | 20 | 34 | 41 | 75 |
|  | 21 | 24 | 31 | 73 |
|  | 22 | 25 | 18 | 42 |
|  | 23 | 11 | 14 | 39 |
|  | 493 | 475 | 19 |  |

Monday, October 03, 2016

| ırsday, August 29, 2 ( | 0 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 6 | 3 | 25 | 28 |
|  | 7 | 9 | 34 | 43 |
|  | 8 | 14 | 79 | 93 |
|  | 9 | 35 | 43 | 78 |
|  | 10 | 23 | 49 | 72 |
|  | 11 | 36 | 41 | 77 |
|  | 12 | 44 | 45 | 89 |
|  | 13 | 41 | 51 | 92 |
|  | 14 | 43 | 43 | 86 |
|  | 15 | 37 | 40 | 77 |
|  | 16 | 38 | 41 | 79 |
|  | 17 | 48 | 27 | 75 |
|  | 18 | 47 | 38 | 85 |
|  | 19 | 32 | 35 | 67 |
|  | 20 | 23 | 29 | 52 |
|  | 21 | 27 | 19 | 46 |
|  | 22 | 14 | 9 | 23 |


|  | 23 | 14 | 10 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, August 29, 2013 |  | 539 | 669 | 1208 |
| iday, August 30, 201 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 13 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 5 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
|  | 6 | 2 | 15 | 17 |
|  | 7 | 12 | 35 | 47 |
|  | 8 | 65 | 55 | 120 |
|  | 9 | 71 | 49 | 120 |
|  | 10 | 14 | 20 | 34 |
| Friday, August 30, 2013 |  | 182 | 190 | 372 |
| Grand Total |  | 1214 | 1334 | 2548 |

## Turning Movement Count - Details Report

| Location................. | McNabb Street @ Pentagon Boulevard |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality............ | Sault Ste. Marie |
| Count Date......... | Thursday, June 23, 2016 |

Pentagon Boulevard McNabb Street


| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:00 | $16: 15$ | 3 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 3 | 0 | 143 | 28 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| $16: 15$ | $16: 30$ | 4 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 6 | 0 | 144 | 25 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| $16: 30$ | $16: 45$ | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 156 | 33 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 227 |
| $16: 45$ | $17: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 2 | 0 | 147 | 21 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 249 |
| Hourly Total | 13 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 577 | 13 | 0 | 590 | 107 | 856 | 0 | 0 | 963 |  |
| $17: 00$ | $17: 15$ | 6 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 4 | 0 | 168 | 38 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| $17: 15$ | $17: 30$ | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 5 | 0 | 138 | 24 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 243 |
| $17: 30$ | $17: 45$ | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 10 | 0 | 136 | 15 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| $17: 45$ | $18: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 6 | 0 | 152 | 21 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| Hourly Total | 14 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 569 | 25 | 0 | 594 | 98 | 728 | 0 | 0 | 826 |  |
| Grand Total | 115 | 0 | 793 | 0 | 908 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4683 | 147 | 0 | 4830 | 559 | 5023 | 4 | 0 | 5586 |  |
| Truck $\%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |

## Turning Movement Count - Details Report

Location.<br>McNabb Street @ Pine Street<br>Municipality<br>Sault Ste. Marie<br>Count Date.<br>Tuesday, June 02, 2015

Pine Street
McNabb Street


Pine Street
McNabb Street
North Approach
South Approach
East Approach
West Approach

| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:00 | $16: 15$ | 56 | 76 | 12 | 0 | 144 | 37 | 62 | 15 | 0 | 114 | 15 | 95 | 50 | 0 | 160 | 28 | 155 | 36 | 0 |
| $16: 15$ | $16: 30$ | 66 | 63 | 18 | 0 | 147 | 42 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 117 | 9 | 110 | 29 | 0 | 148 | 19 | 173 | 35 | 0 |
| $16: 30$ | $16: 45$ | 68 | 87 | 17 | 0 | 172 | 30 | 63 | 11 | 0 | 104 | 7 | 98 | 40 | 0 | 145 | 15 | 126 | 36 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | $17: 00$ | 64 | 81 | 14 | 0 | 159 | 28 | 49 | 13 | 0 | 90 | 13 | 99 | 44 | 0 | 156 | 22 | 153 | 40 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 254 | 307 | 61 | 0 | 622 | 137 | 239 | 49 | 0 | 425 | 44 | 402 | 163 | 0 | 609 | 84 | 607 | 147 | 0 | 838 |
| 17:00 | $17: 15$ | 68 | 71 | 17 | 0 | 15 | 28 | 65 | 9 | 0 | 102 | 10 | 108 | 39 | 0 | 157 | 23 | 147 | 33 | 0 |
| $17: 15$ | $17: 30$ | 67 | 64 | 19 | 0 | 150 | 30 | 51 | 13 | 0 | 94 | 13 | 110 | 41 | 0 | 164 | 28 | 180 | 29 | 0 |
| $17: 30$ | $17: 45$ | 53 | 58 | 11 | 0 | 122 | 18 | 55 | 13 | 0 | 86 | 10 | 98 | 39 | 0 | 147 | 20 | 135 | 38 | 0 |
| $17: 45$ | $18: 00$ | 43 | 55 | 12 | 0 | 110 | 16 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 65 | 6 | 111 | 41 | 0 | 158 | 21 | 118 | 24 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 231 | 248 | 59 | 0 | 538 | 92 | 216 | 39 | 0 | 347 | 39 | 427 | 160 | 0 | 626 | 92 | 580 | 124 | 0 | 796 |
| Grand Total | 1537 | 1848 | 495 | 0 | 3880 | 863 | 1732 | 285 | 0 | 2880 | 353 | 3441 | 1334 | 0 | 5128 | 515 | 3604 | 943 | 0 | 5062 |
| Truck $\%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

## Volume Hourly Summary Report

Location. $\qquad$ Passmore Road btwn Plaintree Drive \& Pine Street

Municipality.
Sault Ste. Marie

| Date | StartTime | Eastbound | Westbound | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :sday, October 11, 2 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 30 |
|  | 14 | 92 | 63 | 155 |
|  | 15 | 132 | 113 | 245 |
|  | 16 | 133 | 63 | 196 |
|  | 17 | 90 | 57 | 147 |
|  | 18 | 81 | 67 | 148 |
|  | 19 | 67 | 39 | 106 |
|  | 20 | 47 | 21 | 68 |
|  | 21 | 37 | 28 | 65 |
|  | 22 | 20 | 13 | 33 |
|  | 23 | 12 | 6 | 18 |
| Tuesday, October 11, 2016 |  | 729 | 482 | 1211 |
| רesday, October 12, | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Friday, October 14, 2016

|  | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
|  | 6 | 5 | 20 | 25 |
|  | 7 | 20 | 72 | 92 |
|  | 8 | 59 | 117 | 176 |
|  | 9 | 54 | 71 | 125 |
|  | 10 | 58 | 65 | 123 |
|  | 11 | 71 | 51 | 122 |
|  | 12 | 82 | 73 | 155 |
|  | 13 | 79 | 64 | 143 |
|  | 14 | 85 | 57 | 142 |
|  | 15 | 128 | 71 | 199 |
|  | 16 | 124 | 48 | 172 |
|  | 17 | 99 | 56 | 155 |
|  | 18 | 76 | 50 | 126 |
|  | 19 | 60 | 42 | 102 |
|  | 20 | 44 | 34 | 78 |
|  | 21 | 40 | 13 | 53 |
|  | 22 | 21 | 11 | 32 |
|  | 23 | 14 | 4 | 18 |
| Wednesday, October 12, 2016 |  | 1134 | 935 | 2069 |
| rsday, October 13, 2 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 13 |
|  | 1 | 6 | 3 | 9 |


|  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 3 | 11 | 14 |
|  | 6 | 2 | 15 | 17 |
|  | 7 | 19 | 58 | 77 |
|  | 8 | 58 | 139 | 197 |
|  | 9 | 51 | 74 | 125 |
|  | 10 | 47 | 65 | 112 |
|  | 11 | 81 | 71 | 152 |
|  | 12 | 91 | 86 | 177 |
|  | 13 | 75 | 68 | 143 |
|  | 14 | 79 | 77 | 156 |
|  | 15 | 121 | 91 | 212 |
|  | 16 | 128 | 64 | 192 |
|  | 17 | 116 | 68 | 184 |
|  | 18 | 73 | 61 | 134 |
|  | 19 | 69 | 51 | 120 |
|  | 20 | 56 | 41 | 97 |
|  | 21 | 41 | 20 | 61 |
|  | 22 | 25 | 16 | 41 |
|  | 23 | 15 | 4 | 19 |
| Thursday, October 13, 2016 |  | 1168 | 1093 | 2261 |


| iday, October 14, 20 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 5 | 1 | 11 | 12 |
|  | 6 | 4 | 19 | 23 |
|  | 7 | 21 | 64 | 85 |
|  | 8 | 59 | 126 | 185 |
|  | 9 | 53 | 79 | 132 |
|  | 10 | 15 | 28 | 43 |
| Friday, October 14, 2016 |  | 171 | 343 | 514 |
| Grand Total |  | 3202 | 2853 | 6055 |

## Volume Hourly Summary Report

Location. $\qquad$ Pleasant Drive btwn Panoramic Drive \& Pine Street
Municipality. Sault Ste. Marie

| Date | StartTime | Eastbound | Westbound | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| uesday, May 10, 201 | 11 | 30 | 47 | 77 |
|  | 12 | 151 | 112 | 263 |
|  | 13 | 161 | 149 | 310 |
|  | 14 | 166 | 134 | 300 |
|  | 15 | 231 | 153 | 384 |
|  | 16 | 275 | 136 | 411 |
|  | 17 | 211 | 148 | 359 |
|  | 18 | 189 | 165 | 354 |
|  | 19 | 159 | 94 | 253 |
|  | 20 | 169 | 78 | 247 |
|  | 21 | 142 | 63 | 205 |
|  | 22 | 57 | 33 | 90 |
|  | 23 | 34 | 18 | 52 |
| Tuesday, May 10, 2016 |  | 1975 | 1330 | 3305 |
| dnesday, May 11, 20 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 29 |
|  | 1 | 8 | 1 | 9 |


|  | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 25 | 30 |
|  | 6 | 18 | 53 | 71 |
|  | 7 | 44 | 156 | 200 |
|  | 8 | 86 | 205 | 291 |
|  | 9 | 93 | 131 | 224 |
|  | 10 | 104 | 119 | 223 |
|  | 11 | 137 | 124 | 261 |
|  | 12 | 182 | 145 | 327 |
|  | 13 | 143 | 142 | 285 |
|  | 14 | 150 | 106 | 256 |
|  | 15 | 200 | 114 | 314 |
|  | 16 | 265 | 144 | 409 |
|  | 17 | 263 | 136 | 399 |
|  | 18 | 161 | 132 | 293 |
|  | 19 | 176 | 134 | 310 |
|  | 20 | 149 | 84 | 233 |
|  | 21 | 140 | 65 | 205 |
|  | 22 | 53 | 24 | 77 |
|  | 23 | 40 | 18 | 58 |
| Wednesday, May 11, 2016 |  | 2445 | 2075 | 4520 |

Wednesday, October 12, 2016

| רursday, May 12, 20. | 0 | 22 | 16 | 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
|  | 2 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
|  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
|  | 5 | 9 | 23 | 32 |
|  | 6 | 19 | 59 | 78 |
|  | 7 | 36 | 128 | 164 |
|  | 8 | 99 | 216 | 315 |
|  | 9 | 93 | 110 | 203 |
|  | 10 | 89 | 100 | 189 |
|  | 11 | 135 | 93 | 228 |
|  | 12 | 177 | 139 | 316 |
|  | 13 | 150 | 148 | 298 |
|  | 14 | 162 | 108 | 270 |
|  | 15 | 190 | 119 | 309 |
|  | 16 | 260 | 125 | 385 |
|  | 17 | 236 | 164 | 400 |
|  | 18 | 158 | 143 | 301 |
|  | 19 | 150 | 100 | 250 |
|  | 20 | 159 | 82 | 241 |
|  | 21 | 107 | 53 | 160 |
|  | 22 | 74 | 33 | 107 |


|  | 23 | 28 | 25 | 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thursday, May 12, 2016 |  | 2372 | 1995 | 4367 |
| =riday, May 13, 2016 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 24 |
|  | 1 | 11 | 2 | 13 |
|  | 2 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 18 | 22 |
|  | 6 | 19 | 50 | 69 |
|  | 7 | 45 | 120 | 165 |
|  | 8 | 113 | 209 | 322 |
|  | 9 | 4 | 10 | 14 |
| Friday, May 13, 2016 |  | 224 | 425 | 649 |
| Grand Total |  | 7016 | 5825 | 12841 |

## Turning Movement Count - Details Report

| Location................. | Pine Street @ Pleasant Drive |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality............ | Sault Ste. Marie |
| Count Date......... | Wednesday, May 11, 2016 |

Pine Street Pleasant Drive

| North Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Approach |  |  |  |  | East Approach |  |  |  | West Approach |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT | LT | TH | RT | U-Turn | TOT |
| $08: 00$ $08: 15$ | 13 | 66 | 4 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 96 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 15$ $08: 30$ | 14 | 100 | 3 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 142 | 5 | 0 | 147 | 7 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 30$ $08: 45$ | 19 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 106 | 2 | 83 | 3 | 0 | 88 | 13 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $08: 45$ $09: 00$ | 12 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 99 | 2 | 0 | 101 | 13 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 58 | 347 | 9 | 0 | 414 | 2 | 420 | 14 | 0 | 436 | 44 | 1 | 162 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11: 00$ $11: 15$ | 14 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 62 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11: 15$ $11: 30$ | 22 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 61 | 7 | 0 | 68 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11: 30$ $11: 45$ | 18 | 104 | 1 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11: 45$ $12: 00$ | 29 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 86 | 12 | 0 | 98 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 83 | 347 | 1 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 290 | 38 | 0 | 328 | 29 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $12: 00$ $12: 15$ | 41 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 98 | 9 | 0 | 107 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $12: 15$ $12: 30$ | 20 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 74 | 17 | 0 | 91 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $12: 30$ $12: 45$ | 25 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 81 | 12 | 0 | 93 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $12: 45$ $13: 00$ | 19 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 87 | 15 | 0 | 102 | 10 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 105 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 457 | 0 | 340 | 53 | 0 | 393 | 34 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $13: 00$ $13: 15$ | 28 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 3 | 79 | 14 | 0 | 96 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $13: 15$ $13: 30$ | 19 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 92 | 7 | 0 | 99 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $13: 30$ $13: 45$ | 18 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 75 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $13: 45$ $14: 00$ | 28 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 81 | 13 | 0 | 94 | 14 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 93 | 344 | 0 | 0 | 437 | 3 | 317 | 44 | 0 | 364 | 35 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $14: 00$ $14: 15$ | 27 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 81 | 11 | 0 | 92 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $14: 15$ $14: 30$ | 22 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 76 | 3 | 0 | 79 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $14: 30$ $14: 45$ <br> 1  | 26 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 96 | 13 | 0 | 109 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $14: 45$ $15: 00$ | 26 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 1 | 100 | 9 | 0 | 110 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 101 | 366 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 1 | 353 | 36 | 0 | 390 | 22 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15: 00$ $15: 15$ | 39 | 133 | 3 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 83 | 13 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15: 15$ $15: 30$ | 29 | 127 | 3 | 0 | 159 | 1 | 74 | 9 | 0 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15: 30$ $15: 45$ | 35 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 1 | 79 | 14 | 0 | 94 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15: 45$ $16: 00$ | 36 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 97 | 21 | 0 | 118 | 12 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 139 | 472 | 6 | 0 | 617 | 2 | 328 | 49 | 0 | 379 | 33 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## APPENDIX B - SIGNAL TIMING PLANS



| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 11 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-40$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 15 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 10 |  |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: | Northern Ave |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 36 |  |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  | 7 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Max Green Time(s): 20 |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): | 3 |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) | 1 |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 11 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-40$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 15 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 10 |  |  |



| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 11 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-40$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 15 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 10 |  |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: | Second LIne |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 34 |  |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  | 7 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Max Green Time(s): 20 |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): | 3 |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) | 1 |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 11 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-40$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 15 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 10 |  |  |


| Intersection Location: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Type: |  |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  |  |
| Offset (s): |  |  |
| Cycle Length (s): |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s): |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |


| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |


| Intersection Location: | Northern Ave @ North St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coordianted and Actuated |  |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: | Monday to Friday |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  | North St |  |
| Offset (s): |  | 35 |  |
| Cycle Length (s): | 90 |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : | 8:15 am - 9:05 am |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: | North St |  |  |
| Total Split (s): | 45 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 20 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-45$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 5.4 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.6 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: | North St |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 45 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Extension (s) |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 20 |
| Extension (s) |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s) |  | 35-45 |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 5.4 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.6 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: | Northern Ave |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 45 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |


| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  | 15 |
| Extension (s): |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-45$ |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: | hern Ave |  |
| Total Split (s) | 45 |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  | 15 |
| Extension (s): |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-45$ |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |


| Intersection Location: | Northern Ave @ North St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coor | rdianted and Actuated |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: | Monday to Friday |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  | North St |  |
| Offset (s): |  | 35 |  |
| Cycle Length (s): | 100 |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : | 2:30 pm - 5:15 pm |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: | North St |  |  |
| Total Split (s): | 50 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 20 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $35-45$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 5.4 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.6 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: | North St |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 45 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Extension (s) |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 15 |
| Extension (s) |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): |  | 35-45 |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 5.4 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.6 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: | Northern Ave |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 50 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Extension (s) |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |



| Intersection Location: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Type: |  |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  |  |
| Offset (s): |  |  |
| Cycle Length (s): |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s): |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |


| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |


| Intersection Location: | Northern Ave @ Pine St |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Type: | Coordianted and Actuated |  |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: | Monday to Friday |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  | Pine St |  |
| Offset (s): |  | 96 |  |
| Cycle Length (s): | 110 |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : | 8:00 am - 9:00 am |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: | Pine St |  |  |
| Total Split (s): | 65 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 20 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $45-55$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 12 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 6 |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: | Pine St |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 65 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Extension (s) |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 20 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $45-55$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 12 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 6 |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: | Northern Ave |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 45 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |





| Intersection Location: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Type: |  |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  |  |
| Offset (s): |  |  |
| Cycle Length (s): |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s): |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |


| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |







| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |


| Intersection Location: | Northern Ave @ Willow Ave |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Type: | Coordianted and Actuated |  |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: | Monday to Friday |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  | Northern Ave |  |
| Offset (s): |  | 1 |  |
| Cycle Length (s): | 120 |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : | 8:15 am - 9:30 am |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: | Willow Ave |  |  |
| Total Split (s): | 50 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 11 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): 45 -55 |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: | Willow Ave |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 50 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Extension (s) |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  | 11 |
| Extension (s): |  |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $45-55$ |  |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 4.3 |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.7 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 13 |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 8 |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: | Northern Ave |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 70 |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |  |




| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  | 20 |
| Extension (s): |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $45-55$ |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 5.4 |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.6 |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 15 |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 10 |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: | Northern Ave |  |
| Total Split (s) | 70 |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  | 20 |
| Extension (s): |  | 4 |
| Maximum(s): $45-55$ |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): | 5.4 |  |
| Through All Red (s): | 1.6 |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) | 15 |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) | 10 |  |


| Intersection Location: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Type: |  |  |
| Signal Timing Plan Effect Day: |  |  |
| If Coordianted |  |  |
| Coordinate Street: |  |  |
| Offset (s): |  |  |
| Cycle Length (s): |  |  |
| Signal Timing effect Time period : |  |  |
| Northbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s): |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum(s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Southbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Eastbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| Arrow Green |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |


| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |
| Westbound Direction Street Name: |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |
| ArrowGreen |  |  |
| Minimum Green Time (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Max Green Time(s): |  |  |
| Arrow Amber Time (s): |  |  |
| Arrow All-Red Time (s) |  |  |
| Through Green |  |  |
| Minimum (s): |  |  |
| Extension (s): |  |  |
| Maximum(s): |  |  |
| Through Amber (s): |  |  |
| Through All Red (s): |  |  |
| Pedestrian Walk (s) |  |  |
| Pedestrian Flash-Do Not Walk (s) |  |  |

## APPENDIX C - SYNCHRO REPORTS



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 性 |  |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | \% |  | 7 |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 267 | 230 | 35 | 224 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 267 | 230 | 35 | 224 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 0.98 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.93 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 3125 |  |  | 3262 |  | 1616 |  | 1401 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.83 |  | 0.76 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 3125 |  |  | 2711 |  | 1288 |  | 1401 |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 290 | 250 | 38 | 243 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 11 | 11 |  |  | 4 |  | 26 | 26 |  | 4 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% | 5\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm |  | Perm |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 63.0 |  |  | 63.0 |  | 44.0 |  | 44.0 |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 63.0 |  |  | 63.0 |  | 44.0 |  | 44.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.52 |  |  | 0.52 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.37 |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 1640 |  |  | 1423 |  | 472 |  | 513 |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  | 0.10 |  | c0.11 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.20 |  | 0.29 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 15.6 |  |  | 15.1 |  | 26.9 |  | 24.4 |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 16.0 |  |  | 15.4 |  | 28.4 |  | 24.5 |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  | B |  |  | B |  | C |  | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 16.0 |  |  | 15.4 |  |  | 27.4 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 17.9 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 120.0 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 61.6\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | P | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | 中t |  | \% | $\uparrow \psi^{2}$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 135 | 152 | 139 | 21 | 121 | 135 | 111 | 418 | 6 | 175 | 647 | 71 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 135 | 152 | 139 | 21 | 121 | 135 | 111 | 418 | 6 | 175 | 647 | 71 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 |  | 4.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 1651 |  | 1718 | 1798 | 1436 | 1724 | 3342 |  | 1706 | 3322 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.60 | 1.00 |  | 0.57 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 1.00 |  | 0.35 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1050 | 1651 |  | 1029 | 1798 | 1436 | 331 | 3342 |  | 622 | 3322 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 147 | 165 | 151 | 23 | 132 | 147 | 121 | 454 | 7 | 190 | 703 | 77 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 147 | 293 | 0 | 23 | 132 | 47 | 121 | 460 | 0 | 190 | 773 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 23 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 23 | 11 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 11 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 51.4 | 44.2 |  | 38.4 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 38.6 | 27.6 |  | 44.6 | 30.6 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 51.4 | 44.2 |  | 38.4 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 38.6 | 27.6 |  | 44.6 | 30.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.47 | 0.40 |  | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.25 |  | 0.41 | 0.28 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 |  | 4.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 557 | 663 |  | 379 | 575 | 459 | 255 | 838 |  | 390 | 924 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.03 | c0.18 |  | 0.00 | 0.07 |  | 0.05 | 0.14 |  | c0.06 | c0.23 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.09 |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.03 | 0.12 |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.44 |  | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.55 |  | 0.49 | 0.84 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.2 | 23.9 |  | 23.6 | 27.4 | 26.3 | 25.9 | 35.8 |  | 22.3 | 37.3 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 | 2.1 |  | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 |  | 1.3 | 8.9 |  |
| Delay (s) | 17.6 | 26.1 |  | 23.7 | 28.4 | 26.7 | 27.8 | 38.4 |  | 23.6 | 46.2 |  |
| Level of Service | B | C |  | C | C | C | C | D |  | C | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 23.4 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  | 36.2 |  |  | 41.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 34.8 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of | Service |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 110.0 |  | Sum of los | time (s) |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 73.0\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢1 |  | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | * ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | \% | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 21 | 265 | 27 | 59 | 99 | 63 | 14 | 158 | 61 | 75 | 195 | 28 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 21 | 265 | 27 | 59 | 99 | 63 | 14 | 158 | 61 | 75 | 195 | 28 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.94 |  |  | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 3316 |  | 1640 | 1687 |  |  | 3008 |  | 1586 | 1645 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.93 |  | 0.55 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.93 |  | 0.60 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 3090 |  | 945 | 1687 |  |  | 2808 |  | 993 | 1645 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 23 | 288 | 29 | 64 | 108 | 68 | 15 | 172 | 66 | 82 | 212 | 30 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 332 | 0 | 64 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 82 | 236 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 3 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 11 |  | 18 | 18 |  | 11 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 2\% | 6\% | 5\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 11\% | 5\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 39.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  |  | 38.0 |  | 38.0 | 38.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 39.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  |  | 38.0 |  | 38.0 | 38.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.43 |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  |  | 0.42 |  | 0.42 | 0.42 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 1339 |  | 409 | 731 |  |  | 1185 |  | 419 | 694 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.14 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | c0.11 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.08 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.25 |  | 0.16 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.18 |  | 0.20 | 0.34 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 16.2 |  | 15.5 | 15.9 |  |  | 16.3 |  | 16.4 | 17.5 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.8 | 0.6 |  |  | 0.3 |  | 1.0 | 1.3 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 16.6 |  | 16.3 | 16.5 |  |  | 16.6 |  | 17.4 | 18.9 |  |
| Level of Service |  | B |  | B | B |  |  | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 16.6 |  |  | 16.5 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | 18.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 17.1 |  | CM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | um of los | time (s) |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 91.7\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



SimTraffic Simulation Summary
Baseline
Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ |
| Total Time (min) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 4028 | 4074 | 4009 | 3997 | 3943 | 4010 |
| Vehs Exited | 4024 | 4068 | 4019 | 3991 | 3991 | 4020 |
| Starting Vehs | 123 | 116 | 121 | 107 | 134 | 119 |
| Ending Vehs | 127 | 122 | 111 | 113 | 86 | 110 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 3903 | 3884 | 3886 | 3866 | 3791 | 3866 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 119.7 | 118.1 | 117.5 | 117.0 | 114.6 | 117.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 37.8 | 36.5 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.0 | 36.2 |
| Total Stops | 3633 | 3602 | 3517 | 3502 | 3390 | 3526 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 334.4 | 331.4 | 330.7 | 329.2 | 323.6 | 329.8 |

## Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 57$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 27$ |
| Total Time (min) | 30 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $8: 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Avg |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 4028 | 4074 | 4009 | 3997 | 3943 | 4010 |  |
| Vehs Exited | 4024 | 4068 | 4019 | 3991 | 3991 | 4020 |  |
| Starting Vehs | 123 | 116 | 121 | 107 | 134 | 119 |  |
| Ending Vehs | 127 | 122 | 111 | 113 | 86 | 110 |  |
| Travel Distance (km) | 3903 | 3884 | 3886 | 3866 | 3791 | 3866 |  |
| Travel Time (hr) | 119.7 | 118.1 | 117.5 | 117.0 | 114.6 | 117.4 |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 37.8 | 36.5 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.0 | 36.2 |  |
| Total Stops | 3633 | 3602 | 3517 | 3502 | 3390 | 3526 |  |
| Fuel Used (l) | 334.4 | 331.4 | 330.7 | 329.2 | 323.6 | 329.8 |  |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 21.6 | 31.1 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 53.0 | 76.8 | 10.4 | 67.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.6 | 12.1 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 24.3 | 41.4 | 2.0 | 32.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.0 | 24.9 | 9.1 | 5.2 | 43.7 | 70.8 | 8.1 | 56.8 |
| Link Distance (m) | 579.5 | 579.5 | 394.1 | 394.1 |  | 335.7 |  | 377.6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  | 9 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 5 | 13 |  | 1 |

Intersection: 6: Willow Avenue \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | LT | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 38.4 | 60.3 | 37.0 | 38.6 | 47.5 | 31.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.8 | 31.4 | 15.5 | 10.4 | 19.0 | 6.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.8 | 54.8 | 31.6 | 27.8 | 36.3 | 19.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 257.8 | 257.8 | 579.5 | 579.5 |  | 329.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  | 23.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 10 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |

Intersection: 9: Great Northern Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 46.1 | 64.1 | 10.9 | 36.8 | 35.1 | 31.8 | 61.0 | 56.5 | 55.8 | 89.2 |
| TR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.9 | 24.5 | 2.1 | 15.3 | 12.9 | 15.2 | 37.2 | 29.7 | 22.7 | 54.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.9 | 52.2 | 6.7 | 30.0 | 25.5 | 28.6 | 56.0 | 52.7 | 44.9 | 81.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 73.4 | 733.4 |  | 257.8 | 257.8 |  | 329.6 | 329.6 |  | 374.7 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 26.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |

Intersection: 12: North Steet \& Northern Avenue West/Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | TR | LT | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 44.8 | 37.9 | 27.6 | 38.3 | 56.4 | 33.4 | 33.1 | 52.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 23.0 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 14.8 | 23.7 | 8.5 | 11.1 | 24.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 37.7 | 27.4 | 23.1 | 30.5 | 43.2 | 21.1 | 26.7 | 44.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 293.9 | 293.9 | 523.4 | 523.4 | 344.7 | 344.7 |  | 73.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 14 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 11 |

Intersection: 15: Grand Boulevard/Sackville Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 30.5 | 34.5 | 24.9 | 32.0 | 7.8 | 31.5 | 27.8 | 29.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 13.0 | 15.4 | 8.4 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 9.7 | 11.6 | 9.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 25.1 | 29.8 | 18.5 | 26.0 | 8.0 | 22.2 | 23.4 | 21.1 |
| Link Distance (m) | 523.4 | 523.4 | 733.4 | 733.4 |  | 342.7 |  | 373.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  | 21.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group


Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $3: 30$ | $3: 30$ | $3: 30$ | $3: 30$ | $3: 30$ | $3: 30$ |
| End Time | $5: 00$ | $5: 00$ | $5: 00$ | $5: 00$ | $5: 00$ | $5: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 4782 | 4685 | 4673 | 4766 | 4715 | 4726 |
| Vehs Exited | 4810 | 4654 | 4701 | 4747 | 4710 | 4724 |
| Starting Vehs | 187 | 147 | 173 | 158 | 160 | 163 |
| Ending Vehs | 159 | 178 | 145 | 177 | 165 | 162 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 5141 | 5045 | 5063 | 5128 | 5093 | 5094 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 163.9 | 159.6 | 162.8 | 165.6 | 164.5 | 163.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 55.7 | 53.5 | 56.3 | 57.8 | 57.1 | 56.1 |
| Total Stops | 4881 | 4806 | 4835 | 4931 | 4944 | 4879 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 447.4 | 434.5 | 440.1 | 446.9 | 442.1 | 442.2 |

## Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time $r$ | $3: 30$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $4: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 30 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $4: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 4782 | 4685 | 4673 | 4766 | 4715 | 4726 |
| Vehs Exited | 4810 | 4654 | 4701 | 4747 | 4710 | 4724 |
| Starting Vehs | 187 | 147 | 173 | 158 | 160 | 163 |
| Ending Vehs | 159 | 178 | 145 | 177 | 165 | 162 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 5141 | 5045 | 5063 | 5128 | 5093 | 5094 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 163.9 | 159.6 | 162.8 | 165.6 | 164.5 | 163.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 55.7 | 53.5 | 56.3 | 57.8 | 57.1 | 56.1 |
| Total Stops | 4881 | 4806 | 4835 | 4931 | 4944 | 4879 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 447.4 | 434.5 | 440.1 | 446.9 | 442.1 | 442.2 |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.4 | 63.2 | 18.3 | 15.0 | 47.6 | 62.2 | 14.5 | 85.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.6 | 25.6 | 7.5 | 4.1 | 21.6 | 30.1 | 4.0 | 43.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 22.3 | 47.4 | 17.8 | 12.1 | 39.9 | 54.6 | 12.2 | 74.8 |
| Link Distance (m) | 579.5 | 579.5 | 394.1 | 394.1 |  | 335.7 |  | 377.6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 16 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  | 3 |

Intersection: 6: Willow Avenue \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | LT | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.4 | 46.2 | 48.4 | 46.4 | 53.9 | 110.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.8 | 24.2 | 23.1 | 14.9 | 42.3 | 35.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 25.5 | 41.8 | 42.3 | 35.3 | 62.5 | 92.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 257.8 | 257.8 | 579.5 | 579.5 |  | 329.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  | 23.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 39 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 21 | 0 |

Intersection: 9: Great Northern Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 74.6 | 142.7 | 36.4 | 69.7 | 31.5 | 70.9 | 96.2 | 76.6 | 76.0 | 112.1 | 111.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.2 | 62.1 | 6.2 | 31.7 | 13.6 | 32.3 | 51.6 | 46.3 | 31.0 | 64.3 | 66.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 51.5 | 124.2 | 20.5 | 60.3 | 23.7 | 57.0 | 76.6 | 70.1 | 62.8 | 95.1 | 98.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 733.4 | 733.4 |  | 257.8 | 257.8 |  | 329.6 | 329.6 |  | 374.7 | 374.7 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 26.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 0 | 17 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 3 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 6 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 5 |  |

Intersection: 12: North Steet \& Northern Avenue West/Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | L | TR | LT | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 42.4 | 34.9 | 20.5 | 45.3 | 61.8 | 28.8 | 32.8 | 46.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.8 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 18.0 | 25.8 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 17.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.7 | 22.1 | 17.2 | 35.3 | 48.1 | 21.2 | 21.8 | 36.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 293.9 | 293.9 | 523.4 | 523.4 | 344.7 | 344.7 |  | 73.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 9 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 |

Intersection: 15: Grand Boulevard/Sackville Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 45.3 | 47.4 | 52.4 | 68.8 | 18.3 | 31.7 | 43.4 | 42.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 24.1 | 27.0 | 23.7 | 37.1 | 5.2 | 10.5 | 20.7 | 18.6 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 39.0 | 43.6 | 42.4 | 61.0 | 14.6 | 23.0 | 36.9 | 36.0 |
| Link Distance (m) | 523.4 | 523.4 | 733.4 | 733.4 |  | 342.7 |  | 373.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  | 21.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group


Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ |
| Total Time (min) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 4500 | 4461 | 4328 | 4395 | 4231 | 4383 |
| Vehs Exited | 4488 | 4452 | 4333 | 4403 | 4252 | 4387 |
| Starting Vehs | 117 | 120 | 125 | 133 | 137 | 124 |
| Ending Vehs | 129 | 129 | 120 | 125 | 116 | 121 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4322 | 4284 | 4203 | 4265 | 4080 | 4231 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 132.7 | 132.0 | 127.4 | 131.1 | 124.4 | 129.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 41.6 | 42.2 | 39.0 | 41.4 | 38.5 | 40.5 |
| Total Stops | 411 | 4124 | 3978 | 4091 | 3883 | 4042 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 368.0 | 366.7 | 356.9 | 364.0 | 348.5 | 360.8 |

## Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 57$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 27$ |
| Total Time (min) | 30 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $8: 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Avg |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 4500 | 4461 | 4328 | 4395 | 4231 | 4383 |  |
| Vehs Exited | 4488 | 4452 | 4333 | 4403 | 4252 | 4387 |  |
| Starting Vehs | 117 | 120 | 125 | 133 | 137 | 124 |  |
| Ending Vehs | 129 | 129 | 120 | 125 | 116 | 121 |  |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4322 | 4284 | 4203 | 4265 | 4080 | 4231 |  |
| Travel Time (hr) | 132.7 | 132.0 | 127.4 | 131.1 | 124.4 | 129.5 |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 41.6 | 42.2 | 39.0 | 41.4 | 38.5 | 40.5 |  |
| Total Stops | 4111 | 4124 | 3978 | 4091 | 3883 | 4042 |  |
| Fuel Used (l) | 368.0 | 366.7 | 356.9 | 364.0 | 348.5 | 360.8 |  |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 18.1 | 41.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 72.7 | 99.8 | 10.4 | 77.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.3 | 14.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 32.1 | 47.9 | 2.0 | 34.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 14.6 | 30.5 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 58.7 | 81.9 | 8.0 | 60.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 579.8 |  | 394.1 |  | 337.4 |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 11 |  | 11 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 23 | 21 |  | 1 |

Intersection: 6: Willow Avenue \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 120.2 | 39.8 | 93.5 | 47.1 | 39.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 67.6 | 12.4 | 32.2 | 21.9 | 8.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 107.3 | 29.2 | 78.6 | 38.6 | 23.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 257.1 |  | 579.8 |  | 331.1 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 40.0 |  | 23.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 18 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 |

Intersection: 9: Great Northern Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 39.8 | 87.7 | 12.6 | 38.6 | 33.0 | 33.1 | 61.3 | 56.5 | 55.4 | 68.6 | 67.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 20.9 | 29.1 | 3.0 | 17.0 | 15.3 | 14.9 | 33.9 | 24.8 | 21.3 | 44.4 | 43.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 39.7 | 60.3 | 8.5 | 33.3 | 27.4 | 28.0 | 53.3 | 47.4 | 42.2 | 64.8 | 63.6 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 733.6 |  | 257.1 |  |  | 329.4 | 329.4 |  | 372.7 | 372.7 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 40.0 |  | 26.0 |  | 50.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 4 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: North Street \& Northern Avenue West/Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | LT | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.7 | 70.7 | 36.8 | 48.7 | 43.5 | 24.3 | 39.7 | 67.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.3 | 35.1 | 12.3 | 17.5 | 22.3 | 7.5 | 14.3 | 28.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 16.7 | 61.0 | 27.1 | 35.0 | 38.1 | 17.1 | 31.1 | 52.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 294.0 |  | 523.7 | 346.2 | 346.2 |  | 73.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 20.0 |  | 40.0 |  |  |  | 16.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 6 | 18 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 14 | 15 |

Intersection: 15: Grand Boulevard/Sackville Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 31.1 | 62.0 | 11.2 | 47.1 | 10.2 | 26.6 | 38.7 | 28.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 6.6 | 25.6 | 2.6 | 20.7 | 2.6 | 10.2 | 14.6 | 10.6 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 19.4 | 47.5 | 9.3 | 36.6 | 8.6 | 20.9 | 29.7 | 22.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 523.7 |  | 733.6 |  | 344.3 |  | 375.1 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 21.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 108

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  | F |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 370 | 172 | 51 | 340 | 0 | 352 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 370 | 172 | 51 | 340 | 0 | 352 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.97 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.86 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1692 |  | 1647 | 1729 |  | 1609 |  | 1346 |  | 1521 |  |
| FIt Permitted |  | 1.00 |  | 0.30 | 1.00 |  | 0.76 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1692 |  | 515 | 1729 |  | 1282 |  | 1346 |  | 1521 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 402 | 187 | 55 | 370 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 575 | 0 | 55 | 370 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 11 | 11 |  |  | 4 |  | 26 | 26 |  | 4 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% | 5\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm |  | Perm |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 63.0 |  | 63.0 | 63.0 |  | 44.0 |  | 44.0 |  | 44.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 63.0 |  | 63.0 | 63.0 |  | 44.0 |  | 44.0 |  | 44.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.52 |  | 0.52 | 0.52 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.37 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 888 |  | 270 | 907 |  | 470 |  | 493 |  | 557 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0.34 |  |  | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | c0.30 |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.65 |  | 0.20 | 0.41 |  | 0.81 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 20.5 |  | 15.2 | 17.2 |  | 34.3 |  | 24.5 |  | 24.1 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 3.6 |  | 1.7 | 1.4 |  | 14.4 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 24.2 |  | 16.9 | 18.6 |  | 48.7 |  | 24.7 |  | 24.1 |  |
| Level of Service |  | C |  | B | B |  | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 24.2 |  |  | 18.4 |  |  | 45.3 |  |  | 24.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 28.9 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of | ervice |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 120.0 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 81.1\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



c Critical Lane Group


Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ | $8: 27$ |
| Total Time (min) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 5330 | 5283 | 5254 | 5180 | 5197 | 5252 |
| Vehs Exited | 5303 | 5294 | 5301 | 5182 | 5226 | 5261 |
| Starting Vehs | 175 | 194 | 222 | 196 | 225 | 197 |
| Ending Vehs | 202 | 183 | 175 | 194 | 196 | 184 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 5641 | 5707 | 5666 | 5513 | 5632 | 5632 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 193.2 | 190.4 | 199.9 | 182.3 | 189.8 | 191.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 74.6 | 70.4 | 80.7 | 66.1 | 71.1 | 72.6 |
| Total Stops | 6133 | 6144 | 6428 | 5739 | 6106 | 6113 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 499.6 | 498.8 | 505.8 | 481.6 | 495.9 | 496.4 |

## Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 57$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 27$ |
| Total Time (min) | 30 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 5330 | 5283 | 5254 | 5180 | 5197 | 5252 |
| Vehs Exited | 5303 | 5294 | 5301 | 5182 | 5226 | 5261 |
| Starting Vehs | 175 | 194 | 222 | 196 | 225 | 197 |
| Ending Vehs | 202 | 183 | 175 | 194 | 196 | 184 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 5641 | 5707 | 5666 | 5513 | 5632 | 5632 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 193.2 | 190.4 | 199.9 | 182.3 | 189.8 | 191.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 74.6 | 70.4 | 80.7 | 66.1 | 71.1 | 72.6 |
| Total Stops | 6133 | 6144 | 6428 | 5739 | 6106 | 6113 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 499.6 | 498.8 | 505.8 | 481.6 | 495.9 | 496.4 |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 39.8 | 93.4 | 20.9 | 24.7 | 57.1 | 65.0 | 30.7 | 91.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 10.9 | 37.4 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 25.1 | 37.5 | 4.2 | 47.2 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 33.4 | 72.8 | 16.1 | 18.8 | 44.5 | 63.7 | 18.5 | 76.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 579.8 |  | 394.1 |  | 337.4 |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 9 |  | 0 | 3 | 6 |  | 19 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 10 | 10 |  | 4 |

Intersection: 6: Willow Avenue \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 110.9 | 39.7 | 100.8 | 53.9 | 115.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 62.2 | 12.2 | 43.2 | 49.1 | 56.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 103.7 | 31.2 | 82.0 | 60.4 | 119.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 257.1 |  | 579.8 |  | 331.1 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 40.0 |  | 23.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 19 | 1 | 10 | 40 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 2 | 5 | 23 | 1 |

Intersection: 9: Great Northern Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 39.9 | 161.7 | 17.9 | 61.4 | 53.6 | 79.7 | 107.8 | 95.0 | 79.9 | 140.4 | 138.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 32.4 | 70.8 | 5.4 | 27.8 | 17.3 | 40.2 | 55.9 | 49.8 | 39.0 | 79.0 | 78.6 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 48.3 | 135.8 | 14.0 | 51.0 | 34.5 | 73.6 | 92.2 | 80.8 | 81.4 | 131.5 | 127.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 733.6 |  | 257.1 |  |  | 329.4 | 329.4 |  | 372.7 | 372.7 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 40.0 |  | 26.0 |  | 50.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 18 |  | 15 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  | 0 | 9 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 12 | 35 |  | 31 | 0 | 12 | 4 |  | 1 | 20 |  |

Intersection: 12: North Street \& Northern Avenue West/Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | LT | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.1 | 64.4 | 34.0 | 54.3 | 57.3 | 36.0 | 37.8 | 52.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.4 | 29.2 | 10.6 | 23.2 | 24.9 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 18.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.1 | 54.2 | 23.7 | 43.2 | 47.0 | 25.1 | 25.4 | 39.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 294.0 |  | 523.7 | 346.2 | 346.2 |  | 73.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 20.0 |  | 40.0 |  |  |  | 16.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 5 | 10 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 6 |

Intersection: 15: Grand Boulevard/Sackville Road \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 39.8 | 97.9 | 39.8 | 130.2 | 20.0 | 32.1 | 55.3 | 49.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 11.3 | 53.6 | 9.2 | 69.9 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 25.0 | 21.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 31.6 | 87.8 | 31.1 | 113.6 | 14.4 | 24.8 | 43.6 | 40.2 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 523.7 |  | 733.6 |  | 344.3 |  | 375.1 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 21.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 | 11 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 4 |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 218




c Critical Lane Group

Intersection: 2: Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | SB |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | $R$ | L |  |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 23.7 | 21.7 | 9.3 |  |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.9 | 12.1 | 4.8 |  |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.5 | 19.3 | 12.3 |  |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 140.9 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.0 |  | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 21.1 | 28.6 | 39.8 | 41.5 | 64.0 | 77.6 | 9.2 | 77.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 4.8 | 13.6 | 3.8 | 10.4 | 28.3 | 44.8 | 3.0 | 38.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.0 | 23.5 | 16.9 | 25.9 | 52.8 | 73.4 | 9.8 | 67.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 812.7 |  | 394.0 |  |  |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |  | 14 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 18 | 14 |  | 3 |



c Critical Lane Group

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement |  | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 39.6 | 129.5 | 33.3 | 21.4 | 78.1 | 71.8 | 34.2 | 94.2 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 7.6 | 42.3 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 25.6 | 34.5 | 8.3 | 46.8 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 21.2 | 86.2 | 24.1 | 20.3 | 49.4 | 63.9 | 20.1 | 78.1 |
| Link Distance $(m)$ |  | 812.7 |  | 394.0 |  | 390.0 |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 | 11 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 0 | 19 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 4 |  |  | 9 | 6 | 1 | 10 |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement |  | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 39.8 | 67.7 | 27.3 | 28.4 | 47.9 | 85.6 | 28.1 | 82.7 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 11.2 | 37.2 | 8.9 | 11.3 | 26.2 | 43.7 | 8.1 | 44.3 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 31.6 | 65.1 | 19.2 | 26.2 | 47.4 | 74.0 | 19.3 | 68.9 |
| Link Distance $(m)$ |  | 812.7 |  | 394.0 |  | 390.0 |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 2 | 9 | 0 | 18 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 | 9 |  |  | 6 | 12 | 0 | 9 |
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Intersection: 2: Pine Street \& Pleasant Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 16.4 | 15.7 | 9.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 9.2 | 7.2 | 1.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 16.6 | 14.3 | 7.0 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 129.4 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 26.9 | 40.2 | 9.2 | 34.8 | 58.5 | 75.7 | 16.1 | 68.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.5 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 18.0 | 27.6 | 41.5 | 7.9 | 32.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 22.3 | 29.5 | 3.0 | 30.6 | 49.5 | 65.4 | 16.5 | 57.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 812.7 |  | 394.1 |  | 337.4 |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 7 |  | 9 |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 | 10 | 11 |  | 3 |



c Critical Lane Group

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 39.9 | 101.4 | 39.8 | 47.2 | 62.1 | 63.4 | 28.5 | 66.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.5 | 40.4 | 8.5 | 17.4 | 21.4 | 32.1 | 12.0 | 35.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.0 | 75.1 | 22.7 | 35.7 | 41.8 | 54.3 | 23.6 | 56.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 812.7 |  | 394.0 |  | 405.1 |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 9 |

Intersection: 3: Pine Street \& Northern Avenue East

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 39.8 | 100.6 | 15.4 | 40.2 | 40.7 | 71.0 | 38.7 | 70.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.5 | 36.6 | 4.8 | 14.9 | 19.9 | 30.3 | 15.3 | 37.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 27.9 | 74.8 | 12.5 | 29.6 | 34.5 | 56.3 | 28.6 | 60.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 812.7 |  | 394.1 |  | 337.4 |  | 379.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12 |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 12 |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 25

## CITY INFORMATION <br> The Corporation of the City of Sault Ste. Maria

Notice of Public Information Centre

## Municipal Class Environment Assessment

## Northern Avenue Conidor Improvements

The City of Sault Ste. Marie (City) has initiated a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment to investigate alternatives to improve the efficiency of the Northern Avenue Corridor.
The Transportation Master Plan, completed tor the City of Sault Ste. Marie in 2015, identified Northern Avenue as a candidate for potential improvements that may enhance road network connectivity while reducing traffic demands on nearby streets. In conjunction with the recommended improvements, the City also identified the opportunity to integrate improvements to the access/egress of the P-Patch subdivision.
The study is being undertaken as a Schedule C project in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment. The study will include public and external agency consultation as well as review the need and justification for possible improvements to the existing corridor. The study will also evaluate alternative designs based on their potential impacts on the natural, social and economic environments. Preceding any decisions recommending or accepting a preferred design, interested parties will have the opportunity to review the study findings and provide input and comments into the evaluation.
A Notice of Study Commencement, introducing the proposed project and inviting public input, was published in June of 2016. To present alternative solutions and offer the opportunity for the public to provide comments and suggestions, the City held the project's first Public Information Centre on June 22, 2016.

## ~Public Information Centre~

To present the recommended design, further facilitate input and ensure that anyone interested in this Study has the opportunity to get involved, the City is holding a Public Information Centre on:
Tuesday September 26, 2017 from 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Russ Ramsay Room, Third Floor, Civic Centre, 99 Foster Drive.
All members of the public are welcome to attend.
City staff and Consultants will be available to discuss the project.
Please contact one of the following project team members if you would like to be included on the project mailing list, have any questions or wish to obtain more information on the project:

City of Sault Ste. Marie
Attention: Don Elliott, P. Eng. Director of Engineering 99 Foster Drive, Civic Centre
Sault Ste. Marie, ON
Tel: (705) 759-5329
d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca

Kresin Engineering Corporation
Attention: Michael Kresin, P. Eng.
Consulting Engineer
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, ON
Tel: (705) 949-4900
northernave@kresinengineering.ca

Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments recelved will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.
This notice published on September 16 and 23, 2017.

Notice of Public Information Centre
Municipal Class Environment Assessment
Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements
The City of Sault Ste. Marie (City) has initiated a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment to investigate aliernatives to improve the efficiancy of the Northem Avenue Corridor.
The Transportation Master Plan, completed for the City of Sault Ste. Marie in 2015, identified Northern Avenue as a candidate for potential improvements that may enhance road network connectivity while reducing trafic demands on nearby streets. In conjunction with the recommended improvements, the City also identified the opportunity to integrate improvements to the access/egress of the P-Patch subdivision.
The study is being undertaken as a Schedule C project in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment. The study will include public and external agency consultation as well as review the need and justification for possible improvements to the existing corridor. The study will also evaluate alternative designs based on their potential impacts on the natural social and economic environments. Preceding any decisions recommending or accepting a preferred design, interested parties will have the opportunity to review the study findings and provide input and comments into the evaluation.
A Notice of Study Commencement, introducing the proposed project and inviting public input, was published in June of 2016. To present alternative solutions and offer the opportunity for the public to provide comments and suggestions, the City held the project's first Public Information Centre on June 22, 2016.
~Public Information Centre~
To present the recommended design, further facilitate input and ensure that anyone interested in this Study has the opportunity to get involved, the City is holding a Public Information Centre on:
Tuesday September 26, 2017 from 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Russ Ramsay Room, Third Floor, Civic Centre, 99 Foster Drive.
All members of the public are welcome to attend.
City staff and Consultants will be available to discuss the project.
Please contact one of the following project team members if you would like to be included on the project mailing list, have any questions or wish to obtain more information on the project:

City of Sault Ste. Marie
Attention: Don Elliott, P. Eng. Director of Engineering
99 Foster Drive, Civic Centre
Sault Ste. Marie, ON
Tel: (705) 759-5329
d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca

Kresin Engineering Corporation
Attention: Michael Kresin, P. Eng.
Consulting Engineer
536 Fourth Line East
Saut Ste. Marie, ON
Tel: (705) 949-4900
northernave@kresinengineering.ca

Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.
This notice published on September 16 and 23, 20.17.

Appendix 8a
Information Presented

## WHY IS THIS PROJECT BEING UNDERTAKEN?

- This study was initiated to investigate alternatives to improve the efficiency of the Northern Avenue corridor.
- The opportunity for Northern Avenue to undergo a possible lane reassignment and/or elimination as well as a possible extension to Black Road was presented in the City of Sault Ste. Marie's Transportation Master Plan, completed in 2015.
- The City also identified the opportunity to possibly incorporate improvements to the access/egress of the P-Patch subdivision.
- Upon completion of the EA process, the City will have a preferred design which can be implemented as required and when funding is available.


## OPPORTUNITY STATEMENT

Vehicular travel patterns throughout Sault Ste. Marie have shifted over the years as a result of development in the north end of the City. Improving the efficiency of the Northern Avenue corridor is one of the recommendations of the recently completed Transportation Master Plan meant to help accommodate this shift. Implementation of Active Transportation modes was also recommended in the Transportation Master Plan.

Potential improvements noted in the Transportation Master Plan include:

- Opportunity A: Lane reassignment or elimination along the Northern Avenue Corridor
- Opportunity B: Extension of Northern Avenue to Black Road

In conjunction with these possible improvements, the City has also identified:

- Opportunity C: Improvements to the access/egress of the P - Patch subdivision


## MUNICIPAL CLASS EA PLANNING AND DESIGN PROCESS



## RECOMMENDED DESIGN

Based on the evaluation of the identified Alternative Solutions, the following recommended design is proposed.

- Implement lane reassignment along Northern Avenue between North Street and Pine Street, providing three lanes with a continuous turn lane;
- Designate bike lanes along the north and south sides of Northern Avenue;
- Maintain existing pedestrian sidewalks and boulevards along the corridor; and,
- Construct a new two-lane road from the existing east termination of Northern Avenue south to Princeton Drive to improve access/egress to the P-Patch subdivision.


## P-PATCH ACCESS

CIMA Canada Inc. completed a traffic assessment to further evaluate the identified proposed solutions from a traffic engineering perspective. The following conclusions were noted:

- Under the "do-nothing" option, the Pine Street/Pleasant and Northern Avenue/Pine Street intersections operate with acceptable volume to capacity ratios and levels of service for all approaches;
- The construction of a new access/egress from the east termination of Northern Avenue to Princeton Drive is expected to reduce the average delay for westbound traffic making left-turns at Pine Street and Pleasant Drive; and,
- A neutral impact on traffic operations at the Pine Street/Northern Avenue intersection is anticipated following the addition of a new road between the east termination of Northern Avenue and Princeton Drive.








## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE <br> SIGN-IN SHEET -(please print clearly)

| Name | Address | Phone | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| John Colombi | 146 Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Bill Merrifield | 97 Bainbridge St. |  |  |
| Pete Bulas | 1-30 Queen St East |  |  |
| Gary \& Elaine Latvanen | 474 Northern Ave |  |  |
| M.J. Keenan | 189 Panoramic Drive |  |  |
| Steve Turco | 164 Louise Ave |  |  |
| Terry Roberts | 134 Northern Ave |  |  |
| Rich Greenwood | 184 Promenade Dr |  |  |
| Jim Steele | 44 Woodhurst Dr |  |  |
| Karen Zaffini | 61 Princeton Dr |  |  |
| Betty Vankerkhof | 72 Prince Charles Cres |  |  |
| Al and Maly Wright | 9 Pinemore Blvd |  |  |
| J. Cowen | Panoramic Dr |  |  |
| Mark Cady | 61 Princeton Dr |  |  |
| Darlene Govette | 101 Primrose Drive |  |  |
| Wendy Steele | 44 Woodhurst Dr |  |  |
| Steve Roberts | 140 Northern Ave |  |  |
| David Helwig |  |  |  |
| Allison Vance | 203 Northern Ave |  |  |
| Sam Graham | 59 Softwood Dr |  |  |
| Ken Miller | 1913 Queen St E |  |  |
| Peter McLarty | 755 Fifth Line |  |  |
| Jim Fitzpatrick | 104 Bainbridge St. |  |  |
| Jerry Stefanizzi | 207 Northern Ave |  |  |
| Marc Thibodeau | 69 Princeton |  |  |
| Sean Meades | 11 Euclid Rd |  |  |
| Ray Fox | 11 Euclid Rd |  |  |
| Terri Elliott | 655 Northern Ave |  |  |
| Rick Elliott | 655 Northern Ave |  |  |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Appendix 8c
Comments Received

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE

COMMENT SHEET (PLEASE Print clearly)

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print) MR. PETE BULNS
Address \#1-30 ALBERT ST. EAST
Phone No.
Email:
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No Please add me to consultation list for this project.
Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.
Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly

I/We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for your comment(s). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print) $\qquad$
Address $\qquad$
Phone No. $\qquad$
Email:
$\qquad$
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No Please add me to consultation list for this project.

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly)

I/ We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:


Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification


Phone No.
Email:
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No Please add me to consultation list for this project.
Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliverlemail to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.
Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

NORTHERN AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS

PUBLIC INFORMATION
CENTRE
COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly)
We have review the project material and have the following comments:
> Installing a traffic light at Pine St. And Pleasant would be the logical way to levitate the traffic problem at this intersection for these reasons:

1. Issue: School crossing which is holding up traffic between the hours of 8:30 am to 9:00am 3:209pm to 4:00pm
Solution: Install a traffic light to assist the 2 crossing guards to allow traffic to stop from all three directions, which also allows the traffic to flow with controlled mechanism (traffic light). With the Pine St. Extension from Second Line vehicle traffic is moving at a high rate of speed as there are no intersections between Northern Ave. \& McNabb St. That have neither traffic lights nor a 3or 4 way stop to slow the traffic down.
2. Issue: There has been increase of traffic from the Pine St. Extension from Second Line which has been making it difficult to exit Pleasant when turning left onto Pine St.
Solution: Install traffic light to allow traffic exiting left from Pleasant onto Pine St.with a controlled mechanism (traffic light). There is no issue turning right onto Pine St, from Pleasant. There is not a problem neither turning left off of Pine St. onto Pleasant nor turning right off of Pine St. onto Pleasant.
Putting a road from Northern Avenue to Princeton does not make logical sense for these reasons:
$>$ Opening road would cause increased traffic through a residential neighborhood
Would increase excessive noise pollution, trash pollution \& road dust. Especially in Spring.
$>$ Excessive snow plowing pushing snow into properties and driveways adjacent to proposed roadway.
$>$ Decreasing the value of the 8 properties adjacent to the proposed Northern Avenue to Princeton Road Extension.
(Turning what was purchased originally regular property lots next to a lane way to proposed corner lot properties.
> Increasing the risk of contact between vehicles to pedestrian traffic example: Panoramic Dr. without pedestrian sidewalks. Winter the road narrows approx. 6 feet. 3 feet per side of street.
> Would levitate the traffic from major arteries and increase to residential streets. Example: would divert traffic flow at McNabb and Lake and just be a short cut through the residential $P$-patch instead of keeping the traffic to major arteries.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly)

I/We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:
THis Project wile Not work!! The Reason
Queens ST works is IT IS Picking ur QESIDENTAL TQAFFIC Ans THE HOSDITAC is CCOSDS Titus FREEING UR, 2 ADDitional hones. NONTHEN AUK HATS HUGE COMMERICAL TRAFFIC VOLUMES. TURNING han ES DO BHOT WORK NOW I
BY REDUCING THE \# OF LANES IT WILL ONLY IMPEDE TRAFFIC. THE WORST TIMES AVE BETWNCO $11+1 P n+3 \rightarrow 68 \mathrm{~A} . ~ C R A Z Y$ TRAFFIC.

Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print) TER24 Roberts
Address 1207 OLD Gorsen RuE RS.
Phone No. $\qquad$
Email: $\qquad$
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No Please add me to consultation list for this project.

## Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:

Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Saul Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET - (please print clearly)

$I$ We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:


Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print)


Address $\qquad$
Phone No.
Email:
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No Please add me to consultation list for this project.

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

## Corporation of the City of Salt Ste. Marie NORTHERN AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly)

I We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:


Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print)


Address $\square$
Phone No.
Email:
$\qquad$
ail. $\qquad$
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No Please add me to consultation list for this project.

Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, PEng.
Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

## PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE COMMENT SHEET -(please print clearly)

I/We have reviewed the project material and have the following comments:



$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for your comments). Please complete the following if you would like to be contacted for clarification.

Name (print)


Address $\qquad$
Phone No.
Email: $\qquad$
(Yes $\square$ No Please add me to consultation list for this project.
Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
Fax: 705-949-9965
Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

Respondents should note that information collected for this study will be subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments received will become part of the public record and may be included in the study documentation prepared for public review.

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, September 27, 2017 1:33 PM |
| To: | 'Febbraro, Lucas'; Northern Avenue EA |
| Cc: | Michael Kresin; Jennifer Sharpe; Carl Rumiel |
| Subject: | RE: Northern Avenue Corridor |

Hello Lucas: Thanks for your e-mail. Responses to your questions are embedded below:
e.egards,

Don Elliott

From: Febbraro, Lucas
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2017 10:32 AM
To: Don Elliott; 'northernave@kresinengineering.ca'
Subject: Northern Avenue Corridor
Importance: High
Good morning,
As a property owner on Northern Avenue I received notification by mail yesterday pertaining to today's meeting -egarding the Northern Avenue corridor. Unfortunately, I am unable to attend but do have questions I hope you can .answer. Please see below:

- Do you have a plan/map for the extension that you can share? [DE The presentation slides should be available at the following link. If they are not there, they will be shortly. www.saultstemarie.ca/NorthernAveEA ]
- In what capacity will areas of access/ egress to the P-Patch subdivision be made? What streets will connect? [DE It is recommended that a new road be constructed from the east limit of Northern Avenue straight southerly to Princeton.]
- Who owns the property abutting both sides of this extension and are there further plans of development? [Most adjacent properties are already single family residences. The undeveloped portion on the east side near Northern Ave. is owned by the City.]
- What is the timeline for this project? [DE It is not scheduled for construction at this time. If the EA is finalized, the project is most likely to be recommended to Council for a capital program in or after 2020.]

Please provide response at your earliest convenience.
Thank you, Lucas

| From: | Northern Avenue EA |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, September 28, 2017 2:14 PM |
| To: | 'Paul McDonald' |
| Subject: | RE: Northern Ave. EA |

Good afternoon Paul,
${ }^{\wedge}$ roundabout was discussed as part of an Operations and Safety review completed for the intersection of Pine Street ad Pleasant Drive and it was determined that a roundabout was not appropriate for this intersection.

Thank you for your comments.

Regards,
Jennifer

Jennifer Sharpe, B.Sc.
Environmental Scientist

Kresin Engineering Corporation
536 Fourth Line East
Soult Ste. Marie, ON
PGA 6J8
al: 705-949-4900
Fax: 705-949-9965
jennifer@kresinengineering.ca

From: Paul McDonald
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2017 1:54 PM
To: Northern Avenue EA [NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca)
Subject: Northern Ave. EA

Hi,

Can you tell me if a roundabout has been considered for the Pine St / Pleasant Ave intersection as a way of easing congestion and calming traffic?

I also just want to say that I am glad to see that the suggested plan is not to extend Northern Ave. eastward towards Black Road. This is one of the best parts of the hub trail and the feeling of being away from the city while using this stretch would be taken away with a roadway running next to or through it.

Thank you

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sen: | Monday, October 02, 2017 12:47 PM |
| To: |  |
| Cc: | Michael K̇resin; Northern Avenue EA |
| Subject: | RE: |

Thank you for your e-mail. If you have any specific questions, we would be glad to answer them.
4
ncerely,
Don Elliott
From: N Garrow
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 3:07 AM
To: Don Elliott; northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Subject:
Good Morning,
I realize that asking for submissions is just a formality
and that your minds are already made up. However, I am going to respond by suggesting that you cannot maintain the current roads yet you are seeking permission to build new ones.
Northern Avenue East is an example. It is in very pugh shape from Pine Street to Great Northern Road and it is extremely bumpy from Great Northern
Road to Reid Street.
Of course, you already know this.
Shame on you, in advance, for betraying the public's trust.

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, October 11, 2017 9:01 AM |
| To: | 'Robert Carricato'; Northern Avenue EA; Michael Kresin |
| Cc: | Carl Rumiel |
| Subject: | RE: Northern Avenue Realignment |
|  |  |
| Follow Up Flag: | Follow up |
| Flag Status: | Completed |

Robert: Thank you for your input. Your comments will form part of the EA documents. Mike will ensure you are placed on the mailing list.

Regards,
Don Elliott

From: Robert Carricato
Sent: Friday, October 0̄̄, 2017 12:20 PM
To: Don Elliott; northernave@kresinengineering.ca
Subject: Northern Avenue Realignment
Don and Mike,
Please add me to your mail out list regarding the Northern Avenue realignment. I was not aware of this project has been ongoing since March.

I have lived on Plummer Court (off of Princeton Drive) for 28 years and have been speaking to our councillors many times over the years to put in a roadway from Princeton to Northern Avenue. It appears that it is finally getting some traction. If and when the road is constructed, a bicycle lane on this road or a trail along the side of the road to be used by both bicycles and walkers/runners would also be beneficial. There are a lot of walkers/runners and bicyclists presently using this path to access the hub trail. Please explain the reasoning of putting stop signs at Northern Avenue and the proposed new road. (opposite Mapleview) To me, stop signs here do not seem necessary.

With regards to the Northern Avenue, I am in agreement with the realignment as presented from Pine to North Streets. If and when Northern Avenue can be extended, either to Lake Street or Black Road, I am in agreement with this extension.

I am not in agreement with putting lights at Pleasant and Pine. Our city presently has too many lights. This light will be unnecessary if the above new road between and Princeton and Northern Avenue is constructed.

Thank you for reading my input. I look forward to hearing from you with the progress of this project.
Robert Carricato
12 Plummer Court

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, October 13, 2017 3:48 PM |
| To: | Michael Kresin; Jennifer Sharpe; Carl Rumiel |
| Subject: | FW: P-Patch Access |
|  |  |
| Follow Up Flag: | Follow up |
| Flag Status: | Completed |

For your info.
-----Original Message-----
From: Mark
Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 4:12 PM
To: Don Elliott
Cc: Matthew Shoemaker; Judy Hupponen
Subject: P-Patch Access
Hi Don,
Thanks for forwarding the documents, it was interesting reading. The one thing that stands out and completely defies logic and reason, if there "were no obvious problems found at this intersection." Why has the city been studying this issue for over twenty years?
What is going to be created by this proposal is that you are going to have the same "no obvious problem " at Pine and Pleasant and create an unnecessary secondary problem with traffic and safety issues in front of my home.
I believe there are better solutions available and better ways to spend taxpayer dollars.
Every neighbour I have spoken to is opposed to the construction of this two lane roadway and I have not even started my door to door campaign.
Again, thanks for the material and listening to my concerns. We will be in touch.
Mark Cady
61 Princeton Dr.
Sent from my iPad

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, October 30, 2017 8:36 AM |
| To: | 'Pat Sutherland' |
| Cc: | Michael Kresin; Jennifer Sharpe; Carl Rumiel |
| Subject: | RE: Panoramic and Princeton extension |
|  |  |
| Follow Up Flag: | Follow up |
| Flag Status: | Completed |

Hi Pat: Thank you for your comments. By copy of this e-mail, I will have the consultant ensure you are included on the mailing list for the Environmental Assessment.

Regards,
Don Elliott
-----Original Message-----
From: Pat Sutherland
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 12:34 AM
To: Don Elliott
Subject: Panoramic and Princeton extension
Hi Don- we live on Princeton Dr and we are very interested in this proposal. We anticipate that it will bring a ton of traffic on our street which we aren't interested in having. A traffic light at the corner of Princess and Pine would be a cheaper alternative. A traffic light at this corner would also make it much safer for school related traffic- the school being ST Paul's school.
Please put me on your list for updates of this proposal. We are travelling right now and are unable to attend meetings. We will be back in the Sault in December.

Thank you.
Pat Sutherland

Sent from my iPad

Sent from my iPad

| From: | Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, November 03, 2017 8:00 AM |
| To: | steve |
| Cc: | Tom Vair; Lou Turco; Carl Rumiel; Michael Kresin; Jennifer Sharpe |
| Subject: | RE: Northern Ave Lane Reduction |

Dear Mr. Roberts: Thank you for your input - it is appreciated. I have copied your e-mail to our consultant for a more formal response. Also, your comments and our responses will be included in the Environmental Study Report (ESR). There is a traffic study that will form an appendix to the ESR that addresses several of your concerns. We are advised by our traffic specialist that a three lane configuration will operate at an acceptable level of service for the current and projected traffic volumes. Mike Kresin can provide you with a more detailed reply.

Thank you again for your e-mail.
Regards,
Don Elliott


Don Elliott, P. Eng.
Director of Engineering, Engineering Division
Public Works and Engineering Services
City of Sault Ste. Marie
t. 705.759.5329
d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca

99 Foster Drive, Sault Ste. Marie, ON P6A 5X6
saultstemarie.ca
from

From: Lou Turco
Sent: Thursday, November 02, 2017 9:09 PM
To: Don Elliott
Cc: Tom Vair; steve
Subject: FW: Northern Ave Lane Reduction
Hi Don,
Please see email from Mr. Roberts with his input on the proposed lane reduction for Northern Avenue East.
Lou $T$.
From: Steve Roberts
Sent: October 30, 2017 3:29 PM
To: Rick Niro; Lou Turco
Subject: Northern Ave Lane Reduction
Hi Rick and Lou,

I just wanted to touch base with you regarding the Northern Ave E proposed lane reduction. I am part owner of Permanent Electric, which is located at 134 Northern Ave. I also own the building next door as well at 140 Northern Ave. After attending the information session at city hall regarding the lane reduction and bike lane addition, I voiced my concerns and am not sure if they were heard. I have spoke with the majority of business owners on Northern Ave and every single one is opposed to the change. The traffic on Northern Ave has become increasingly busier over the past few years and I am afraid with the proposed change that it will make traffic even more congested. When I was at the information session I was told that they tried it on Queen St E with great success. There are a few things wrong here. Queen St. E does not have the traffic it used to have for a few reasons, the main reason being that the hospital is no longer there. This obviously has lead to far less commercial traffic and overall general traffic (hospital employees, patients etc). That area of town is mainly residential traffic, which can support a lane reduction and bike lane addition.

Northern Ave E is a completely different case. It consists of an abundance of commercial and residential traffic all day long. Removing a lane in each direction and adding a turning lane will not increase traffic flow, it will reduce it considerably. Furthermore, the amount of bike traffic I have witnessed on Northern Ave does not warrant two bike lanes. There are times that it will take 3 to 4 light changes to turn right on Great Northern Road. Trying to operate a business and having employees waiting that long of a time in traffic only costs money. Removing two lanes will only add to the already long traffic wait times. Also, I am wondering if the operation of the new school (formerly Alexander Henry), has been taken into account in the city's study. It will be opening in the fall of 2018. There will be a lot of additional traffic and buses that will be turning right in the school. I believe this could also be a safety issue with the bike lane in place. After speaking with other business owners, we are of the general mindset that all 4 lanes should remain and an additional turning lane put in, much like Second line or Great Northern Road. If you would like to talk about this further, please feel free to contact me at anytime.

Steve Roberts, BA, BEd, ELEC
Owner/Operator
Permanent Electric
140 Northern Avenue East, Unit B
Sault Ste. Marie, On
P6B 4H4

| From: | Michael Kresin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 08, 2017 1:29 PM |
| To: | Jennifer Sharpe |
| Subject: | FW: Northern Avenue EA comments |
| Attachments: | september 26, 2017 PIC comments.pdf |

FYI
om: Robert Rattle [
Sent: Wednesday, November 08, 2017 1:19 PM
To: Northern Avenue EA [NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:NorthernAve@kresinengineering.ca)
Cc: Don Elliott [d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca](mailto:d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca); Michael Kresin [Mike@kresinengineering.ca](mailto:Mike@kresinengineering.ca)
Subject: Northern Avenue EA comments
Thank you for the information at the latest Northern Avenue PIC September 26, 2017. Apologies in advance for the criticisms, but I believe that the preferred alternative neglects many opportunities that could provide for a much healthier and sustainable outcome for the corridor and community in general.

Attached is a summary of some of these issues with a few suggestions to build upon for a healthy and sustainable design. Would be happy to discuss further how these suggestions could be incorporated and built upon, and how any proposed project results could be monitored moving forward.

As one final question, were roundabouts considered for any of the intersections within the study area (other than 'ine/Pleasant which I recall was discussed at the PIC)? eg. Pine/Northern. Northern/GNR.

Robert

When planning, designing and building mobility infrastructure ask yourself this: will it work as well for a six year old girl on a bicycle in the summer as it will for a 90 year old pedestrian in winter? If the answer is no, then head back to the drawing board to reconsider the plan. Our age friendly policy requires this as a minimum.

We need a new roadmap that puts people first.

## Northern Avenue PIC Comments

Ultimately, there are simply too many health, safety, sustainability and environmental concerns to fully include in my comments, and the proposed alternative conflicts with the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) recommendations $15,16,17,18,19,24$, and the new access point to the P-Patch is not included in the TMP. I would be happy to discuss these and additional health, safety and environmental concerns in more detail, but would prefer a complete re-work of the EA including a redefining of the problem/opportunity statement which is one source of the many deficiencies identified below.

Overall, I would strongly encourage consideration of an alternative proposal which incorporates the design of an intersection at Pine and Northern that prohibits north- or south-bound through traffic, with the exception of emergency vehicles, transit and cyclists, while building upon the following suggestions for Northern Avenue, no additional access point for the P-Patch, and expanded public transit throughout the corridor.

Primary issues:

1. The proposal for a new access point to the P-Patch will result in, as evidenced by Pine Street extension of a decade ago, additional traffic problems. As you are aware, this is known as latent demand. This has been an accepted fact for decades in traffic planning, and was identified as an issue in the Pine Street extension EA of a decade ago. A new access point will place the municipality in a similarly untenable position of needing to alleviate traffic issues as a direct result of this proposal in another few years, at an enormous cost in relation to real solutions, some considered and many more which were not considered in this EA, and at an unacceptable risk to non-vehicle road users, local pollution and climate change, property taxes and health; and
2. Safety, environmental, sustainability, and health concerns were virtually omitted from the vast toolbook of evaluation considerations and alternative options within the entire corridor. This will place at risk both drivers and non-vehicle occupants by creating substantial inequities, promoting aggressive driving, contributing to local pollution and climate change, causing community disruptions (such as has been produced on Pine Street), and raising costs to all users, citizens of Sault Ste. Marie, and the municipality (resulting in a misallocation of municipal revenue streams now and in the future).

## 1. Northern Avenue:

## Main Points:

- three lane configuration (unobstructed centre turn lane) is dangerous and promotes aggressive driving - enforcement has proven inadequate; proper engineering design is required to resolve this risk
- $\quad$ essential pedestrian infrastructure is missing
- no consideration of traffic calming measures
- grade separated cycling infrastructure required
- additional corridor greening needed
- speed reductions in key sections and throughout corridor are required and should be engineered in design rather than left to enforcement measures

A two lane configuration over a three lane configuration would adequately accommodate current travel
demand and removes the safety risks inherent in unobstructed three lane configuration. The three lane with centre turn lane road cross section configuration in SSMarie has proven dangerous due to historical road design that has created a sense of entitlement and aggressiveness. The centre lane of the three lane configuration is too often used for through travel, merging and passing. Police and law enforcement have been unable to prevent these actions. Not only are these increasingly frequent actions illegal and dangerous, creating conflicts with oncoming traffic and turning vehicles while generating a local social norm, they are important factors contributing to the production of aggressive driving and promotion of single occupant vehicle use at the expense of active transportation. While in some cases it provides a dangerous opportunity for drivers to yield additional space to cyclists, a better configuration for this purpose would be to eliminate the centre turn lane and use the additional space to create a grade separated cycle lane on each side of the road, or a single bi-directional grade separated cycling path on (preferably the north) side of Northern Avenue. A two lane configuration with left turn lanes, if used, would prove a better alternative if they were only provided at high volume turning points. A landscaped central boulevard and pedestrian refuge could be constructed from additional freed space in the road cross section. Suggest a centrally greened boulevard two lane configuration with left turn lanes at high volume turning points and a grade separated multi-use pathway(s).

An added benefit of a central boulevard, in addition to storm water retention, aggressive driving discouragement, promoting the reduction in usage of single occupant vehicles and greening, would be a pedestrian refuge/crossing accommodation. To complement this infrastructure, several pedestrian crossings are very much needed along Northern Avenue on both sides of GNR. For example, a half signal at the north Sault College parking lot, one near the Essar Hall, and one across from Prince Charles school would help reduce dangerous crossings and improve pedestrian safety while encouraging active mobility and not compromising mode choice. Similarly, on the west of GNR (see below) numerous locations for pedestrian cross overs are needed. These appeared to be absent from the designs at the PIC. Co-locating pedestrian crossings at bus stops (and other key high pedestrian zones) would facilitate safe crossing zones for public transit riders and help encourage modal shifts without penalising those choices. These could be enhanced with a raised-to-sidewalk-level crossing to remind drivers of the crossing zone, better accommodate accessibility (in accordance with the municipal accessibility plan and provincial legislation) and serve as additional traffic calming measures.

A pedestrian cross walk on the west side of Willow at Northern Avenue is also needed along with a raised intersection at that intersection. Eliminate the need to trigger for all pedestrian cross walks is essential (ie. remove push button so all cross walks always signal for pedestrians at signal timing) and install countdown timers during all new construction. [Also recommend the city begin a program to switch all old pedestrian signals to those that provide countdown timers and automatic function, with no need to press button.] All pedestrian crossings should be grade level for the pedestrian (ie. rased intersection/cross walk) as this accommodates mobility needs, increases safety, reminds drivers they have entered a pedestrian crossing zone, meets the city's Age Friendly Policies, and "[a]ctively promotes the reduction in usage of single occupant vehicles", and promotes "active transportation and transit usage."

Traffic calming measures throughout is also absent in the proposed alternative. There is sufficient latitude for speed humps (in addition to raised crosswalks), chicanes, vertical greenery and a grade separated non-motorised trail.

Engineering measures (including traffic calming) that create a 30 kph speed limit are needed along this entire corridor (the risk of serious injury at 30 kph in a pedestrian-vehicle collision is $10 \%$; this rises to $90 \%$ at 50 kph . Given the pedestrian traffic volumes, ages, and nearby commercial, residential and institutional land uses, 30 kph would be more than adequate speed maximum. This should be engineered
into the planning and design processes.
The preferred alternative - especially west of GNR - completely fails to recognise and operationalise the Transportation Master Plans' recommendation 24: "Build complete streets and consider 'road diets' to meet the needs of all modes." Given the mixed use developments - including schools, low income housing, and municipal, commercial and institutional - along Northern Avenue west of GNR, this is an inexcusable oversight. One would have expected a two lane configuration street cross section that narrows lane widths with speeds engineered to max 30 that includes ample pedestrian zones and cross overs at a human scale, permits on street parking, includes wide setbacks for sidewalks, provides for substantial greenery and landscaping, benches, and parkades, and a grade separated non-motorised trail. Numerous other design features could readily be envisioned that accommodate the TMP and are manageable within the available cross section widths. There did not appear to be any consideration of modes outside of single occupant vehicles, let alone a fair design "that meets the needs of all modes."

A residential/institutional zone crossing marker (pillars with an arched entrance for example) for Northern Ave. stretch east of GNR should be incorporated into the design as a further visual reminder to drivers of the need for alertness in the area as they enter from Pine or GNR. Similar entrances would establish the commercial/institutional/residential areas to the west of GNR. These will be and should be designed as areas people go to; not as areas for (single occupant) vehicles to drive through.

## 2. Pine Sreet:

Main Points:

- pedestrian and cycling infrastructure has been completely ignored, despite high cyclist and pedestrian traffic
- pedestrian and cycling infrastructure can help mitigate access/egress problems to Pleasant Avenue
- traffic calming measures were neglected
- speed reductions must be engineered into design
- control measures at St. Paul school are required

Features along Pine are very much needed to improve safety and access for pedestrians and cyclists. Suggest at least two pedestrian cross walks or half signals between Northern Ave and Pleasant (including one at the pathway from Sault College into the P-Patch - motion triggered lighting along pathway is also required as part of this proposal) as well as an automatic pedestrian signal at Northern Avenue (ie. not requiring a push button) along with a raised intersection and a stop light at the Pleasant intersection/St. Paul school with a similar pedestrian prioritization cross-section configuration as identified above. While dedicated for pedestrian traffic, controlled crossing along with promotion of active transport modes would also serve to resolve P-Patch access/egress issues and help alleviate driver frustrations at intersection. St. Paul elementary school traffic and pedestrians/cyclists would also be better accommodated, helping encourage greater compatibility with and acceptance of the numerous healthy children initiatives the city is currently involved in, as well would include consideration of the city's age friendly policies, and help "[a]ctively promote the reduction in usage of single occupant vehicles, and active transportation and transit usage." Traffic calming along Pleasant also would be warranted (does Pleasant even have a sidewalk!?). Similarly as above, pedestrian zone crossings should be raised to sidewalk level to reduce mobility barriers and calm traffic. Traffic calming measures including a central median landscaped is also needed along Pine as well as adequate setbacks for sidewalks and grade separated cycling paths in this stretch. Road and lane widths should be narrowed, with on street parking and grade separated non-motorised trail on both sides. A 30 kph max speed limit should be engineered given the high pedestrian, residential and school uses of this street.

## 3. New Access Point:

Main Points:

- new access point to P-Patch has not been justified, is unwarranted, and will produce additional traffic volume
- additional traffic volumes will increase health impacts, safety risks and municipal costs
- insufficient consideration of 'alternatives to' this proposal (eg. pedestrian, cycling and public transit; demand management)

Traffic volumes do not support a traffic light for vehicles at Pleasant, so the justification of cost - capital and maintenance, along with environmental and health - makes a new access point absolutely unwarranted and unjustified, dangerous and needlessly costly. Traffic calming measures plus a pedestrian signalisation at Pleasant/St. Paul school would improve access to P-Patch. A four way stop might also be adequate for all purposes at Pleasant at a greatly reduced environmental, capital and long term cost. This configuration already exists along Willow, why not on Pine? If traffic volumes do not warrant lights at Pleasant, they certainly do not warrant a new access road. If safety concerns at Pleasant do not warrant a signal, they certainly do not warrant a new road. Historically, the city has refused pedestrian crossing lights when traffic volumes fail to warrant the lights. In such cases, no other actions have been taken to accommodate pedestrians. In this case, why does the city exhibit a double standard that encourages less healthy modes of travel in order to accommodate and encourage greater vehicular usage traffic? Is this not contrary to the existing TMP? A new access point will permanently and dramatically alter the community character and nature of Northern Avenue east of Pine Street, affecting hub trail users, college students, LTC facilities, property values, and residents. Further, why does the preferred alternative fail to accommodate pedestrians? This is a very high pedestrian zone where many college students cross Pine daily, residents walk and citizens use. They deserve equitable infrastructure. Failure to do so is a failure not only of the Age Friendly Policy, and fundamental social equity issues, it is a failure to accommodate the existing Transportation Master Plan which recommends to "[a]ctively promote the reduction in usage of single occupant vehicles, and active transportation and public transit."

## 4. Travel Demand:

## Main Points:

- proposed alternative does not consider travel demand
- travel demand increases are by definition cause of increased traffic on Pine Street
- increased traffic on Pine street is a direct and primary result of Pine Street extension
- additional efficiency measures of vehicular traffic and new vehicular infrastructure will result in additional travel demand
- additional travel demand will generate new traffic conflicts
- future problems will be created as a direct result of new road access to P-Patch in an identical manner to Pine Street extension, requiring future costs and municipal expenses, and adverse environmental and health impacts

The traffic volumes on Pine, the cause of increased conflicts and delays at Pleasant, are a direct and primary result of opening up Pine Street to Second Line. This is a classic case of latent demand coupled with poor planning (eg. SAH, new high school, northern residential developments siting process and OP amendments) that were directly responsible for increased traffic volumes on Pine Street. This was predicted by several Part II Order petitioners over a decade ago when the Pine Street proposal was made. Building a new access road will result in exactly the same outcomes - an increase in traffic volumes - and the city will be placed in the untenable position of raising taxes again to manage increased vehicular travel demand while functionally compromising active, equitable and sustainable travel choices.

How does a new access road assist the residents of Pine Street who will not benefit from any traffic control at their driveways? Unlike P-Patch residents, residents along Pine Street will not have the advantage of an additional access point to their driveways in order to access Pine Street. As traffic volumes increase along Pine, access and egress to driveways will become increasingly difficult. This will be the direct result of a new access road, failure to deter traffic volumes/demand on Pine, neglect of other measures not considered in the alternative to this proposal (eg. public transit), and a failure to "[a]ctively promote the reduction in usage of single occupant vehicles" through this EA. As traffic volumes increase due to the latent demand imparted by a new access road, existing residents, and pedestrians and cyclists will increasingly conflict with vehicular traffic along Pine Street. Coupled with the safety risks that accompany increasing traffic volumes are additional aggressive driving practices, air pollution, noise and crime in the area. The decreased property values will be a serious health impact to the already dropping values along Pine Street.
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| 99 Foster Drive, Civic Centre | 536 Fourth Line East |
| Sault Ste. Marie, ON | Sault Ste. Marie, ON |
| d.elliott@cityssm.on.ca | northernave@kresinengineering.ca |

Dear Sir:

## Re: Schedule C Project

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Northern Avenue Corridor

I am writing on behalf of my mother, Jean Kehoe who has lived on Northern Avenue since the early 1960s.
Needless to say, there have been many changes on the street, when I lived there, it was a dirt road and my late father parked on the street!

I'm sure there have been numerous traffic studies completed with the results being that there is more and more traffic on Northern Avenue.

Speaking as a person who travels on Northern Avenue a minimum of 3 times a day and who has to pull into a driveway located between Great Northern Road and Willow Avenue, at times I feel that I am in Toronto. In the mornings when Sault College staff and students are arriving, the lineup is sometimes down to Great Northern Road, making it nearly impossible to pull in the driveway heading west on Northern Avenue. Lunch hour is not much better as well as 5:00 pm area and also 7:00 pm area.

Since Pine Street was opened up as a thorough fare to Second Line, the traffic has gotten worse instead of better.

Now the City wants to open up an access/egress from the P-Patch subdivision which in my opinion would at least double the traffic along Northern Avenue.

There was talk of extending Willow Avenue through to Old Garden River Road which would have eased the traffic between Great Northern and Willow immensely making it easier for all the residents to enter and exit their driveways in a safer environment than which is the case now. There are apartments on the north side of Northern Avenue as well as townhouses which also add to the traffic and I'm sure they find it just as challenging.

Just wanted to express my thoughts on this subject for what it is worth and try and give you a human perspective instead of just a numbers perspective. My mother is 89 years old and does drive occasionally and would hate for her to give up driving entirely based on the fact that she can't exit her driveway safely. I back her car in her driveway for her so she can just drive out instead of having to back out on to Northern Avenue.

Thank you for your time.

Sandra Ramsay

I am using the Free version of SPAMfighter.
SPAMfighter has removed 14926 of my spam emails to date.
Do you have a slow PC? Try a free scan!

## Summary of Public Questions and Concerns Northern Avenue Corridor Improvements

The following is a summary of questions and concerns received during the course of the study, along with the applicable responses.

## No.

CONCERN
1 Regarding the proposed improvements at the former Alexander Henry High School site (232 Northern Avenue), concerns about integrating the proposed new elementary school.

2 Would like to have some more consideration given to Northern Avenue being extended to Lake Street as some are already using the P Patch as a by-pass.

3 If traffic counts don't warrant traffic signal at Pine/Pleasant than how do the counts justify creating a new access point into the P-Patch?

4 Can mid-block pedestrian crossovers be installed along Northern Avenue?

5 Were roundabouts considered for any of the intersections within the Study Area?

6 Has an alternative proposal been made that incorporates the design of an intersection at Pine Street and Northern Avenue that prohibits north or south-bound through traffic (with the exception of emergency vehicles, transit and cyclists)?

7 A new access point to the P-Patch will result in

## RESPONSE

New or reconfigured entrances can be reviewed by Traffic and Engineering staff under the development plans for the school. Renovations to the school may precede the implementation of Northern Avenue corridor improvements.

Available information indicates that if Northern Avenue is connected to Lake Street, increased negative impacts are anticipated due to: increased vehicular traffic diverted from the existing arterial McNabb and Pine Streets; as well as disturbance to greenspace/existing trail facilities

The additional road connection into the P Patch has been developed to address resident concerns about perceived traffic congestion at the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection.

The City is entertaining the possibility of implementing mid-block crossovers. Suitability will be confirmed during the detailed design phase.

Roundabouts were considered at various locations however they were found to be impractical to address the identified problems/opportunities.

Pine Street is an arterial route. Limiting through traffic at this intersection is not recommended.

No.

## CONCERN

additional traffic problems.

8 A two-lane configuration would adequately accommodate current travel demands and allow for the additional space to be used to create a grade separated cycle lane, bidirectional path or a greened boulevard between the two lanes.

9 Traffic calming measures (e.g. speed bumps, raised crosswalks, four-ways stop, etc.) should be considered along Northern Avenue.

10 How will a new access into the P-Patch alleviate the egress issue for people heading downtown?

11 A new access road into the P-Patch will result in negative impacts to adjacent properties.

12 A lane reduction will bottleneck traffic and limit access to businesses along the corridor during construction.

13 Will good access and safety be maintained at the Extendicare Maple View Long Term Care for emergency vehicles as well as those visiting the facility?

14 Concerned that a lane reduction along Northern Avenue will decrease the flow of traffic along the corridor and increase wait times at intersections.

15 Concerned that an extension of Northern Avenue could lead to an increase in traffic along the side roads at the west end of the Study Area.

## RESPONSE

anticipates that a new access road into the PPatch will have an overall neutral impact on the traffic operations.

Traffic movement on a two-lane configuration would be frustrated due to the number and frequency of property access points. A continuous centre turn lane is recommended to maintain acceptable traffic flow.

The implementation of road diets similar to that proposed for Northern Avenue have been proven to result in a calming effect on traffic.

New access into the P-Patch is expected to reduce volumes at the Pine Street/Pleasant Drive intersection, shifting part of the volumes to the signalized Northern Avenue/Pine Street intersection.

Negative impacts will be mitigated through the use of traffic calming (stop signs) on the new road.

Impacts during construction will be mitigated through the implementation of established best practices. The proposed road diet is a non-structural modification using line painting.

Access to the Maple View LTC facility will be maintained.

The traffic study completed indicates that an acceptable level of service will be provided.

The recommendation is not to extend Northern Avenue.

No.

## CONCERN

16 The existing traffic signals at Northern Avenue and Willow Road do not have north-facing signal heads, causing confusion for Hub Trail users.

17 Consideration should be given to keeping Northern Avenue as narrow as possible to slow down traffic and make more room for bike lanes.

18 Bike boxes should be incorporated at the intersections identified in the TMP as cycling routes to improve safety for left turning cyclists.

19 It is possible that an extension of Northern Avenue may affect rare tree species.

## RESPONSE

Modifications to the signals are recommended.

Lane widths will be finalized in the detailed design; however recommendations presented in the CIMA traffic study will be relied upon.

Bicycle treatments at intersections will be addressed during detailed design.

The recommendation presented is to not extend Northern Avenue.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Including through and left turn movements.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The morning period was only counted between 8:00 and 9:00 am, therefore it is not possible to accurately determine the AM Peak Hour.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ 8:30 to 9:00 am, 11:50 am to 12:20 pm, 3:20 to 3:50 pm.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ If $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1.0, \mathrm{LOS}=\mathrm{F}$.

[^4]:    Please leave the completed form with the project team or deliver/email to:
    Kresin Engineering Corporation
    536 Fourth Line East
    Salt Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 6J8
    Fax: 705-949-9965
    Email: northernave@kresinengineering.ca
    Attention: Mr. Michael Kresin, P.Eng.

[^5]:    Jennifer Sharpe, B.Sc.
    Environmental Scientist
    Kresin Engineering Corporation
    536 Fourth Line East
    Sault Ste. Marie, ON
    PGA 6J8

[^6]:    Michael Kresin, P.Eng.
    Consulting Engineer
    Kresin Engineering Corporation
    536 Fourth Line East, Sault Ste. Marie, ON, P6A 6J8
    tel: 705-949-4900, fax: 705-949-9965

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ FHWA Road Diet Informational Guide (p. 3)
    ${ }^{2}$ FHWA Road Diet Informational Guide (p. 5)
    ${ }^{3}$ Region of Waterloo, Road and Traffic Safety, Road Diets
    ${ }^{4}$ Transport Canada - St. George Street Revitalization: "Road Diets" in Toronto

[^8]:    ${ }^{5}$ City of Sault Ste. Marie Transportation Master Plan, 2015, Exhibit 3-1: Existing Road Classifications

[^9]:    ${ }^{6}$ City of Sault Ste. Marie, Transportation Master Plan, January 2015, Official Plan Land Use Designation

[^10]:    ${ }^{7}$ City of Sault Ste. Marie, Transportation Master Plan, January 2015, pg. 70.

[^11]:    ${ }^{8}$ Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways (Table A5-5, p. A5-15)
    9 TAC Geometric Design Guide (Table 1.3.4.2, p. 1.3.4.3)
    10 Google Maps, https://www.google.ca/maps/dir//46.5343046,-84.2991819/@46.5343184,
    84.3341229,214m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m2!4m1!3e0
    

[^12]:    11 OTM Book 15 (p. 47)
    12 OTM Book 18 (Figure 3.3, p. 30)

[^13]:    ${ }^{13}$ Google Earth, August 2012, Northern Avenue approximately 400 m east of Great Northern Road

[^14]:    ${ }^{14}$ City of Sault Ste. Marie, Transportation Master Plan, January 2015, Transit Route Map
    ${ }^{15}$ Google Maps, Northern Avenue between Reid Street and Great Northern Avenue, City of Sault Ste. Marie

[^15]:    18 OTM Book 18 (Figure 4.19)
    ${ }^{19}$ Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways (Table D2-4 and D2-5, p. D2-3)
    ${ }^{20}$ TAC Geometric Design Guide (Table 2.2.2.3, p. 2.2.2.2)

[^16]:    ${ }^{21}$ OTM Book 18 (Figure 4.40)
    ${ }^{22}$ OTM Book 18 (Figure 4.32)

[^17]:    ${ }^{23}$ OTM Book 18 (Figure 4.40)
    ${ }^{24}$ OTM Book 18 (Figure 4.32)

[^18]:    Network wide Queuing Penalty: 42

[^19]:    Network wide Queuing Penalty: 60

